Relationship Game Week: Agree And Amplify

August 13, 2009 by CH

Relationships are merely a continuation of pickup by other means. Just as she must never forget to keep in shape for your pleasure, you must never stop gaming your girl. The day you slip into complacence is the day her love begins to show signs of stress.

Relationships may change but the Game remains the same. There seems to be a mistaken belief among the betacracy that game may be good for pickup but it’ll do nothing at best, and sabotage at worst, your prospects for a long and healthy relationship. These are the beliefs of weak and nutless men who habitually dumpster date women way under their own market value so that they can go on acting like Dr. Phil castrati without consequence. They are also the beliefs of fat and ugly women. Beta men = fat and ugly women. The resemblance is uncanny!

Naturally, the first few hours, days, and weeks of a courtship will be more exhilarating than the years to follow. After the brash novelty has faded and love begins to take root a mutually comfortable sufficiency will assert itself. An implicit bargain has been struck and there comes an expectation, not wholly removed of anxiety, that your partner isn’t going to bolt, run away, or suddenly despise you from one day to the next. But soft expectations so often morph into hard demands, and then the misalignment with reality begins in earnest.

“If I got fat/beta, would you still love me???”

Eventually, no. As with demographics and economics, there is a lag time in sexual dynamics. This lag time gets longer the more established the relationship becomes. A man who commits one glaring beta act on the approach isn’t going to get more than a few seconds with his target before she blows him out. But a man who has been seeing a girl for two years has to run up a litany of beta fouls before his woman’s love finally dissolves under the onslaught of her mounting disgust. A lower energy, consistent level of relationship game different only in degree, not kind, from pickup game, must be a part of every man’s arsenal of perpetual sexiness.

One thing you will not fail to notice with women is that their shit tests never end, they just fade away… to less annoying frequency. A handy chart demonstrating this female proclivity to endlessly take stock of your alpha cred should make things clear:
The Average Man

# and Intensity of Female Shit Tests

First few minutes
Rapid fire shit tests designed to weed out betas

First few dates
One or two shit tests per date,
less crass, more subtle

Post-sex
Possible “I didn’t cum” shit test. Ignore it.

First few weeks
Shit tests become less obnoxious, more defensive;
(“Are you always this late?”)

First few months
Non-verbal shit tests increase in frequency;
she waits for you to call instead of picking up
phone herself.

Six months later
Endearing love and romance shit tests
begin to flare up;
(“You hardly ever give me flowers.”
“Do you love me?”)

One year in
Provider shit tests in full swing;
(“Why don’t you buy yourself a bigger place?”
“You never tell me what you do at work.”)

Two years in
“Life purpose”, marriage, and infidelity shit tests;
(“Where are we going with this?”
“Bob just popped the question to Sarah. Aren’t you happy for them?”
“Are you cheating on me?”)

Thirty years in
Regret and death shit tests;
(“The kids are gone. I’m not in love anymore.”
“Would you wipe my spotted ass when I’m an invalid?”)

If you aren’t a natural at deflecting shit tests of all varieties, then you must teach yourself. For those men not blessed with the quickness of mind and aloofness of temperament to handle shit tests like a champ, a system must be devised. I’ve found one. I call it the Agree & Amplify anti-shit test counterinsurgency.

The concept is simple. When you are hit with a shit test, agree with your girl, and then amplify your agreement. Here are some examples:

GIRL: “Why didn’t you call last night? Are you dating someone else?”

YOU: “Yep, I’ve got a harem to service. Be happy you’re in the top tier.”
***

GIRL: “Are you just going to sit around all day playing video games?”
YOU: “Damn straight. With enough hard work I should be able to push this to a full month.”
***

GIRL: “We’re going to that restaurant again?”
YOU: “Yeah, and because you’ve bitched, we’re going there for the next ten years.”
***

GIRL: “Sometimes you can be such an asshole. My ex knew how to treat a lady.”
YOU: “I bet he did. You should beg him to take you back. I could use the peace and quiet.”
***

GIRL: “Don’t you have any ambition in life?”
YOU: “Zero. Could you be a dear and hook up my feeding tube?”
***

GIRL: “I didn’t like the way you flirted with that girl at the party tonight.”
YOU: “I know, I’m an incorrigible flirt. Good thing you didn’t see the other ten girls I flirted with. Phew!”
***

GIRL: “You never get me flowers or write me poetry.”
YOU: “You’re right. Just think of my cock as a flower and our fucking as poetry in motion.”
***

GIRL: “I think we should take this slower.”
YOU: “You read my mind! Can I pencil you in next month?”
***

GIRL: [Making it obvious she’s flirting with another guy in your presence.]
YOU: “Hey, if you’re gonna try to make me jealous by flirting in front of me, at least put on a good show. I haven’t seen bad flirting like that since your Mom tried to pick me up.”

***

GIRL: “Buy me a drink.”

YOU: “Sure thing. Would you like my ATM pin number as well?”

***

GIRL: [Calls you back two days after you left her a message.]

YOU: “Only two days later? Wow, you’re slipping. A true player waits a year before calling back.”

***

GIRL: “I really feel we aren’t compatible.”

YOU: “You’re right, we’re *totally* incompatible. I like to wake up at 8:30 and you get up at 8:15. Who can live with that?!”

This last one is especially interesting, because no matter how compatible you are with a girl, she is compelled by an otherworldly force to wonder aloud how incompatible you both are. Expect to receive this shit test around month three. Women have to work through their gina demons, and the issue of “compatibility” is a biggie. For men, if our dicks fit inside her pussy, we’re compatible. For women, a whole host of arcane connections must be made before she can feel you are “the one”. The Agree & Amplify method is the only acceptable response. If you two are incompatible, arguing with her will only highlight that. If you two are compatible, pointing out all the ways you are compatible will only cause her to search more fervently for those few ways you aren’t compatible. Agreeing with her, whether or not she’s right, and making fun of her concerns, is the best way to make her forget all about the issue.

So to all the verbally hamstrung betas, when (not if) you find yourselves confronted by yet another shit test, instead of wracking your brain for the appropriate response just recall the words “Agree & Amplify”. A&A, A&A, A&A. Say it to yourself over and over, and the right answer will come to you.
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RJS on August 13, 2009 at 1:05 pm
Another great post from the God of Game. Publish this shit on paper and you’ll be able retire.

**Encolpius**

When I’m writing emails or text messages, generally work related, my girlfriend frequently gets nosily entitled and asks who I’m writing to. About two times in five, I tell her “my other girlfriend”. I’ve been doing this for three years, and it still works like a charm. Women are surreal.

**ironrailsironweights**

GIRL: I’m going to shave.
ME: [sound of vomiting]

Peter

**ASDF**

Maybe it’s because I haven’t been in a relationship longer than a steady Friday night drinking/F-buddy for six weeks, but my first thought at reading those questions was to say “OMG Stop nagging me! Who cares what you think?!”

**Seeking Alpha**

Yea, I’ll get a lot of ‘where are you going?’ or ‘who was that?’ or whatever.

Most times I just ignore the question, no smile, and ignore the question with a smile if it’s repeated. The other girlfriend line is definitely good for texting.

I also like to have fun with it sometimes. ‘It’s the President, he needs me for a special assignment.’ Or whatever else I happen to think of.

**Paul Revere**

Carl von Clausewitz would enjoy your opening line.

**Madras**

GIRL: “Sometimes you can be such an asshole. My ex knew how to treat a lady.”

YOU: “I bet he did. You should beg him to take you back. I could use the peace and quiet.”

Truly excellent stuff. I will use this one soon.
Madras
…and let’s start the count down to the beta-parade of tripe like:

“but what about teamwork!!! My women and I are a TEAM!”

…and “this isn’t how marriage works for HEALTHY people”

el chief
This is good shit.

Bhetti
GIRL: “Sometimes you can be such an asshole. My ex knew how to treat a lady.”

BETA: “Why do you talk about him? Please don’t. It hurts my feelings and makes me a little bit angry. We’ll negotiate an agreement: I will be better with you and you will not bring him up.”

GIRL: “If I don’t talk about my feelings, we cannot have an open and honest relationship. I thought that’s what you wanted. I wouldn’t have to bring him up if you SHAPE UP.”

The G Manifesto
“Naturally, the first few hours, days, and weeks of a courtship will be more exhilarating than the years to follow.”

Exactly.

That is why I do what I do:

Never date a girl more than a few weeks.

– MPM

PA
Two of my past girlfriends threw this molotov cocktail in response to my decent natural asshole game: “what would you do if I died?”

I’m proud of myself for responding with some form of cocky-funny. But I am ashamed for the fact that in my 20s I ran natural game on girls I considered hot but low quality, and put quality girls on pedestals, only to “inexplicably” lose them.
Joe
Off-topic: some theater group in Seattle is creating a musical based on The Game:

quiet Productions - "I Am Never Alone" from Pick-U...

PA
That is why I do what I do: // Never date a girl more than a few weeks.

A few weeks? Is the internationally-ranked playboy becoming sentimental?

I figured it’s the top-shelf billionaire’s wife or a brand new top modeling agency ingenue who gets the G-Man repeated swoopings.

snatch magnet
A personal favorite of mine:

Girl: You can be such an asshole.

Me: Yep, (bust a loud obnoxious fart, pause for effect) The truth stinks don’t it?

ASDF
Madras: The best marriages are where they couple are a team. The guy doesn’t need to act like a pussy for this to be so. He can be team leader, but it’s still a team.

spunk
A&A is much easier to pull off if you have a sardonic, wicked sense of humor in the first place.
Also, A&A will come to naught if a man cannot 1) detect a shit test accurately, and 2) cannot react appropriately in time.

P.S: When she starts nagging about the ring(2 years in?), DTB immediately. Time to look for fresher meat. Personally, the six month mark in the given example is my threshold of tolerance. Endearing love and flowers my ass.

**The G Manifesto**

PA

“A few weeks? Is the internationally-ranked playboy becoming sentimental?

I figured it’s the top-shelf billionaire’s wife or a brand new top modeling agency ingenue who gets the G-Man repeated swoopings.”

A few weeks. 21 days. At most.

Those 21 days don’t have to be in a row.

For instance, hang out with a girl for a week in LA.

2 months later hang out with her for another few days.

etc.

Then find a new girl. Or two.

This is how you keep “the courtship exhilarating”.

First dates are always the best anyways.

After that, it typically slides downhill.

– MPM

**Backdoor Man**

This is good advice. I always “agree and amplify,” but I also throw stuff her way. If my old lady comes home late from work, I’ll ask her if she with was one of her boyfriends or if she was porking the fat Salvadoran janitor in the broom closet. It sends the message that I’m confident and not intimidated by other men.

**Obsidian**

on August 13, 2009 at 1:51 pm
Excellent post, and actually reminds me of something Dave From Hawaii said he did with his wife awhile back. Good money.

O

The G Manifesto
Backdoor Man

“I’ll ask her if she with was one of her boyfriends or if she was porking the fat Salvadoran janitor in the broom closet.”

Careful.

Sometimes those Salvadoreans have mad Game.

– MPM

PA

Whew! image restored. Seeing The G-Manifesto in an extended LTR would be like seeing James Bond fail Miss Moneypenny’s shit test or be cowed by Judy Dench’s character.

(Oh wait – this happened in “Golden Eye”)

reader

These relationship posts have really been outstanding. Significantly better than your writings on pickup, which is saying a lot because those are very strong as well. You could go mainstream with stuff—a “relationship guide for men,” or something, and I don’t even think you’d have to tone down the dominance stuff too much. Your advice is just too obviously grounded in reality for anyone to seriously deny.

Carl Sagan

I actually do this naturally.

Best way to deflect criticism.

Carl Sagan

I, too, like posts about relationship “game”

Nobody really talks about it enough.
On the other hand, everybody and their grandma is a PUA.

aoefe  
GIRL: “Sometimes you can be such an asshole. My ex knew how to treat a lady.”

BETA: “Can I read your Facebook to learn more about you?”

GIRL: “No asshole. My ex will be jealous if he sees you there. Gawd you’re stupid!”

BETA: “Fair enough.”

Poetry of Flesh  
“YOU: ‘You’re right. Just think of my cock as a flower and our fucking as poetry in motion.’”

Great. Thanks for getting “Blinded Me With Science” stuck in my head. I think I may spend my lunchbreak rocking back and forth in a corner somewhere, with my hair in a side-ponytail.

PA  
Co-signing with those who say that the relationship posts are grade-A solid. Both prose and content.

Hope  
Those girl lines are really the examples of what a girl should not say. They would be much better reframed and redelivered with a nice and enthusiastic tone.

Instead of “Don’t you have any ambition in life?” she could say, “You’re so talented! I think you could be awesome at anything you do. But what do you think you’d rather do?”

Instead of “I didn’t like the way you flirted with that girl at the party tonight,” she could say, “You’re so hot babe! I saw so many girls checking you out tonight. I think you missed a bunch of girls who were looking at you.” He would say “Really?” She continues, “And you’re so charismatic that even when you aren’t trying to flirt, the girls are just drawn to you.”

I don’t really like it when guys buy flowers, but if a girl does, she should not say, “You never get me flowers or write me poetry.” She should say something like, “I know you’re such a poetic soul. Don’t you think that flowers and paintings are so pretty? They really liven up a place. What are your favorite flowers?” Wait for his response. “Oh those are gorgeous. Mine are .”
Never say “Sometimes you can be such an asshole. My ex knew how to treat a lady.” Say, after he made an assholeish comment, “You know, you’re a dick sometimes, but I like it. I like how you don’t take any shit from people, and how you know when to be sweet and sensitive.”

Always reframe in the positive.

**Gunslingergregi**

```
"""
Madras,
"but what about teamwork!!!My women and I are a TEAM!
```

Yea we are a team I am the captain. So yea team applies.

**Gunslingergregi**

Yes I did wreck the bike but it was an awesome wreck.
Plus look at your leg just a tiny scratch. wtf he he he

**spunk**

@Hope

“Those girl lines are really the examples of what a girl should not say. They would be much better reframed and redelivered with a nice and enthusiastic tone.”

Yep. Shit tests sprayed with perfume. Smells nicer, but nevertheless still a shit test.

If a woman doesn’t have anything sincere or substantial to say to the man, the least she can do is shut the fuck up.

Don’t play the ‘nice and enthusiastic tone with me’. I will still not marry you nor exclusively commit to you. If you’re lucky I will bang you for 2 years(before any potential de-facto relationship laws kick in); if not 1-6 months. Once done, I will DTB and discard you.

**Researcher**

When a girl gives you a blowjob for the first time in your relationship, do you warn her when you are about to cum? Twice I have tried not warning, and both times the girl in the first moment angry or upset, and later falls much more in love with me. Amazing. Any other data points?

Question: have there been any posts/comments on shit testing from a virgin who is protective of her virginity? I would expect appropriate answers to change based on the time constraints in the relationship, i.e. whether you are travelling and meet her for a couple of weeks or whether you
have time to build trust, etc. Any thoughts on game in such a context, especially game in a relationship with a clear time limit, where the shit tests can be difficult to meet?

**Hope**

Sorry spunk, I don’t do shit tests. So when I’m nice and enthusiastic I am genuine about it, because I’m terrible at faking emotions. A conversation with my guy often goes like this. Me: “You’re so awesome!” Him: “Yeah, I know! I’m totally awesome.” Me, smiling and nodding: “Yep, you are!”

When he doesn’t play his guitar as much for me, I never would nag him, “Geez, you used to play for me all the time. Why don’t you do it anymore?” When he plays, by his own volition, I say, “Wow babe, that was really amazing.” I don’t even say, “You should do it more often.” I just don’t really care, because I am secure without needing him to prove he will do things for me.

This is about relationship skills for a girl who is with a great man, not a man who is intending on pumping and dumping her. If a girl has landed a man who is desirable, he will know it, and so will she. She should not be negative and critical. She should be the positive, uplifting presence in his life, and not a drag to be around. Criticisms are best if done out of genuine concern for the other person, not when they are for your own benefit.

**dan**

not entirely apropos, but i discovered (rediscovered?) a really nice little move last night:

gaming a girl who was solidly 7.5-8 (and in new york, so thinks she’s 9-10). i was walking down the street, she was outside a bar smoking with a couple girlfriends and queers. eventually, as we’re talking, she takes a phone call in the middle of the conversation and says “wait, this is important, give me a minute.”

i say: “sure, let me get a drag of that.” i take one long drag, then stomp it out.

she says: “what the fuck?”

i say: “be grateful i just stalled your lung cancer by 5 minutes.”

and then i saw a gina tingle.

**Basil Ransom**

Silence is golden. Gestures speak louder than words.

Try:
Put your finger on her lips and say “shhhhh.”
Give a look of incredulity and contempt, and turn away. Add a slight shove for good measure.
Smirk, cup a cheek patronizingly, ignore the question, tease. Or note slight physical deformity.

For girls you’ve already banged. Better in quiet milieus.

Girls enjoy your humor, and they will try to elicit it upon request. Joke type answers will be a show you put on for her amusement. Overuse A&A, and she’ll deem you a tool.

askjoe
A really good, handy dandy guide to shit tests. A&A, all the way!

Good job!

DF
Damn, you’re hitting them out of the park with these posts. Good stuff.

Roosh
If you have an alpha female on your hands then she may do the same strategy.

Example:

GIRL: “Why didn’t you call last night? Are you dating someone else?”

YOU: “Yep, I’ve got a harem to service. Be happy you’re in the top tier.”

GIRL: “You are really seeing someone else???”

YOU: “Yeah five other girls.”

GIRL: “Well then we have to stop seeing each other.”

She wants you to back down, but you can’t.

YOU: “Alright so we off for friday then? Let me see if Stacy is free.” *pulls out cell phone*

If she really is ready to cut it off at your cockiness, then so be it, but I say she wasn’t a girl you should have gotten into a relationship into the first place. Walking on eggshells, etc.

Celtic
I’m dating a girl from another culture. I’d like to be able to use some of these things. She fully understands English, but she is not able to understand sarcasm and takes what I say literally.
I’d like to use some of these, but I believe she’d take it literally. If I said I was texting my “other girlfriend”, she’d likely think I really had another gf. If I said that she should go back to her ex, she would think I was dumping her.

I would be risking going back and explain that I was joking, which would cause me to come across as more beta.

So far, I’ve handled shit tests by either ignoring or by curtly brushing it off with a quick answer and continuing what I was talking about before.

I know you have a lot of experience dating girls from other cultures. You mentioned in your girls around the world thing that when you dated a Finnish girl she couldn’t take any jokes or teasing without being hurt- how do you usually handle shit tests when sarcasm/A&A isn’t a good option?

Lash

Excellent stuff, as usual. If you have any idea how valuable is your wisdom to men, then you’re quietly keeping it to yourself. That is alpha.

Agree & Amplify. Yes. It works in other passive hostility situations too, like people trying to make you look poorly in front of others.

First few minutes – Rapid fire shit tests designed to weed out betas

I for one am open to learning how to counter these. A&A here too? Should I work through the archives?

By the way-

racking your brain

Actually it’s wracking your brain (like nerve-wracking). I’m not picking nits. I just think this kind of slip is way beneath you. I’ll cool it with the servility in a moment, but your level of writing is bloody impressive. I read your writing and I read George Will’s, and when I read your writing, I look up more words.

editor

and then i saw a gina tingle.

yes, the gina tingle can be seen. it is betrayed by an imperceptible shift in her chair, and a sparkle in her eye.
Girls enjoy your humor, and they will try to elicit it upon request. Joke type answers will be a show you put on for her amusement. Overuse A&A, and she’ll deem you a tool.

good point, basil. it’s easy to be too cocky and become her dancing monkey. A&A should be alternated with I&S (Ignore & Switch). the switch is best done non-verbally, or, if verbally, to something completely unrelated, like examining a physical flaw, as you noted.

**flip ant**

Hope

*on August 13, 2009 at 2:40 pm*

Never say “Sometimes you can be such an asshole. My ex knew how to treat a lady.” Say, after he made an assholeish comment, “You know, you’re a dick sometimes, but I like it. I like how you don’t take any shit from people, and how you know when to be sweet and sensitive.”

Always reframe in the positive.

To me, it sounds like you’re mothering the guy and you’re going to be stuck mothering him as a part of your daily routine. The best thing to say after an asshole-ish comment is that you’re not going there, period, and if he wants to be an asshole that’s his own personal problem. If he expects you to “go there” with him, he’s going to end up alone or with someone who wants to mother him into better behavior. Sounds like too much work.

**xsplat**

G

*on August 13, 2009 at 2:43 pm*

First dates are always the best anyways.

After that, it typically slides downhill.

I don’t know – I’ve found the hot and heavy can’t get enough of each other fucking like bunnies sex usually lasts a good 4 months, with strong passion remaining for up to a year.

I’m 4 months into this girl, and seeing her face makes me warm inside, and seeing her put on a sexy shirt makes my dick hard. We still fuck like bunnies. It’s affecting my work.

**Cannon’s Canon**

Researcher:

“When a girl gives you a blowjob for the first time in your relationship, do you warn her when you are about to cum? Twice I have tried not warning, and both times the girl in the first moment angry or upset, and later falls much more in love with me. Amazing.”
I am delighted that you posted this. When I was in college, my girlfriend lived in a house with 5 other girls. This ethics question came up in my presence during girl talk after some alpha let off a surprise batch in one roommate’s mouth the night before. I took note of the harpyness as all the girls chided his rudeness. “What if she hates getting cum in her mouth?” they cried. “Not a good way to get a second blowjob!” These were hollow protests; gina tingles abounded.

The alpha made a few more appearances before transitioning his game elsewhere. He was held in high regard.

I considered a warning to be “polite” from then on out, because I was brainwashed into believing what girls SAY they like. Probably missed a few followups by taking the high road. Currently, I’ve been operating on a sliding scale: the easier it is to get the blowjob, the less likely I am to announce my blast. In light of your revelation, I think I will stop altogether.

flip ant on August 13, 2009 at 2:50 pm
All of your witty comebacks only exacerbate the problem. I would give you the same advice as Hope. If you don’t want to join her in her unhappy place then don’t. Your comebacks only indicate you’re letting her dictate your mood. Not very alpha. If you’re just biding time, hoping to get more pussy, it may not matter much. If you love her and want to make it better, don’t indulge her bad mood with tit for tat. It only puts you in her mood.

Comfort 2 Growth » Complacency Kills on August 13, 2009 at 2:53 pm
[...] Funny/Interesting read on how complacency takes ahold in relationships. Categories: Complacency Tags: Complacency Comments (0) Trackbacks (0) Leave a comment Trackback […]

Cannon's Canon on August 13, 2009 at 2:53 pm
Hope doesn’t do shit tests.

When she wants her man to switch classes, she doesn’t say, “You shouldn’t play your mage so much! My ex pwned n00bs with his rogue!”

She just says, “Honey, your main is so well-geared! Imagine what you could do with your alts!”

Hope on August 13, 2009 at 2:55 pm
To me, it sounds like you’re mothering the guy and you’re going to be stuck mothering him as a part of your daily routine. The best thing to say after an asshole-ish comment is that you’re not going there, period
Everything is context and depends on the speaker and the tone of delivery. When my guy made some on the surface asshole-ish comments to me, it did not faze me, because he does it in a teasing and joking tone. They are just little jabs, like how clumsy I am and how cute it is I stumble over things.

He doesn’t intend any harm by them. I don’t think I try to mother him; to me, mothering is more like lecturing — it’s what my mom did to me. I also let him know when he’s crossed the line into truly being insulting, and he will say sorry for it right away, not dwelling on it but also not “too good to apologize” either.

So I’ve told him that I kind of like his abrasiveness when dealing with people, because he does it in a joking and upbeat way. It’s a kind of honesty you don’t get from most people. He will tell me straight up when I’ve done something wrong, and he won’t berate me for it, but he will correct me. He will tell me what I need to improve on as well as give me small encouragements. That’s how he taught me how to ride a bike in pretty much a day.

Chris
How about a post on the T.O. Show on VH1?

It’s surely the most unadulterated exposition of alphadom on TV.

editor

I’m dating a girl from another culture. I’d like to be able to use some of these things. She fully understands English, but she is not able to understand sarcasm and takes what I say literally.

i had this problem with russian, finnish and polish girls i dated. A&A would be taken literally, and they would get genuinely hurt. your best bet is to alternate A&A with sincere answers. so if she wonders who you’re texting, tell her not to worry so much, she’s your lublu. sarcasm and cocky/funny don’t translate well with east euro chicks. you’ll have to train her to understand your humor, which could take months.

also understand that when you first start dating a foreign chick, no matter how hot she is, she will feel lower status than you simply because you’re american. that explains their sensitivity to your sarcasm. treat the foreign girl better than you would treat an american girl. but don’t overdo it with the romantic sincerity. though it will take longer, foreign girls will eventually sniff out and trample on betaness as ruthlessly as american girls.

Actually it’s wracking your brain (like nerve-wracking). I’m not picking nits. I just think this kind of slip is way beneath you.

i know that. it was an ejaculatory typo. i was thinking of breastessesss when i wrote it.
Jay
“GIRL: I’m going to shave.
ME: [sound of vomiting]

Peter”

This dude is a little disturbing. I occasionally read through the comments and he’s continually making reference to paedophilia and pubic hair.

Hmmm, much projection here I wonder. Methinks it’s possible the lady protests too much.

Chuck
The A&A strategy is ingenious.

Also, the table is pretty well honed, but you overlooked the PDA shit test.

My girlfriend of just over a year, when around her friends and in public, constantly pouts her lips in a kissy face and waits for me to bend over to kiss her. I never do it; I just stare at her with annoyance and then say No.

At first she’d laugh and stuff, now she acts all hurt by it. I’ve tried rationally explaining why I’m against it (I’m against PDAs and against her attempt to use me as a ploy in public), and I’ve also told her that if she wants to kiss me she can lean over to me and do it. But she has started getting her feelings hurt over it. What’s the best way to handle this PDA shit test?

editor
When a girl gives you a blowjob for the first time in your relationship, do you warn her when you are about to cum?

no. unless you want to ham it up like an autistic pornstar. “I’m cumming, definitely cumming. Oh yes, definitely… definitely cumming.”

girls who express disgust or contempt when you cum in their mouths unannounced are not good long term prospects. they are likely to be bitches. or lawyers. same diff. most normal girls who have a problem with surprise spooge will take it in their mouths and then go to the bathroom sink to spit it out. that’s ok, tho swallowing is a sign of deep deep, full-throated love.

if you want to straddle the fence on this issue, you can prepare her for the blast without announcing it like a street corner newsie. just make your moans louder and your thrusts harder. if she hates cum in her mouth, she’ll get the message and finish off the last couple strokes with her hand.
Backdoor Man  
on August 13, 2009 at 3:10 pm  
@ xsplat

“I’ve found the hot and heavy can’t get enough of each other fucking like bunnies sex usually lasts a good 4 months, with strong passion remaining for up to a year.”

I’ve been with my old lady for 13 years, and the sex has always been hot and frequent. In the past year, it’s actually been as hot as any time I can remember. This is normally after I take her on an emotional rollercoaster. When she thought I was having an affair? Unfuckingbelievable sex. When I announced that I would, indeed, be banging other chicks on the side? Same result.

Seeking Alpha  
on August 13, 2009 at 3:10 pm  

The best thing to say after an asshole-ish comment is that you’re not going there, period, and if he wants to be an asshole that’s his own personal problem. If he expects you to “go there” with him, he’s going to end up alone or with someone who wants to mother him into better behavior.

You would really give that entire lecture after one asshole-ish comment? You don’t sound like much fun at all.

Didn’t you say you were leaving here?

ScottATL  
on August 13, 2009 at 3:12 pm  

I actually got the the following question last night at a restaurant from my honestly smoking hot wife of five years: “If I ever get fat, will you still love me and what would you say?”

I told her that I would say the same thing that she would if I decided to quit my job and didn’t feel like working anymore so that I could relax on her income.

editor  
on August 13, 2009 at 3:13 pm  

chuck:  

But she has started getting her feelings hurt over it. What’s the best way to handle this PDA shit test?

wow, yeah, i’ve gotten almost the same crap from girls. couple of thoughts: you could pretend like you’re acquiescing and go in for the pucker, only to veer off at the last second and smack her ass. or tell her to close her eyes, then put your dog or cat up to her mouth to kiss it.
another thing you could do — take it to the extreme. give her all the pda she can handle and then some. so when she makes the pouty mouth, go in for the kiss, except rev it up and make out with her massively, licking her neck, squeezing her ass, shoving your tongue in her ear.

you gotta train girls like they are dogs — with the doggie treat and the rolled up newspaper.

**flip ant**

on August 13, 2009 at 3:14 pm

It’s hard for me to imagine NOT knowing when a man is about to cum in my mouth. A woman who’s good at blow jobs is pretty much in control of that…aren’t they?

**flip ant**

seeking alpha

on August 13, 2009 at 3:16 pm

You would really give that entire lecture after one asshole-ish comment? You don’t sound like much fun at all.

“All going there!” with a smile is hardly a lecture. And yes, I did say I was leaving.

**PA**

tell her not to worry so much, she’s your lublu

on August 13, 2009 at 3:18 pm

Polish and Russian chicks HATE HATE HATE each other. Saying “lublyu” to a PL chick will be taken as atomic-level neg. “Lublyu” for Russian chicks, “kohanye” for Polish.

Alas, I wouldn’t know what to say to Finnish chick. Never had the pleasure, despite my fervent hopes when I once entered a coed spa in Helsinki, which turned out to be as empty and cold as a DC Lawyer chick’s tit.

**maurice**

on August 13, 2009 at 3:25 pm

these are great, except i think the “yo mama” one is a little too crude and guy-ish to work with a girl. and the A&A principle pure shit-test-passing gold. this is also a continual screen for humor, in case you hadn’t been doing that already – a female that gets tired of c-f jokes is giving off a flashing red light that things are going south. compatibility in humor is really important and an underrated component of happiness in a relationship.

**DeepThought**

on August 13, 2009 at 3:26 pm

There’s a 18 year difference between me and my current red head GF (I’m 43 she is 25).

After 6 weeks of dating and nice and heavy sex she starts shit testing me heavily.
Red: “I haven’t even met your parents or friends yet!”
Me: “I’ve met yours and look where it’s got me.”
Her response was anger but still a damn good blowjob that night.

Red: “Your humor is sarcastic and hurtful.”
Me: “One of us has to be funny.”
Red: “Women can be funny too…”
Me: “Not intentionally”
We went into a deeper discussion and I pointed out to her that she either respects my opinions or she needs to leave. She didn’t.

Last Sunday night she cooked she cooked me and some friends dinner. During a conversation, my phone rang and it was my 13 yr old son. She was talking and I asked for a bit of quiet. She did not stop talking so I “shushed” her. After everyone left, she looks at me and says…

Red: “Never shush me again in front of my friends.”
Me: I looked at her and stood up and walked to the door. As I put on my shoes I told her to give me a kiss and hug and that I was leaving. She gave me this stunned discussion and I said.

Me: “It’s better if I left right now, I have better things to do than be berated.”
That night she texts me twice and leaves a message apologizing. I ignored all her communications till next morning. And we are fine again.

I know this is not a long-term relationship but any man who caves into a women’s shit test deserves any resultant pain on their psyche.

Tonight at 6 I have another date with a coworker at a local bar. Never ignore other options while dating an “iffy” woman. If your not sure she is the one for you, than make sure to continuously look out for other options.

I maybe 43 but with my Mediterranean ancestry and looks and 4-5 times Gym workout I figure I can keep dating younger women till I’m 48-50 years old. By that time I’ll look to settle down with one in her early to mid 30’s. Keep your cloths in style and always be clean and presentable without looking like a nerd. Yes that means buying new shirts at least twice a year and getting rid of ones that have past their expiration notice.

LP on August 13, 2009 at 3:28 pm
I’ve been a reader for a few years now, but have never commented.

Really, really good post. As a female (and once in awhile shit tester) I can say the entire post is very accurate.
maurice
@PA- for ukrainian girls you can use both “lyubyty” and “kokhaty” – the former more spiritual, the latter more carnal in overtone. dobzhe? domovylysy?

DeepThought
@ flip ant
All of your witty comebacks only exacerbate the problem. I would give you the same advice as Hope. If you don’t want to join her in her unhappy place then don’t. Your comebacks only indicate you’re letting her dictate your mood. Not very alpha. If you’re just biding time, hoping to get more pussy, it may not matter much. If you love her and want to make it better, don’t indulge her bad mood with tit for tat. It only puts you in her mood.

You are such a beta… any man who wants to avoid insults should turn his nutsucks into the nearest NOW chapter.

Never ever let anyone and that includes your girl verbally jab at you without consequences. It just emboldens them to further verbal abuse.

Challenge
Don’t forget that fights are almost 100% shit test.

Two other ways of dealing with these shit tests:

1. Laugh and change the subject. Slightly more powerful than I&S, more likely to piss her off.

2. Answer with a kiss. Push her up to the wall, hold her hands back, and kiss her with passion. The goal is for her to moan. Don’t forget that stirred emotions, even negative ones, lead to the best sex.

Doug1
Celtic–

One of your gems CH.

This one is also real easy to put into practice, for guys with a bit of brainpower for good snappy amplify side sarcastic comeback. Even for those that don’t have much horsepower up there, it’s a good strategy. Anything wild on the amplify side will work at least a little.

@celtic As for foreign girls not picking up on sarcasm and irony in practice, yeah I’ve gotten that too.
In addition to alternating reassuring (if also partly sidestepping) answers with sarcastic ones, I’ve also just flat out explained the love of sarcasm in certain wide circles in the US and Britain, and then gave examples, coming back to something like: “you’re supposed to be able to tell, because you’re confidant enough. It’s supposed to be a little conspiracy between us. You know that if I say something extreme enough in response, what I mean is the opposite of what I said.” That actually is a tad misleading. It ignores the fact that what you’re really doing is leaving the answer a touch ambiguous, but it works well.

You’ll find you need to explain it again several times. Each time with more shorthand. Then you can just look at her funny and she’ll go – “oh, sarcasm”. You can then say “gold star for you” and smirk. Or something like.

**Hope**

*on August 13, 2009 at 3:44 pm*

*Never ever let anyone and that includes your girl verbally jab at you without consequences. It just emboldens them to further verbal abuse.*

I agree with this. I did not set limits and boundaries in my old relationship, which is why my ex still sees fit to call me all kinds of nasty names while feeling justified.

It was because for years I tolerated them. My mom trained me to put up with the verbal abuse. Where is a 10 year old kid going to go when mom yells at the kid? So in my old relationship I acted like that same kid, thinking I had nowhere to go.

Now I know better and would not put up with the abuse. I wouldn’t let a man yell at me for half an hour anymore. I also would not yell or verbally abuse the person I’m with. If I ever catch myself feeling that way again, I would first take a moment to see WTF is wrong with me, and if the problem is really not me, I’d leave.

**xsplat**

*CH*

*on August 13, 2009 at 3:50 pm*

*Girls enjoy your humor, and they will try to elicit it upon request. Joke type answers will be a show you put on for her amusement. Overuse A&A, and she’ll deem you a tool.*

Good point, basil. It’s easy to be too cocky and become her dancing monkey. A&A should be alternated with I&S (Ignore & Switch). The switch is best done non-verbally, or, if verbally, to something completely unrelated, like examining a physical flaw, as you noted.

This is similar to something S.A. said – sometimes the best response is none. I think I give no reply or silence and smile to 15% of what my girl says.
Silent brooding over a computer focusing on business might not be considered game, but if it’s an alternation to wild intimacy or a night on the town, it’s a contrast, that the girl does not choose, and that’s game. You make her feel up or down, you are the script-writer of the movie. She doesn’t know if it’s a comedy or a romance or an action thriller coming up.

One ignore and switch I like to do is the sexual non-sequiter. Can’t think of examples just now, but she’ll say something boring, and I’ll reply something like “Exactly, that’s what I mean. That’s why I need to fuck you right now.”

Anonymous
the “n” in “pin” stands for number. derp derp.
on August 13, 2009 at 4:00 pm

Epoxytocin No. 87
the “n” in “pin” stands for number. derp derp.
on August 13, 2009 at 4:04 pm

Heh.

I always hated “please rsvp”, because 3/4 of the “rsvp” already means please.

Back to the regularly scheduled programming...

Mr. Derp
“the “n” in “pin” stands for number. derp derp.”
on August 13, 2009 at 4:25 pm

 Aren’t I a great character? My antics go right to the funny bone! If you liked Chef you’re going to love Mr. Derp.

Black Military Man
What if she makes fun of your genitals?

Agree and amplify?

or

Ignore and switch?

[editor: neither. dump her.]

you know who

on August 13, 2009 at 4:31 pm
There seems to be a mistaken belief among the betacracy that game may be good for pickup but it’ll do nothing at best, and sabotage at worst, your prospects for a long and healthy relationship. These are the beliefs of weak and nutless men who habitually dumpster date women way under their own market value so that they can go on acting like Dr. Phil castrati without consequence.

Yes. Surely any man, even if he is a soldier, police officer or firefighter, is weak and nutless just because he doesn’t think game is a good idea in a relationship, or a good idea in general. (Hint: this does not make any logical sense.)

You are confusing healthy relationships with the kind of relationships you’re having. Your relationships are not healthy (I also imagine that they aren’t particularly long). To you, a relationship is not about being with someone you like or love, it’s about control. You devise all these techniques and rules and analyze every aspect of your relationships in minute detail just to make the woman stay with you. That is your only goal. Holding women in thrall to your mighty cock is all you care about, and the reason why you can’t have them dump you is because it would damage your ego and delusions of alpha grandeur. Your relationships are all smoke and mirrors without a hint of sincerity or seriousness.

On the other hand, the women you date are obviously so shitty (hence the rampant shit testing and whatnot) that it would be difficult to care about them. Ok, you can obviously date whomever you want to, but don’t extrapolate information about all women based on the ones you date and then hand out relationship advice to other people.

The sample dialogue you posted reminds me of the fact that PUAs are like some fucking goosestepping clone army. Absolutely no personality, individuality or variation, just the same predictable “cocky/funny” bullshit over, over and over again, 24/7, rain or shine.

**DeepThought**

I know this is not a long-term relationship but any man who caves into a women’s shit test deserves any resultant pain on their psyche.

Don’t date low quality women who shit test. Whether you cave in or provide the correct answer, you are still subjecting yourself to her childish shit testing instead of walking away.

I expect that this message will not survive the inevitable moderation barrier.

**The Fifth Horseman**

This is a crucial element of Game, and I am glad CH has brought the topic up today.

This is also a great part of Game to practice with your wingmen or lair co-participants.
Have one throw the test out, while you practice a witty and casual reply. Do this until the practice is enough to make it second nature.

A great way for two guys to help each other practice honing their Game.

Tommy
yeah her kvetching is got to be on minute 15 by now.

Seeking Alpha
Fuck man, is TJF really back?

Seeking Alpha
Any chance we could turn “Relationship Week” into “Relationship Month”?

Or just relationship day… you don’t have to be so predictable as to pick a specific day, but one relationship game post a week would be appreciated.

gig
OT, but alpha phrase of the day

Brazil played Estonia yesterday to commemorate 100 years of the estonian football federation. The estonians didn’t play, they hit and fought the whole game.

Brazilian players got irritated because that was supposed to be a “friendly match” and Brazil plays Argentina in two weeks. Also, most of the team will start the European season in September.

Anyway, the match got violent
People interviewed the Brazilian coach after the game and he said “if Estonians hit their women like they play football, those should be the happiest women on Earth”

gig
TJF is back!!!!!!! Awesome!!!! Suck it CH, the great seductor is back!!!

TJF, when you decide to shoot random people in a finnish shopping mall, please tell us on the day before. I’ll keep an eye on bloomberg the whole day!

sestamibi
. . . and the “M” in ATM stands for “machine”
Cannon's Canon
“I’ll keep an eye on bloomberg the whole day!”

A new use for the Nice Guy boards!!!
gregi will have to add a 27th screen!

Seeking Alpha
@ sestamibi

His point was that saying ‘pin number’ is repeating the word ‘number’ twice. No one says ‘ATM machine’… at least that I know of.

LILGRL
@ sestamibi, epoxy, etc.

Right.

So, obviously, what you should say is…

Do you want my ATM machine PIN number too?

lol he he he (tm – gunny)

Welmer
You guys really ought to check out this Carl Jung article I wrote up today. The guy is saying the exact same thing CH has been, and doing so almost a hundred years ago.

Fascinating stuff. Jung was actually advocating game before WWI, and predicting an eventual national meltdown due to American women going off the hinge (because American men need to learn game — seriously).

Uncanny.

LILGRL
THAT SAID, not a bad post. Definitely an aspect of Game to master.
Of course, girls can do it, too. The boyfriend and I “agree and amplify” all the time…it helps that most of our conversations are just really long non-serious flirtations interspersed with nerdTalk. I suppose anyone who’s gotten the flirting/teasing thing down pat will know that “agree and amplify” is something you do on the regular, without even noticing it.

It does seem that we were a bit hasty in coming up with examples, though? I mean, do girls really say things like, “Sometimes you can be such an asshole. My ex knew how to treat a lady.”? I feel like this would be covered in How to Talk to Men 101.

SRSLY.

Dreamer  
“Don’t date low quality women who shit test.”

All women shit test. Can’t avoid it.

Some do it more often then others, but it’ll regularly occur in most M/F relationships, including w/ your female friends, relatives, and co-workers from time-to-time, albeit in a different dynamic from a romantic relationship.

Dreamer  
@LILGRL

yeh, the “ex” comment is weird, but it’s plausible.
i think some girls would say something like, “no one i’ve ever dated has said / done XYZ to me,”…
because they may be used to more coddling from guys and are pissed at the nonchalance of this strategy.

Dave from Hawaii  
Agree & Amplify was the watershed moment in understanding all about the idea of “GAME” that I had discovered on the internet.

I had no clue how badly I was failing shit tests, and why I was always getting into passive-aggressive conflicts. I thought shit tests were logical inquiries based on linear thinking.

Upon reading the A&A approach to shit tests, now-defunct PUA blog “The Reality Method,” I thought long and hard about how many times I had encountered such tests and failed them miserably.
The first time I tried A&A, when she asked me if I had a mistress, and I answered that no, I had 4 of them, and I was getting worn out trying to keep them all plus herself satisfied. I was holding my breath trying to see what her reaction would be….she giggled and said “you’re so delusional!” To which I than A&A again…”Damn straight, how else do you think I’ve stayed married to you all these years?” The conversation turned into playful teasing, ending with me spanking her ass and starting to playfully roughhouse.

Inside, I felt like I had just discovered the holy grail. I spent YEARS in the “What do you mean you think I have a mistress? Why would you think that? You know you’re the only women for me!”

The next time I got another shit test from her, I was more than ready for it:

“Do I look fat in this dress?”

The shit test is really in effect, beta entrapment.

A sting operation.

In essence, it is a women’s biological, subconscious gut-check: either it’s “AHA! I KNEW YOU WERE NOT THE ALPHA YOU PRESENTED YOURSELF TO BE! YOU DISGUSTING BETA…I CAN’T BELIEVE I LET YOU SEE ME NAKED!”

or “Whew, he’s still got genes worthy for our offspring.”

Welmer on August 13, 2009 at 5:21 pm

you know who

There seems to be a mistaken belief among the betacracy that game may be good for pickup but it’ll do nothing at best, and sabotage at worst, your prospects for a long and healthy relationship. These are the beliefs of weak and nutless men who habitually dumpster date women way under their own market value so that they can go on acting like Dr. Phil castrati without consequence.

Yes. Surely any man, even if he is a soldier, police officer or firefighter, is weak and nutless just because he doesn’t think game is a good idea in a relationship, or a good idea in general. (Hint: this does not make any logical sense.)

According to Carl Jung, founder of Analytical Psychology, game is essential to good relationships.

You are confusing healthy relationships with the kind of relationships you’re having. Your relationships are not healthy (I also imagine that they aren’t particularly long). To you, a relationship is not about being with someone you like or love, it’s about control.

Again, Jung states that women crave mastery.
I’ll take Jungian psychology over your delusions about constitutes love any day.

Obsidian
LilGrl,
WHY is it necessary that we MUST have a “his/hers” version of errthang? This reminds me of the recent “Girl Game” nonsense, there is no such thing. For the most part, Women doing this sort of thing doesn’t really work.

Dreamer,
I do agree that Women cannot help shit testing and that Men must be able to deflect it when it happens. Humor is the best way, but there comes a time when more…aggressive methods are needed.

What I’ve found is that Black Women tend to “frontload” the shit testing, say, earlier on in the dance, so to speak, though some go thru their moods more and so on. That’s when I either get humorous, or, depending on my mood, just step outta the way and let her stew in her stuff.

And when I’m really tired of the shit testing, I go completely silent. This is like death, because I’m usually a quite talkative person. So when I’m silent...

Take last week. Brown Sugah was giving me the usual shit tests, and I went completely stone cold.

Here’s her text msgs, in brief:

Brown Sugah: *after not hearing from me for about 2 hours* Are you mad at me?

Obsidian: Kinda.

BS: Well I’m sorry.

O: OK. So, wassup, we doing this weekend, or what?

BS: Fine. Suit yourself. I’ll see you later on @ ……. Because Daddy gets what he wants....

Indeed, he most certainly do.

😉

The Obsidian

Almost a Doctor
Great post!
My bf does this all the time! And I’m usually left speechless most of the time.

Me: You’re such an assholes sometimes.

Him: I know. And you like it.

There’s no good comeback to that!

LILGRL
@ Dreamer

Yeah, I feel ya. The gist of it is all in there — my point was just that the lines themselves are not what you’re (usually) going to explicitly hear. Something more like “I’ve never had a guy treat me like this,” or “I’m not used to guys who are such assholes,” might be more plausible. Would she really pit you directly against one of her ex’s?

@ Encolpius

(re: other girlfriend)

My boyfriend: But I thought you liked (X, Y, Z)
Me: Nope. Stop confusing me with your other girlfriend.
My boyfriend: Oh yeah, I guess that was my other girlfriend. Holly.
Me: Yeah, I would never like that…
My boyfriend: …but Holly would! HAHAHAHAHAHA!
Me: *facepalm*

Dave from Hawaii
@ dreamer

Oh and just for the edification of people that fall under the TokyoBetaGrist delusion that only lower quality women “shit test” it’s important to actually understand exactly what a shit test is.

Too put it in more refined terminology, it is a CONGRUENCE test.

Here is some excerpts of what The Reality Method wrote about before he pulled his site down (this shit was so life altering, I saved it to my hard drive long before he decided to take his blog down):

The shit test is possibly the most brilliant social weapon women have in their arsenal.

Also known as a “congruency test”, the shit test is a tool used by women both consciously and unconsciously to “screen” the men they come into contact with.
Women, being blessed with only a limited number of eggs (chances to reproduce) are sexual selectors: they must chose who they will (and will not) sleep with.

Men, having a basically unlimited number of sperm, ought to try to impregnate as many women as physically possible, in hopes that some of them will bear children.

Therefore, women are sexually receptive, and men are sexually aggressive. Women get many more offers for sex than men, and must choose which, if any, man she will decide to pair her eggs with in an effort to carry on her genes.

These evolutionary facts have carried over into modern society and dating despite recent technological advances like condoms and hormonal birth control.

But condoms and birth control are logical instruments; and choosing a mate is an emotional process for a woman.

Women, especially attractive women, get plenty of offers for sex – therefore they have learned to put a large part of their “screening” method on autopilot.

That automatic screening method is the shit test.

The most common shit test know to man is:

Does my bum look big in this?

Or, in a similar vein,

I’m fat

These question/statements have two very specific answers. One can either answer positively or negatively. As in,

“Yeah, actually, those pants do make your bum look fat.”

Or,

“No, honey! Those don’t make you look fat at all.”

The crux of the dilemma, of course — and what makes shit tests so powerful — is that the girl doing the testing already knows the answer to her question, and therefore, both answers are “wrong”.

If a girl is asking you if you think she’s fat, or if something makes her LOOK fat, then I will guarantee you that either A) she IS fat, or B) whatever she’s wearing DOES make her look fat.
If you respond in the positive, and affirm the reality that she already knows to be true, you are an asshole, because you are insulting her (doesn’t matter that she invited you to do so).

On the other hand, if you DENY the reality that she is / looks fat, you are LYING TO HER FACE. She now knows you cannot be trusted; she sees that you are just trying to pacify her and make her feel good.

The question arises: “But don’t women just want us to make them feel good, all the time?”

Yes and no. Women want to feel good, yes; but what makes them feel good is knowing they are attached to a real man, a man with his feet firmly set in reality, a man who can lead them and protect them — a man, in short, **who doesn’t pander, doesn’t fear their opinions, and generally makes his own way.**

A man, in short, who won’t lie to them to make them feel good.

So let’s refine our definition of shit test.

A screening question or statement the answer to which is already know, that is structured in such a way that answering truthfully will kill the asker’s attraction to you, and answering untruthfully will expose you as a liar for the rest of the conversation.

—

The idea is, all of these questions TEMPT you to lie (in order to placate her). At the same time, if you resist the temptation and tell the truth, you’re not much better off – because you just responded in a logical, boring and descriptive way to a stupid rhetorical question, and now she sees you as just another boring, logical, descriptive guy who is trying to prove himself to her — instead of a guy who can lead her in conversation and in life.

Do you see how brilliantly this structure screens guys? It truly separates the boring, normal guys who won’t be able to excite her (in conversation and certainly not in bed) from the oily line-spitting guys who are just trying to talk their way into her pants.

—

Being able to sidestep the shit test is one of the most important aspects of interacting with women. Without this knowledge, you will get shot down / disqualified 95% of the time by truly “hot” women automatically, without them even thinking about it. I’ve written a lot lately about more “advanced” topics like bisexual girlfreinds and threesomes, but I really think this post, and getting this one area handled, is responsible for guys getting into better interactions, more quickly, than all the advanced sex-theory I will *ever* write.

Ultimately, we should be glad for shit tests. They help ensure that every woman we met hasn’t already been plowed up one side and down the other by 15,000 other random guys.
And this is exactly how A&A works. Because A&A is in it’s very essence, playful flirting…and if there’s one thing most men forget how to do once they get comfortable and complacent in a LTR, they stop flirting with their women…they stop seducing them. They start acting “entitled” to sex…and when this mindset kills her attraction for them, they start to BEG and PLEAD for her to live up to her obligation as a spouse or LTR partner…which kills her attraction for you even worse.

Than she starts to “fall out of love.” Or she wants to “find herself.” She “Is just not happy anymore! I don’t know how much longer I can do this!”

**Lexington Green**

Please provide a cite to where Carl Jung says something on point.

That is interesting.

**novaseeker**

According to Carl Jung, founder of Analytical Psychology, game is essential to good relationships.

You are confusing healthy relationships with the kind of relationships you’re having. Your relationships are not healthy (I also imagine that they aren’t particularly long). To you, a relationship is not about being with someone you like or love, it’s about control.

Again, Jung states that women crave mastery.

I’ll take Jungian psychology over your delusions about constitutes love any day.

Yep, Welmer, it’s very obvious to anyone who looks at the issue seriously and who isn’t blinded by feminism or political correctness. Great article, btw.

**Welmer**

Lexington Green

Please provide a cite to where Carl Jung says something on point.

That is interesting.

I just wrote it up on my blog. You can click on my name and it will be right there.

BTW, thanks, Nova. I am feeling truly inspired by my tentative exploration of Jungian psychology. Fascinating stuff for me.
LILGRL
@ Obsidian

We don’t need a his/hers version of everything...did I say that?

Was merely mentioning that this “technique” is actually something that most people who are flirtatious and/or teasing in much of their conversation, employ, be they male or female.

It’s somewhat intrinsic of any light-hearted conversation. It does work explicitly against shit-tests because it keeps the conversation light — thus making the man appear completely unaffected by said shit-test...which, as we know, is the only real answer she’s looking for.

Doesn’t make it exclusive to your gender, though.

LILGRL

Because A&A is in it’s very essence, playful flirting...

Truth.

auric

[quote]I also like to have fun with it sometimes. ‘It’s the President, he needs me for a special assignment.’ Or whatever else I happen to think of.[/quote]

I always liked:

It’s the Commissioner…

<>

To the Bat Cave!

Gunslingergregi

""""""LILGRL,

My boyfriend: But I thought you liked (X, Y, Z)
Me: Nope. Stop confusing me with your other girlfriend.
My boyfriend: Oh yeah, I guess that was my other girlfriend. Holly.
Me: Yeah, I would never like that…
My boyfriend: …but Holly would! HAHAHAHAHAHA!
Me: *facepalm*""""""

WTF was that my stomach hurts. Did you just write facepalm or was that typo?
Dreamer
@Dave from Hawaii

Interesting info.

Exactly.

All women shit test, it’s just a screen to see how you fit in their world. We have to be selective, it’s a survival thing.

And men ‘gotta learn to find a fun (not just asshole way) to get past the shit testing. Keeps things interesting...

Gunslingergregi

Shit cannon gonna have me up to 100 screens one of em being a video camera mounted to watch the front door of the gs building.

Tommy

I’d love to hear a counter or way to handle the “I didn’t cum” shit test..

When I was a teenager a girl I saw would say this a lot, looking back I know she was lying, given my experience elsewhere.

Gunslingergregi

""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""

That was beautiful man.

Tim

“You’re not man if you don’t eat fruit from the tree of knowledge”

First shit test ever....

Hope
All women shit test, it’s just a screen to see how you fit in their world. We have to be selective, it’s a survival thing.

I take issue with the word usage there. If you’re just screening, then you can do it via casual conversation and deeper discussions. There’s just seeing if there’s a connection. If you’re shit-testing, then you’re actually giving the guy “shit,” with attitude, snarkiness, and negativity. Unless all friendly conversations are de facto shit-tests?

I realize that some hot babe at a club is going to have much more of an attitude because she has guys hitting on her constantly. But as an average, introverted girl, I can screen just fine without those things. I seriously can’t imagine just flat out saying to a guy I’d just met, “buy me a drink,” even if it’s done in a teasing way.

What LILGRL says about being witty and playful works for her, and seems to work fabulously. But it does not suit me. The times I’ve tried sarcasm and wittiness, I got a ton of backlash and hatred. I just stick to being myself. Being playful is fun and all with people who know me well, but doing it around strangers usually backfires on me.

you know who
Dave from Hawaii

I had no clue how badly I was failing shit tests, and why I was always getting into passive-aggressive conflicts. I thought shit tests were logical inquiries based on linear thinking.

Why would you ever want to validate shit tests by trying to pass them? Shit testing is like a homeless man approaching you in the street and saying “I’ll bet you five dollars you can’t drink my diarrhea without vomiting.” You lose whether you succeed or fail, because you just drank a homeless man’s diarrhea for five dollars. It’s better to just keep on walking.

The first time I tried A&A, when she asked me if I had a mistress, and I answered that no, I had 4 of them, and I was getting worn out trying to keep them all plus herself satisfied. I was holding my breath trying to see what her reaction would be….she giggled and said “you’re so delusional!” To which I than A&A again…”Damn straight, how else do you think I’ve stayed married to you all these years?” The conversation turned into playful teasing, ending with me spanking her ass and starting to playfully roughhouse.

Must be nice to know that your personality is dictated entirely by someone else. You have to be Cocky/Funny PUA Clone #572341 because your wife will not respond to anything else.

Too put it in more refined terminology, it [shit test] is a CONGRUENCE test.

It’s a personality disorder. Why would you ask “do I look fat in this” if you are not looking for a serious answer? Because you have a constant need to create drama and tension (just like on TV).
Yes and no. Women want to feel good, yes; but what makes them feel good is knowing they are attached to a real man, a man with his feet firmly set in reality, a man who can lead them and protect them — a man, in short, who doesn’t pander, doesn’t fear their opinions, and generally makes his own way.

And yet answering truthfully to her question does not qualify you as being unafraid of her opinion, whereas avoiding the question does. Where is the logic in that?

**Welmer**

According to Carl Jung, founder of Analytical Psychology, game is essential to good relationships. Jung states that women crave mastery.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Argument_from_authority

I’ll take Jungian psychology over your delusions about constitutes love any day.

Are you saying that being in a relationship just to control another person for the purpose of stroking your own ego is love?

**Dave from Hawaii**

Hope, not all shit tests have to be sarcastic, or witty, or bitchy or snarky. Read my last post quoting the reality method, in which he cites the most common shit test “Do I look Fat?”

That question is not done bitchy, witty, or sarcastically. In fact, one of the reasons why that is such a ubiquitous shit test is because the average man growing up brainwashed by our feminist matrix culture, encounters this from a women posing it in a manner that indicates sincerity.

This is why TV commercials and movies and sitcoms always make fun of guys being “deathly afraid” of the “Do I look fat?” question.

” then you can do it via casual conversation and deeper discussions.

That is screening….shit testing. Just because you do it differently doesn’t mean it’s not the same thing.

**Duece bigalow**

Fuck. I just encountered the ” we should take it slow” hit test. I said no.. I don’t have time for that shit. Either figure out what u want or I’m peacin out. “but…” I just walked out the apt.

Saw her next night in dc.. She tried so hard to talk to me. Ignored it.
I could have used the A&A

Seeking Alpha
@ You Know Who

Why would you ask “do I look fat in this” if you are not looking for a serious answer?

To answer you in your own language, the article Dave copied explained the LOGICAL answer to your question. She does it to assess your potential as a mate.

Why does a lie detector ask you your name if they already know it? To calibrate the machine.

It is logical that the question should not be answered literally.

—

Anyway, that’s my one attempt with the recurring troll. He tends to go away in a couple days when everyone ignores him, so please, let’s not indulge him.

dick fuel

never be defensive – clutching drinks to chest, holding arms close/crossed, and vehemently denying statements made about oneself

“always be the most comfortable person in the room” – caj

dick fuel decree #69 – the loser of an argument shall be the one who becomes defensive

this chief countermeasure is embodied by a&a, and exactly the way to go.

but isn’t this teetering on the brink of sarcasm?

stay out of logical minefields lizard king; like whores, they always blow.

Welmer

you know who

Welmer

According to Carl Jung, founder of Analytical Psychology, game is essential to good relationships. Jung states that women crave mastery.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Argument_from_authority
Did I make an argument? Nope. I made a factual statement.

An argument from authority would be something like this:

“Women crave mastery because Jung says so.”

You need to work on your semantics.

*I’ll take Jungian psychology over your delusions about constitutes love any day.*

Are you saying that being in a relationship just to control another person for the purpose of stroking your own ego is love?

Why don’t you tell us what love is?

**LILGRL**

Heh.

Me: Do you think I look fat?

My boyfriend: Yes. You look like a hwhale.

Me: Did you just say “hwhale”? 


Me: Right…

My boyfriend: Maybe if you didn’t eat so much cool hwhip…

—

**The_King**

Pure gold post. Props. Being a total prick is the way to go.

Peter go fuck yourself and shut up. You’re routine is old and repetitive, if you’re going to worship “bush” at least come up with something more interesting and convincing.

**Dave from Hawaii**

*Where is the logic in that?*

After all this time, you’ve still failed to come to grips with the eternal truth most of us recognize:
female attraction is not based on logic.

Yes, there are women out there who can certainly apply logic to override their attraction towards a man they know is bad for them...but what inspires the attraction in the first place has NOTHING TO DO WITH LOGIC.

The fact that you continually fail to recognize this is exactly why I deduced you have never lived with a woman other than perhaps you mother...but even then, you display an astounding naivete when it comes to your statements about how women shouldn’t let their emotions and moods dictate their behavior.

That is the very nature of the feminine essence. That is why the masculine traits of logic and linear thinking is complimentary in a relationship to a women’s emotional based thinking.

You have continually stated that in your mind, a quality woman is one who’s every behavior and action is dictated by logic.

Good luck finding that which does not exist.

Chuck welmer:

on August 13, 2009 at 6:27 pm
glad you found the Jung piece. you should read his memoir “Memories, Dreams, Reflections”. He’s an interesting guy who gets overshadowed by Freud all too often. Jung was also a huge inspiration for Joseph Campbell, author of such works as “Myths to Live By” and “Hero with a Thousand Faces”. Campbell, in turn, was a big influence on George Lucas of Star Wars fame.

I believe that these guys, Freud, Jung, and Campbell, have a lot to offer in the way of sorting out the natural inclinations of men/women and the development of healthy, happy relationships. We need to explore them and read them further.

The_King @LILGRL

on August 13, 2009 at 6:27 pm
LOL

Would you like fries with your whip? Maybe extra lard sauce? You’re boyfriend is cool.

you know who Seeking Alpha

on August 13, 2009 at 6:29 pm
To answer you in your own language, the article Dave copied explained the LOGICAL answer to your question. She does it to assess your potential as a mate.

Next time you could try quoting me in context. The rest of the paragraph was: “because you have a constant need to create drama and tension (just like on TV).”

Anyway, that’s my one attempt with the recurring troll.

This is kind of like asking if you look fat because the objective is to create drama and tension. Which, on the Internet, is kind of like trolling (irony alert).

**Dave from Hawaii**

Or did you manage to find your “special, QUALITY” woman who doesn’t allow her emotions to dictate her mood?

I expect people to control their emotions.

**Welmer**

Did I make an argument? Nope. I made a factual statement.

You argued that because Jung said something it must be true. You appealed to authority.

Why don’t you tell us what love is?

So you’re actually serious. You think a loving relationship is about control, manipulation and ego boosting.

**xsplat**

Lilgirl

I suppose anyone who’s gotten the flirting/teasing thing down pat will know that “agree and amplify” is something you do on the regular, without even noticing it.

I prefer the pre-emptive agree and amplify.

**Dreamer**

@Hope

Your casual conversations are shit tests. You’re chilling out with the guy, talking to him… you’re trying to see if his responses and attitude fit your needs/ wants… if he matches what you’re looking for in a man.
Shit tests have many forms, and don’t necessarily equal being bitchy. Shit tests can be endearing or subtle, especially after months of being together.

Actually, shit tests can reveal a woman’s vulnerability and insecurities… (and it’s up to the guy to deflect them in a way that shows he has and will always have control of the situation, so she can relax and feel that he will take care of her / be on top of things , even when her emotions get the best of her).

**Gunslingergregi**

Is that a cityguywhodiedoncrosshandclechedreadytopunch for real

wtf was up with the first paragraph.

Can’t be him.

How ya been dude.

He has changed if first paragraph was him cause that shit was one of the most fucked up movies ever put in my mind but still funny.

**Hope**

Read my last post quoting the reality method, in which he cites the most common shit test “Do I look Fat?”

That question is not done bitchy, witty, or sarcastically. In fact, one of the reasons why that is such a ubiquitous shit test is because the average man growing up brainwashed by our feminist matrix culture, encounters this from a women posing it in a manner that indicates sincerity.

This is why TV commericals and movies and sitcoms always make fun of guys being “deathly afraid” of the “Do I look fat?” question.

A woman who is emotionally disciplined will not need or want to ask those questions. That question in particular is easy to answer for herself. She should already know whether or not she’s fat, or whether or not a particular piece of clothing flatters her figure. OTOH, I do ask for opinions on what he’d like to see more of in my closet, and for advice on what I should wear sometimes.

If a woman feels insecure in the relationship and starts wanting to get commitment indicators from the man, she should first look for the root causes. A man who has been giving her reasons to be so insecure might not be the kind of man she should be with in the first place. This blog is
about letting pretty lies perish, right? Then women should stop lying to themselves that they can convert a man who does not truly want to be with her into a man who does.

That is screening….shit testing. Just because you do it differently doesn’t mean it’s not the same thing.

Personally, I prefer to think of my “screening” as having discernment. I would talk to a person and get to know that person as a casual acquaintance, and see if there is potential for more. I never assume the guy is hitting on me. I do not throw out little “tests” to see if they pass. I do not ask “leading questions” or overthink everything. My judgment is just intuitive understanding of the other person’s framework. Maybe it is the same thing according to your definition, but again it comes down to semantics.

**Welmer**

you know who

**Welmer**

_Did I make an argument? Nope. I made a factual statement._

You argued that because Jung said something it must be true. You appealed to authority.

You’re full of shit.

_Why don’t you tell us what love is?_

So you’re actually serious. You think a loving relationship is about control, manipulation and ego boosting.

Putting words in my mouth, eh?

You can’t win here by cheating, halfwit.

Time to flush this troll.

**Hope**

_Your casual conversations are shit tests._

Are they still shit tests when I talk to other women? Are they still shit tests if I don’t know the other person’s gender? Where is the line drawn?

Is this question for you a shit test? (I think you’re a girl.)
Welmer
Chuck

welmer:

glad you found the Jung piece. you should read his memoir “Memories, Dreams, Reflections”. He’s an interesting guy who gets overshadowed by Freud all too often. Jung was also a huge inspiration for Joseph Campbell, author of such works as “Myths to Live By” and “Hero with a Thousand Faces”. Campbell, in turn, was a big influence on George Lucas of Star Wars fame.

I believe that these guys, Freud, Jung, and Campbell, have a lot to offer in the way of sorting out the natural inclinations of men/women and the development of healthy, happy relationships. We need to explore them and read them further.

It was a great find, Chuck. I was searching for material on Jung, and your blog came right up.

And I think you’re absolutely correct: we do need to study these guys.

BTW, I’ve always liked Campbell.

Jack Burton

Another fun way to go with the shit test is fake beta. Here’s how it went down the other morning:

She: [cranky-sounding] I can’t believe you said I had a big ass last night. That was really hurtful…

Me: [High, femmy voice] I’m sooooooooo sorry.
[Like I’m about to cry] I’m reeeeeeeeeeally sorry

Her: Hahahahah [bj]

Another good one:

Her: [some trifling bullshit about something I said or did, I really don’t remember]

Me: [really soft voice, timid body language] I’m sorry sweetheart, what do YOU think I should have done?
[back to my own voice & manner] Is that what you want? [superbeta again] I don’t know, dear, what do you think?
[me again] Is that the kind of thing you’re looking for?

Her: [squirming with delight/disgust throughout, now a dirty smile] No. You know what I want [hand on package]
Good times…

**Carl Sagan**

Dave from Hawaii needs to have his own blog. Always posting solid information from his experiences.

Did I already mention how much I love these posts on relationship game?

**Dreamer**

Hope… I hope you’re not sensing any hostility from me. I’m just trying to shed a positive light on the idea of shit testing. Because they can be a wonderful tool to affirm a man’s control in the relationship and allow a woman to feel more feminine, which is always fun.

“Your casual conversations are shit tests.”

“Are they still shit tests when I talk to other women?”
I’m speaking on M/F heterosexual dynamics…

“Are they still shit tests if I don’t know the other person’s gender? Where is the line drawn?”
In the case of game, I’m thinking of when there’s potential sexual/relationship interest involved between yourself and a man.

Is this question for you a shit test? (I think you’re a girl.)
No, because we’re two girls tossing ideas back and forth online. Neither of us is considering hooking up, dating, or marrying. This is totally asexual convo.

**Dave from Hawaii**

Hope… you are completely failing to see the point here – and I think it’s because you just hung up on the term “SHIT TEST.”

Look, when you talk to other women, you are not going to consciously or subconsciously attempt to screen them for relationship worthiness… unless you are a lesbian.

But your entire argument appears to be “shit tests don’t apply to me! I use logic, and I have deep meaningful conversations based on logic to determine compatibility.”

You wrote: *My judgment is just intuitive understanding of the other person’s framework.*

This is the very essence of the shit test… whatever method you employ, through conversation and observing his reactions, body language and verbal reactions to WHATEVER language you employ, you intuitively read whether or not the guy passes your particular test for compatibility.
You’re coming across with this attitude that you are a special little snowflake, since you do not “I do not throw out little “tests” to see if they pass. I do not ask “leading questions” that you’re above this “silly notion” of “shit testing.”

No dear, you simply do it without even consciously knowing that your doing it. It IS intuitive.

xsplat  
My gf’s sister routinely asks me if she looks fat.

I don’t pass that test in a witty way. I get irritated and tell her to look in the mirror, and tell her to never ask me that question again.

You don’t have to be funny or asshole, and you don’t have to pass any god damned test.

the full jung piece  

xsplat  
And as for my GF, I pre-empt the shit test, and tell her to loose weight.

Or tease her about my attraction to other girls.

Agree and amplify is brilliant. It’s the headlock of wrestling moves. Could be the only move you ever need. But there are other good moves.

Hope  
Dreamer, my questions were not asked with hostility — more borderline on ridiculousness. Of course text fails to convey that tone. >.>

Funny thing is, I find my interactions with my guy to be very easy and natural. So I have a hard time thinking of any of it as “shit testing.” But I face more difficulties when communicating, say, with his mom. I get nervous and feel like I’m put on the spot. Maybe it’s because I knew I was being “evaluated.”

Just because a conversation is non-sexual doesn’t mean there’s no evaluation going on. Interviews, public speeches, same-sex friends hanging out, etc. But when evaluation goes on with potential sexual interest involved, that the word shit-test gets thrown about. The word would then seem to lose its meaning. Why not call it flirting if both people have positive, joking and fun outlooks? Why not call it being vulnerable if the girl is revealing something about a personal weakness?
lovelysexybeauty

Interesting. Cool answers, quite humorous which is a good way to lighten the mood!

So these are all verbal sh*ttest… so what about girls who don’t ask these obvious questions, I mean she will sound needy and controlling right? Girls like that do non-verbal sh*ttests maybe?

For example, I’ve never asked a guy about checking out other girls, about whether he’s seeing other girls, where is this going, etc. But if he doesn’t start ACTING in a certain way (saying he loves me, introducing me around, etc.) that’s the real proof.

Words are empty, anyone can give a good answer to a question. Actions tell the truth.

I wonder if a guy would think a girl is not into him very much if she never really sh*ttested him? Seems to be my personal experience… I wonder if I should start acting a little needy and insecure just to make sure the guy I’m seeing knows yes, I’m interested. I mean, I accept he could leave me at any time, I accept that he will be attracted to other girls, I accept that he may think I’m not quite his physical ideal… we’re not married, so it’s OK.

Eee I hope I’m not turning into a f-word (feminist) type… please someone e-slap me if I am!!

Welmer

the full jung piece


Oh well, at least I got some typing practice in before you posted this.

But next time I’ll do a better search for easier cut and paste.

😊

Anyway, I think I’m going to have to start posting excerpts directly from old books — paradoxically, that’s a good way to keep your content fresh and original.

lovelysexybeauty

Also… what should a girl do if she wants straight-up truthful advice on whether she really does look fat?

I don’t want to be complacent about being a certain weight… why don’t guys tell the truth. I wrote a post about this… I think some guys either are afraid to tell the truth because they think it’s a sh*ttest, or because they don’t realize that I could look better 10 lbs. less.
I guess that goes for anything… what if a girl wants to know the real deal? Are you guys just avoiding conflict? What if you told her the truth, that yes you were flirting with other girls because you’re attracted to other women and don’t believe in monogamy? And then with your actions show that either she has to accept or she can walk?

I really wonder this… humor is great, but what if you told her the truth and helped her to accept that?

**LILGRL**
@ LSB

Also… what should a girl do if she wants straight-up truthful advice on whether she really does look fat?

Ask a personal trainer.

**dan**
@lovelysexybeauty

I would have thought you’d know this already, but for that you need to befriend an insensitive gay man. They won’t hesitate to tell you you’re fat/getting fatter if it’s true. Posing the question to a boyfriend will either be relationship poison or (if he’s alpha) will strengthen the relationship at the cause of increasing your insecurities.

**Dreamer**
@lovelysexybeauty

Yeh… I find that guys aren’t too honest about what they think is “fat” or the best weight. A friend of mine told me he loved and preferred curvaceous women and doesn’t like stick thin girls.. but then he goes and tells me two girls he thinks are hot and they’re both 0 or 00.

**dan**

Sorry, that line should read “at the cost of increasing your insecurities.”

**Dave from Hawaii**

Lsb – What is verbal flirting? Does it not resemble a verbal conflict, in which the participants use words to see what kind of response they evoke in the person they are engaged with? Is not the most arousing flirtations in fact involve a male and a female striving to one up each other?
Is this not why women almost always list “WITTY” as one of the primary traits they value in men?

Anytime you instigate or respond to a male in flirtatious conversation, you are in fact implementing a verbal shit test.

Is not flirting with an attractive male one of the female’s favorite past times? Have you not encountered men who were physically attractive…but absolute buzz kills because your attempts at flirting with them were met with utterly clueless, straightforward LOGIC? (Ugh…he’s got no sense of humor! = He failed your shit test.)

It doesn’t have to be the common questions that have been cited here as examples.

**Hope**

you intuitively read whether or not the guy passes your particular test for compatibility.

You used another phrase: “testing for compatibility.” To me, this phrasing means something completely different than a “shit test.”


Example 2: Girl says, “If I got AIDS, would you still be with me?” Guy: “??? That came out of left field!” A shit test.

Maybe I’m getting it all wrong though, and example 1 is still a shit test.

**Gunslingergregi**

Truth will set you free.

**dan**

@LILGIRL

Ask a personal trainer.

Wrong wrong wrong wrong wrong. I say this as a former personal trainer. Trainers have a financial interest in telling you whatever they feel they need to in order to continue taking your money. If they feel they need to coddle you by telling you you’re not fat when you are, that’s what they’ll do. If they feel they need to put you down to motivate you even if you aren’t fat, they’ll do that instead.
Every woman with body issues needs to befriend an insensitive gay man (IGM) or learn to cope with disingenuous answers to the fat question.

xsplat
DFH

Dave from Hawaii

tjf Where is the logic in that?

After all this time, you’ve still failed to come to grips with the eternal truth most of us recognize:

I don’t view tjf as a human. I see him as a fake persona – kind of like a chat-bot. A robotic automaton, programmed to annoy. Whatever you say, he’ll find an annoying come-back, based on what you say. But there is no there there. There is no human with a personality answering questions. He’s a troll.

I see DA the same way. I doubt there is a masturbating DA. We’ll never know.

xsplat

Sometimes a troll will spark off an interesting viewpoint in the mind of an interesting commentator.

For the most part, they just make us repeat ourselves.

Dave from Hawaii

@ Hope –

“You used another phrase: “testing for compatibility.” To me, this phrasing means something completely different than a “shit test.””

This is where you are failing to comprehend. Testing for compatibility is EXACTLY what shit testing is all about. And I’m not talking about ‘compatibility’ in terms of liking the same things….that is just another aspect of the feminist cultural matrix of lies that have been crammed down all of our throats.

If you like techno music, are you telling me you’re gonna think that a guy that doesn’t likes techno music is automatically disqualified in your mind when it comes to LTR potential? Oh please…talk about superficial!
Shit tests is an intutitive means for women to determine if the guy they are considering to allow him to deposit his genetic material into her womb has the suitable genes for her offspring.

**Gunslingergregi**

" homeowners to determine if the guy they are considering to allow him to deposit his genetic material into her womb has the suitable genes for her offspring.

"I wonder if I should start acting a little needy and insecure just to make sure the guy I’m seeing knows yes, I’m interested."

Yea set up some situations where guy gets to test metal and makes him feel like man. Also be a strong woman at same time. he he he

**novaseeker**

That is an act of love and it goes beyond the 1 to 3 month pump and dump (hate that word!) window.

@dreamer —

Huh?

poetry of flesh said here she can’t even recall how many blowjob partners she has had .. it’s beyond memory, and she is only 25.

**LILGRL**

@ dan

Interesting.

I pretty much know what I look like, and what I want to look like, and the only people who give semi-truthful answers (and can point out specifics) are personal trainers. Sometimes modeling scouts, but they’ll usually want you to be much thinner than you’d want to be...unless you were a model.

Gay men, from my experience, will tell any and every girl she looks fabulous. Girls, of course, will get you no where. Guys, of course, will get you no where. So. I guess you just see what works through trial and error.

**Hope**

Anyway, Dave from Hawaii, sorry to have made you so offended by my idiocy, including how superficial I must be to screen out guys who don’t like techno, and to make the balderdash claim that I don’t shit test. We are just on completely wavelengths.
Mine is probably pretty incomprehensible.

xsplat
Lilgirl

I guess that goes for anything… what if a girl wants to know the real deal? Are you guys just avoiding conflict? What if you told her the truth, that yes you were flirting with other girls because you’re attracted to other women and don’t believe in monogamy? And then with your actions show that either she has to accept or she can walk?

I really wonder this… humor is great, but what if you told her the truth and helped her to accept that?

What do you mean, “what if”.

Any guy that is afraid to tell it like it is, is gay.

Men have erogenous zones. If you are afraid of them, you lack man points.

Women can be fat. If you are afraid to tell them, you lack man points.

Some of us like non-monogamy, at times. Sometimes we know clearly that we like it right now.

I’m not aspergy about this, but from day one, and two, and three, I’ve never had any problem with conversations about any of that.

LILGRL
@ xsplat

You mean, Hope.

LILGRL

Sorry! You mean LSB

But yeah, re: truthfulness out of men

You’re not really going to get full, unabated truth out of anyone. Something you’ll have to get over, because it’s called social niceties. Even if they’re telling it like it is, they’re not really telling it like it is.

Maybe out of an autistic.
xsplat  
Woops – that was in reply to Lovelysex.

I guess lilgirl wouldn’t ask such a question.

dan  
@LILGRL

that’s why “insensitive” is the crucial qualifier. most gay men, especially the fags for whom you are a hag, will shamelessly flatter you. but a sizeable number of queers — the kind who don’t seek hags — are sublimely indifferent both to your feelings and their own financial bottom line.

trainers can comment on specific parts of you with an air of authority (sometimes phony, most trainers are actually idiots), but their financial incentive is always operative and entails you can’t trust what they say.

perhaps modelling scouts can perform the role of IGMs (and there is no doubt significant overlap between the two sets) but (a) the standards of beauty for fashion models are weird (i find most thoroughly unattractive) and (b) it’s a lot easier to just make the acquaintance of an IGM.

Dave from Hawaii  
Anyway, Dave from Hawaii, sorry to have made you so offended by my idiocy, including how superficial I must be to screen out guys who don’t like techno, and to make the balderdash claim that I don’t shit test. We are just on completely wavelengths.

Mine is probably pretty incomprehensible.

I didn’t get offended.

I also didn’t call you superficial. I said disqualifying a guy simply based on musical taste is superficial.

Would you not give a guy a chance...who had every other quality you were looking for in a LTR...but listened to music you didn’t, and didn’t like the same music as you?

Mandy! XD  
‘_-‘

My boyfriend does things like the A&A.
If I delay in calling him, when I do call him, he’ll say, “So, how is it going with your other boyfriend?”
If I say, “You’re my only boyfriend!” he’ll say, “It’s ok, we should be open with one another. I tell you about all my girlfriends, you tell me about your boyfriends…”

One time we were joking about funny (and lame) excuses to break up with someone, and he said, “Man, I gotta keep this in mind.”
I said, “Noo!”
He responded, “Sssh, it’s for my other girlfriend. Don’t worry! Oh wait, I wasn’t supposed to tell you that.”

All of it is in jest, but it might upset sensitive people.

xsplat
Well then LG, paint me autistic.

I get no emotional pain out of being blunt.

Or hadn’t you noticed?

LILGRL
@ dan

You forget (ok, so you never knew) that I’m the daughter of two PE/Health teachers/personal trainers. So I know that of which I speak.

Yeah, many personal trainers out there have fed me some SRS crap. But, on the converse, those who did know what they were talking about, really knew what they were talking about.

True story on the modeling scouts — most fashion models are…of a strange look, or just plain. But we’re talking weight, here, and while the weight standards of the fashion industry are over-the-top, eh, at least it’s something.

you know who
Dave from Hawaii

After all this time, you’ve still failed to come to grips with the eternal truth most of us recognize: female attraction is not based on logic.

Neither is Reality Method, which is what I was referring to.
Yes, there are women out there who can certainly apply logic to override their attraction
towards a man they know is bad for them…but what inspires the attraction in the first place
has NOTHING TO DO WITH LOGIC.

I don’t care. I expect both men and women to be capable of logical thinking and rational behavior. If they can’t meet my expectations then that’s just too bad.

You have continually stated that in your mind, a quality woman is one who’s every behavior
and action is dictated by logic.

No, a quality woman just knows how to keep her emotions under control, and acts rationally. She
does not, for instance, ask me if she looks fat unless she’s looking for honest input.

This is the very essence of the shit test…whatever method you employ, through conversation
and observing his reactions, body language and verbal reactions to WHATEVER language you
employ, you intuitively read whether or not the guy passes your particular test for
compatibility.
That’s not shit testing, that’s just normal behavior.

Shit tests is an intuitive means for women to determine if the guy they are considering to allow him to deposit his genetic material into her womb has the suitable genes for her offspring.

Asking a trick question about her weight determines if the man will produce good offspring? That sure makes sense.

**Welmer**

You’re full of shit.

Great rebuttal.

Putting words in my mouth, eh?

Do you have anything definitive or substantial to post? You’re just dancing around without actually saying anything at all.

Time to flush this troll.

You don’t know what that word means.

**xsplat**

He’s a troll.

And yet you can’t prove it, and neither can anyone else here or elsewhere on the Internet. But you know what actually is trolling? Constantly making false, unexplained accusations that are off-topic and irrelevant.

**you know who**

**Dave from Hawaii**

After all this time, you’ve still failed to come to grips with the eternal truth most of us recognize: female attraction is not based on logic.

Neither is Reality Method, which is what I was referring to.

Yes, there are women out there who can certainly apply logic to override their attraction towards a man they know is bad for them…but what inspires the attraction in the first place has NOTHING TO DO WITH LOGIC.
I don’t care. I expect both men and women to be capable of logical thinking and rational behavior. If they can’t meet my expectations then that’s just too bad.

You have continually stated that in your mind, a quality woman is one who’s every behavior and action is dictated by logic.

No, a quality woman just knows how to keep her emotions under control, and acts rationally. She does not, for instance, ask me if she looks fat unless she’s looking for honest input.

This is the very essence of the shit test…whatever method you employ, through conversation and observing his reactions, body language and verbal reactions to WHATEVER language you employ, you intuitively read whether or not the guy passes your particular test for compatibility.

That’s not shit testing, that’s just normal behavior.

Shit tests is an intuitive means for women to determine if the guy they are considering to allow him to deposit his genetic material into her womb has the suitable genes for her offspring.

Asking a trick question about her weight determines if the man will produce good offspring? That sure makes sense.

Welmer

You’re full of shit.

Great rebuttal.

Putting words in my mouth, eh?

Do you have anything definitive or substantial to post? You’re just dancing around without actually saying anything at all.

Time to flush this troll.

You don’t know what that word means.

xsplat

He’s a troll.

And yet you can’t prove it, and neither can anyone else here or elsewhere on the Internet.

novaseeker

on August 13, 2009 at 7:51 pm
I don’t care. I expect both men and women to be capable of logical thinking and rational behavior. If they can’t meet my expectations then that’s just too bad.

Tokyo, with that perspective, you’d be much better off as a gay man.

**LILGRL**
@ xsplat

Oh, I’m aware that you are blunt. In fact, I know many men who are just so.

The problem with men who are blunt, and know it, is that they’ll oft get attitude-y about it.

Be careful not to be so arrogant as to assume that you are some supreme bringer of the truth.

It’s human flaw, though, so no worries.

**Gunslingergregi**

Ok yea that is probably him. Except I got no shout out. wtf

**Gunslingergregi**

I mean yea truth what is truth. Am I gonna make fun of my womans wrinkles when shes 80 prob not.

**Gunslingergregi**

Although if they can be removed with some operation and we got loot why not.

**xsplat**

LG

Be careful not to be so arrogant as to assume that you are some supreme bringer of the truth.

What does being honest have to do with being arrogant?

I no longer fear my arrogance. If I’m wrong, sooner or later something will smack me down about it. And if not, that’s even better.

And a man can be blunt and also choose to be diplomatic, if that suits him.

As I said, I’m a switch.
Willard Libby
PA

Two of my past girlfriends threw this molotov cocktail in response to my decent natural asshole game: “what would you do if I died?”

Willard Libby – “Bury you in an unmarked grave.”

Dave from Hawaii

I must admit that Tokyo is always good for a laugh when he gets into his troll schtick:

Any Commenter: “You’re nothing but a troll!”

TJF: “No, I’m not and you can’t prove it!”

No need to prove what is so blatantly obvious.

lovelysexybeauty

@Lil Girl – lol about personal trainer.

@ Dan & Lil Girl –
I’ve had a couple of male personal trainers before, and maybe mine were too “beta” (nice guy types), but they seemed complacent if my BMI was good and I was fairly thin.

But I want to be superthin yet curvy, like movie star thin! Like Megan Fox or Jessica Alba thin! Not Jennifer Garner toned and semi-thin, or J Lo toned and curvy. Not cool... I’m even OK with being a little ana/mia (eating disorder). But they didn’t get it.

I don’t have any gay friends really, acquaintances sure, and would also imagine that they would only talk about my real weight behind my back rather than in front of it.

lurker


just for that whore, Mandy! XD, who loves commies so much and anyone who disagrees with them are racists and should jutsh get over being attacked by the wonderful and all healing Castro!

Tokyo’s back?????? Wow, today sure is Xmas.
lurker on August 13, 2009 at 8:10 pm
Tokyo…you have yet to show how Islam cannot create a viable and successful society. Because you can’t. Kindly go off with Mandy while she sells her skank ass for commie cash; maybe she’ll love you for who you are.

Tokyo, you are wrong.

Oh, and H, per usual, is totally correct.

lovelysexybeauty on August 13, 2009 at 8:10 pm
@Dave from Hawaii

Yes, flirting is important… extremely important… it shows confidence and the ability to just have a good time, and not take things as some deeeneeppp meaningful conversation….

Hm… maybe I read into this whole sh*t test concept too much. It seemed to me on my first reading that any time a girl asked those questions, the advice was to treat it as some big test.

I guess a mix of taking those questions as jokes and taking them seriously could be best? I guess it depends on the situation.. and if the question is more accusatory (trying to pick a fight), or if that’s a good moment to set the girl straight.

@ xsplat

Nice, that’s good that you are strong enough to just tell it like it is.

you know who on August 13, 2009 at 8:12 pm
Dave from Hawaii

I must admit that Tokyo is always good for a laugh when he gets into his troll schtick:

Any Commenter: “You’re nothing but a troll!”

TJF: “No, I’m not and you can’t prove it!”

No need to prove what is so blatantly obvious.

Your wife is a filthy whore and fiddles kiddies.

Proof? Explanation? No, I don’t need any of that fancy intellectual stuff, because it’s just so obvious.

lurker
Tokyo…you have yet to show how Islam cannot create a viable and successful society. Because you can’t.

Oh my God, you’re still going on about this. How many months has it been since you lost that debate? You’re still not over it (and you’re still firmly engaged in off-topic trolling and flamebaiting in an attempt to disrupt the thread).

**lovelysexybeauty**  
P.S. my comment to Lil Girl & Dan is in moderation

@ Lil Girl –  
I’ve been trying FOREVER to find an “expert” type of person tell me how I can improve my looks, every aspect of them. Do you think I should try to consult a modeling scout?

It’s hard to get good advice, because a hair colorist will advise you just on that, an eyebrow artist just on that, a makeup artist just on that, cosmetic surgeons tend to let you talk about what you hate and don’t always have a good eye any way… if you have any advice for me girlie, it will be much appreciated! No worries if not though

**Dave from Hawaii**  
Hey Tokyo…answer me this: have you still never lived with a woman?

Or did you manage to find your “special, QUALITY” woman who doesn’t allow her emotions to dictate her mood?

**Dave from Hawaii**  
*yawn*

**xsplat**  
Dave from Hawaii

I must admit that Tokyo is always good for a laugh when he gets into his troll schtick:

Any Commenter: “You’re nothing but a troll!”

TJF: “No, I’m not and you can’t prove it!”

No need to prove what is so blatantly obvious.

I wish I laughed so easily. I usually have to be slightly drunk or stoned and naked with a cute chick to have my chuckle muscle so easily spasmed.
I found his “No, I’m not and you can’t prove it!” read without subvocalization and it lead to a quick page down button pressage from my right ring finger. No emotion at all, other than very mild irritation at being bored.

Gunslingergregi

""""""""lurker
Tokyo...you have yet to show how Islam cannot create a viable and successful society.

Tokyo, you are wrong.

Oh, and H, per usual, is totally. correct."

Oh shit memory like a steal trap. I think I hurt myself.

lovelysexybeauty

@Gunslinger

nice way to put it 😊

xsplat

Lovelysex

@ xsplat

Nice, that’s good that you are strong enough to just tell it like it is.

I’m not sure if that interpretation is accurate. Just as LGs interpretation of arrogance wasn’t accurate.

I simply don’t care what other people think. Call that antisocial, call it autistic, call it sociopathic. Whatever. It doesn’t alter my happiness level.

What alters my happiness level is how often my girlfriends laugh and come, and how much money I have.

you know who

xsplat

I found his “No, I’m not and you can’t prove it!” read without subvocalization and it lead to a quick page down button pressage from my right ring finger. No emotion at all, other than very mild irritation at being bored.
See how yet another thread is moving towards the subject of whether or not I’m a troll (a subject which has never made any sense), instead of the actual topic? This is exactly what you want, isn’t it?

**Willard Libby**

on August 13, 2009 at 8:27 pm

I’m not a fan of Agree and Amplify.

I prefer the old military standby of Hold and Flank or Fix and Flank. Confront her question and then put her on the defensive/qualify her with a question.

Such as:

GIRL: “Why didn’t you call last night? Are you dating someone else?”

ME: “I didn’t want to. Now why would I tell you if I was dating someone else?”

***

GIRL: “Are you just going to sit around all day playing video games?”

ME: “I’m not a kid, I don’t play video games. Don’t ask a stupid question like that again.”

***

GIRL: “We’re going to that restaurant again?”

ME: “Sure why not, I’m a creature of habit. When I get a new girlfriend I’ll get a new restaurant.”

***

GIRL: “Sometimes you can be such an asshole. My ex knew how to treat a lady.”

ME: …………….*thinking that when woman compares me to her ex I’m losing the mood to respond at all. There will be prolonged silence. The King is not amused.*

***

GIRL: “Don’t you have any ambition in life?”

ME: “Shut the fuck up.”

***

GIRL: “I didn’t like the way you flirted with that girl at the party tonight.”
ME: “Don’t blame me, she started it. That’s the difference between the two of us. I’m allowed to flirt, you’re not.”

***

GIRL: “You never get me flowers or write me poetry.”

Me: “I do owe you some flowers, you’re right. You’re outta luck on the poetry.”

***

GIRL: “I think we should take this slower.”

ME: “No, how ’bout a bubble bath?”

***

GIRL: [Making it obvious she’s flirting with another guy in your presence.]

ME: “I think I just saw you give me a Get Out Of Jail Free card”.

***

GIRL: “Buy me a drink.”

ME: “No. Alcohol bad for you, it’ll fuck up your looks. Aging is bad enough. Add in the alcohol and your skin will get puffy and loose.”

***

GIRL: [Calls you back two days after you left her a message.]

ME: “Hi….maybe”

***

GIRL: “I really feel we aren’t compatible.”

ME: ” It’s more than a feeling”.

**FemTroll Bhetti**

Do Not Be A Troll.
Example of a troll:

---

on August 13, 2009 at 8:41 pm
H is Hitler!!! ** He makes me laugh! ADULTs do relationships DIFFERENTLY from how you PEOPLE do it, ok?? You are ALL insecure and CANNOT get laid. Your text is mindrape!

Trollpoints:
— rape mention
— attributing anything and everything to insecurity
— falsely superior and baseless insulting attitude. Speak with some civility and composure when outlining your points.
— Godwin’s Law proven (rape is to gender politics what Nazis or Hitler is to any debate. It’s not only an exaggeration but an apples/oranges comparison.)
— no points made
— addressing an audience rather than an individual
— the definition for a normal adult is over the age of 18 for most localities. These are the norms and averages under discussion.

LOL ok am not a troll prove it?!?

Trollpoint:
— methinks the troll doth protest too much, including the insulting ‘lol’

** Well, if he was Hitler, he’d have abs, k?

xsplat

Well done Willard. You even gave it a mnemonic.

Hold and flank. Agree and twist it right into her, like a knife into the ribs.

xsplat

You’re pretty funny, Bhetti. A few of you girls are. Class act. I mean that in a blunt way.

Lucifer

I find it funny that:

1. Most of you are happy with a life that involves routine shit tests. Is any “free” pussy really worth that?

Look at a “hot” naked woman over 30 without makeup when you are aroused and ask yourself- is it worth it? All women are replacable and compliant for the right price, why are you even trying to care about her bullshit?
Replace her or buy pussy, either way it is more self-respecting than even responding to such behavior.

2. If you think you can control a woman (who has no utility beyond sex), is it any different from a woman who thinks she can tame a criminal to do her bidding? Is it not equally delusional?

Do you really want to live a life where a good part of your waking hours are occupied by such useless mind games. I find that many drugs are more fun and less damaging than this constant bullshit.

I think it is best to let women play these games with guys who care about losing them. What are you going to loose anyway?
You can buy any kind of ass and compliance..

**David Alexander**

GIRL: “I think we should take this slower.”

YOU: “You read my mind! Can I pencil you in next month?”

David: “Stop saying stupid shit and grow up and act like an adult, you stupid useless whore golddigger.”

**Gunslingergregi**

The train has derailed.

**Gunslingergregi**

Bhetti 300 cc’s of hugs stat.

**Black Military Man**

“There seems to be a mistaken belief among the betacracy that game may be good for pickup but it’ll do nothing at best, and sabotage at worst, your prospects for a long and healthy relationship.”

Can’t say for certain whether that is 100% true or not, but considering that all these women you are “gaming” are your girlfriends, and they don’t ask you to marry them AND break up with you usually after 6 months to a year, its gotta make ya think

**Lucifer**

The sad truth is that every woman is replacable and essentially without intrinsic value, but nobody wants to believe it.
Stop caring about what a woman wants. Care about what you want, then think of the way you want to get it and then do it.

**LILGRL**
@ xsplat

Class act. I mean that in a blunt way.

Hahaha. AWSM.

**Factoider**
on August 13, 2009 at 9:15 pm
Improv performers call this technique “Yes and.” It’s the basis of improv.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Improvisational_theatre#Improv_process

**Dreamer**
on August 13, 2009 at 9:18 pm
lol bhetti.

**Gunslingergregi**
on August 13, 2009 at 9:20 pm
Yea we could have the honable rape mention of the month.

**lurker**
on August 13, 2009 at 9:32 pm
tokyo, being tokyo:

“Oh my God, you’re still going on about this.”
—because you lost and can’t admit it.

“How many months has it been since you lost that debate?”
—I won the debate, tokyo. I presented evidence solidfying the accepted historical fact that Islam created successful societies. Evidence accepted by all professional historians as true. Your only response was to claim that it was all biased, made up evidence without a) showing how literally libraries and museums full of evidence was biased and made up; and b) any evidence you have to say their societies were not successful.

You are the equivalent of a Moon Landing Hoaxer or a Holocaust Denier.

“You’re still not over it”
—No, I just refuse to let you weasel out of lying. You can’t answer me because you made it up. A grown-up admits when he has nothing to counter and that he has lost a point on evidence. A child stamps his foot and claims he won without any rational basis. That is what you are, tokyo: a child.
“(and you’re still firmly engaged in off-topic trolling and flamebaiting in an attempt to disrupt the thread).”
—tokyo, the only one here who is the troll is you.

Tokyo, you are wrong, you are a troll. and you lose every argument you are in.

missed you, baby!

**Bhetti**

GIRL: “I think we should take this slower.”

YOU: “You read my mind! Can I pencil you in next month?”

David: “Stop saying stupid shit and grow up and act like an adult, you stupid useless whore golddigger.”

Thank you for the assistance. your omeganess. Here we see in David Alexander a specimen of attributing any behaviour one disagrees with as... for example, immature. Children on average are known not to be very interested in taking things slower or gold digging, for example. One also notes the comic exaggeration that dims the line between troll and funny. This example would be funny, if it were not so tragically true to the DA personality’s personal beliefs.

I must say for any troll afficiando, DA is one specimen you’d want to take back to the lab. Or Church.

xsplat/Dreamer: I’m glad to be of entertainment 😊

Gunny: I will get the nurse right on it.

Nurse? Have we got a nurse here, anywhere?

ME DO IT? That’s crazy talk! What does one have nurses for!?

Surely there’s a nurse in the chateau?

**lurker**

oh, and tokyo, from your precious wikipedia:

“There is no fallacy involved in simply arguing that the assertion made by an authority is true, the fallacy only arises when it is claimed or implied that the authority is infallible in principle and can hence be exempted from criticism.”

—QED. Once again:
“Tokyo, you are wrong. you are a troll. and you lose every argument you are in. “

you know who
lurker

because you lost and can;t admit it.

It is obvious that you lost. That’s why you keep crying about this, months and months after the
debate. A sore loser.

I won the debate, tokyo.

You’re not fooling anyone but yourself.

I presented evidence solidfying the accepted historical fact that Islam created successful
societies. Evidence accepted by all professional historians as true. Your only response was to
claim that it was all biased, made up evidence without a) showing how literally libraries and
museums full of evidence was biased and made up; and b) any evidence you have to say their
societies were not successful.

Blah blah blah. You lost, build a bridge and get over it.

No, I just refuse to let you weasel out of lying.

Where have I lied?

You can’t answer me because you made it up. A grown-up admits when he has nothing to
counter and that he has lost a point on evidence. A child stamps his foot and claims he won
without any rational basis. That is what you are, tokyo: a child.

This coming from a person who has been on a non-stop trantrum for many months and who
keeps accusing people of trolling without a shred of evidence even has he himself is the site’s
number one troll.

tokyo, the only one here who is the troll is you.

Where is your evidence?

Tokyo, you are wrong. you are a troll. and you lose every argument you are in.

It has been proven over, over, over and over again that you are a troll, and you have not presented
any counter-arguments. It has never been proven that I am a troll.
There is no fallacy involved in simply arguing that the assertion made by an authority is true, the fallacy only arises when it is claimed or implied that the authority is infallible in principle and can hence be exempted from criticism.

He was arguing that something is true because an authority said it.

Tokyo, you are wrong. you are a troll. and you lose every argument you are in.

It has been proven over, over, over and over again that you are a troll, and you have not presented any counter-arguments. It has never been proven that I am a troll.

lurker on August 13, 2009 at 9:50 pm
because Welmer, when he claimed Carl Jung was in favor of game *linked to a Jung argument on his blog along with his commentary.*

An appeal to authority merely says: X is always correct; X is in favor of Y; therefore Y is correct.

A non-appeal is to say: X, who is brilliant, made this argument in favor of Y; X’s argument in favor Y is compelling because of the following points; therefore, X’s word is partially persuasive here.

Welmer linked to the argument, and points out why he agrees with it; ergo Welmer was not making an appeal to authority.

QED, beta.

Tokyo, you are wrong. you are a troll. and you lose every argument you are in.

you know who on August 13, 2009 at 9:55 pm
This is all he said:

According to Carl Jung, founder of Analytical Psychology, game is essential to good relationships. Again, Jung states that women crave mastery.

There is no explanation there, only the assertion that Jung’s opinions are gospel because he’s an authority.

Tokyo, you are wrong. you are a troll. and you lose every argument you are in.

It has been proven over, over, over and over again that you are a troll, and you have not presented any counter-arguments. It has never been proven that I am a troll.

lurker on August 13, 2009 at 9:57 pm
tokyo:
“It is obvious that you lost.”
—it is obvious that you lost, tokyo.

“Blah blah blah. You lost, build a bridge and get over it.”
—lol. Note how the troll operates: I give an entire logical paragraph showing why he lost, and he
skates over it by putting his fingers in his years and screaming, “Nu-uh!”

total child.

“Where have I lied?”
in claiming 1) you won the argument; 2) historical documents and artifacts are lies and biased;
and 3) that islam created no successful societies.

“This coming from a person who has been on a non-stop trantrum for many months”
—being right to a liar like you isn’t a tantrum, child.

“and who keeps accusing people of trolling without a shred of evidence even has he himself is the
site’s number one troll.”
—lol. the only one who thinks I’m a troll is you, son. And perhaps that slut Mandy, but you
definitely win the # 1 troll award, child.

“It has been proven over, over, over and over again that you are a troll, and you have not
presented any counter-arguments.”
—you have never proved it. you just assert it. Like every argument you make, you make an
assertion without facts and claim it oproven. This is why you lose every argument you are in.

“Where is your evidence?”
—the opinion of everyone here, to start.

“He was arguing that something is true because an authority said it.”
—nope. that’s a lie. he linked to the specific argument and his reasons for agreeing with it. A non-
appeal.
You lose.

“It has never been proven that I am a troll.”
—Yep, it has.

Tokyo, you are wrong. you are a troll. and you lose every argument you are in.

lurker
Tokyo, wrong again:

on August 13, 2009 at 9:59 pm
“This is all he said:….There is no explanation there, only the assertion that Jung’s opinions are gospel because he’s an authority.”

—nope. If you roll your mouse over that sentence you quote, you will find it linked to his blog where he cites the Jungian argument and why he agrees with it.

You lose. Tokyo, you are wrong. you are a troll. and you lose every argument you are in.

Mu'Min Seeks FAAAAAT WuMin
Oh no. TokyoOmegaKissed is back again….

We just need him to lock horns with Loserfer, and leave the rest of alone..

Pa

Passing shit tests is key. In my senior year of high school, I dated a real cutie. Good girl, whose super-alpha rich dad liked me and took me out on his boat on the Chesapeake. Except — she was a Quality Girl, so I put her, stupidly on the pedestal. And once we reached the comfort phase, I failed one shit test after another.

Good times, good times indeed (before I started failing shit tests, that is). After we went our separate ways, all I had was memories. Elvis Presley said it well:

Sweet memories …
Of holding hands and red bouquets
And twilight trimmed in purple haze
And laughing eyes and simple ways
And quiet nights and gentle days with you

Damn, failing shit tests fucked things up. Through my twenties, I had many adventures in my vagabond days, and there were times I was swimming in poon. But, sometimes even swimming in poon ain’t all it’s cracked up to be. Hit it, Mr. Bon Jovi:

Tonight, I won’t be alone
But you know that don’t mean I’m not lonely

(aside) Hey, betas, I could have been even more cocky and quoted Kid Rock’s ubercocky line:

Livin my life in a slow hell
Different girl every night at the hotel

But that would be an exaggeration. During that time I couldn’t afford staying in hotels.

Anyway, it all worked out for the best, but now I know that if I want to have more of those “sweet memories,” it’s important to have Relationship Game.
you know who
lurker

on August 13, 2009 at 10:06 pm

it is obvious that you lost, tokyo.

You’re the one who’s still bitching and crying and moaning about it.

lol. Note how the troll operates: I give an entire logical paragraph showing why he lost, and he skates over it by putting his fingers in his years and screaming, “Nu-uh!”

total child.

Since I’m not a troll, whereas you are a confirmed one, I must assume you are referring to yourself. Thus, I agree with everything you just said.

in claiming 1) you won the argument; 2) historical documents and artifacts are lies and biased; and 3) that islam created no successful societies.

So I haven’t actually lied. Tell me something I don’t already know.

lol. the only one who thinks I’m a troll is you, son. And perhaps that slut Mandy, but you definitely win the #1 troll award, child.

Prove it.

Oh, wait, you can’t.

you have never proved it.

I proved it many times, and every time I did so you fell silent instead of trying to explain how I’m wrong.

Like every argument you make, you make an assertion without facts and claim it oproven. This is why you lose every argument you are in.

Wait, are you talking about yourself again?

the opinion of everyone here, to start.

Again, where is your evidence?

nope, that’s a lie. he linked to the specific argument and his reasons for agreeing with it. A non-appeal.

You lose.
I don’t care about his blog. He can explain himself here.

Yep, it has.

Where? When? By whom?

Tokyo, you are wrong. You are a troll and you lose every argument you are in.

It has been proven over, over, over and over again that you are a troll, and you have not presented any counter-arguments. It has never been proven that I am a troll.

You lose. Tokyo, you are wrong. You are a troll and you lose every argument you are in.

It has been proven over, over, over and over again that you are a troll, and you have not presented any counter-arguments. It has never been proven that I am a troll.

PA

Tokyo / YKH is a low quality troll. Xsplat is right: he is not human, he is low-grade troll algorithm. He is not funny. He should not be fed. He should be ignored. Feeding him disrespects the blog.

lurker

“You’re the one who’s still bitching and crying and moaning about it.”
—lol. Said the man who bitches and cries and moans that he won but no one believes him.

“Since I’m not a troll, whereas you are a confirmed one, I must assume you are referring to yourself. Thus, I agree with everything you just said.”
—again, note how tokyo the troll operates: he ignores another logical paragraph in favor of putting his fingers in his ears, like a child, and screaming “nu-uh!”

“So I haven’t actually lied. Tell me something I don’t already know.”
—1. you lied.
2. you lost.
3. Islam created successful societies.
4. Museums and libraries aren’t biased and lying on this fact.

“Prove it.”
—1. everyone on this blog who knows you has called you one; 2) H has banned you as a troll twice.

“I proved it many times, and every time I did so you fell silent instead of trying to explain how I’m wrong.”
—1. everyone on this blog who knows you has called you one; 2) H has banned you as a troll.
twice.

“I don’t care about his blog. He can explain himself here.”
—part of his argument is his link, where he explains in great detail that would be unsuitable here for a post. He, therefore, does not appeal to authority. Unlike say, you, who link to wikipedia as an appeal to argument in your Islam and your arguments claiming wikipedia is a reliable source. you lose.

“Where? When? By whom?”
–It has been proven over, over, and over again that you are a troll, tokyo, and you have not presented any counter-arguments.

You lose. Tokyo, you are wrong. you are a troll. and you lose every argument you are in.

lurker on August 13, 2009 at 10:13 pm
PA, I know, I know. its just so…fun. I like finding all of his logical fallacies and lancing him with them, like the little pus-ball he is. But you’re right; sooner or later, you’re arguing with a holocaust denier/Moon hoaxer.

I will let him be. H rules. Tokyo drools.

You lose, tokyo.. Tokyo, you are wrong. you are a troll. and you lose every argument you are in.

Bhetti on August 13, 2009 at 10:13 pm
PA:

Elvis Presley said it well:

Sweet memories …
Of holding hands and red bouquets
And twilight trimmed in purple haze
And laughing eyes and simple ways
And quiet nights and gentle days with you

Damn, failing shit tests fucked things up. Through my twenties, I had many adventures in my vagabond days, and there were times I was swimming in poon. But, sometimes even swimming in poon ain’t all it’s cracked up to be. Hit it, Mr. Bon Jovi

That soundtrack needs a movie to go along with it. Dana, activate Jew Network.

you know who on August 13, 2009 at 10:14 pm
PA

Passing shit tests is key. In my senior year of high school, I dated a real cutie. Good girl, whose super-alpha rich dad liked me and took me out on his boat on the Chesapeake. Except — she was a Quality Girl, so I put her, stupidly on the pedestal. And once we reached the comfort phase, I failed one shit test after another.

Quality girls don’t shit test. She had a personality disorder and was just winding you up.

Tokyo / YKH is a low quality troll.

No, you are. Making random off-topic accusations without backing them up is trolling. Trying to derail a thread with random off-topic accusations is trolling.

Feeding him disrespects the blog.

You only say that because I keep calling PUAs out for their bullshit. If you were so concerned about trolling, you would be going after lurker. But you’re not.

dana

bhetti

rofl

you know who

lurker

lol. Said the man who bitches and cries and moans that he won but no one believes him.

I’ve already demonstrated that you lost (which means I won).

again, note how tokyo the troll operates: he ignores another logical paragraph in favor of putting his fingers in his ears, like a child, and screaming “nu-uh!”

Talking about yourself again, I see.

1. you lied.
2. you lost.
3. Islam created successful societies.
4. Museums and libraries aren’t biased and lying on this fact.

Hahaha.
1. everyone on this blog who knows you has called you one; 2) H has banned you as a troll twice.

Nobody has proven anything. he banning me for trolling was an excuse, the real reason was that he doesn't have a lot of patience for people who question PUArtistry and whatnot.

—part of his argument is his link, where he explains in great detail that would be unsuitable here for a post. He, therefore, does not appeal to authority.

All I saw was an appeal to authority.

Unlike say, you, who link to wikipedia as an appeal to argument in your Islam and your arguments claiming wikipedia is a reliable source. you lose.

Wikipedia is a reliable source, which is something I also demonstrated.

It has been proven over, over, over and over again that you are a troll, tokyo, and you have not presented any counter-arguments.

No such thing has ever been proven, and I have vigorously argued against attempts to label me a troll.

You lose. Tokyo, you are wrong. you are a troll. and you lose every argument you are in.

It has been proven over, over, over and over again that you are a troll, and you have not presented any counter-arguments. It has never been proven that I am a troll.

You lose, tokyo.. Tokyo, you are wrong. you are a troll. and you lose every argument you are in.

It has been proven over, over, over and over again that you are a troll, and you have not presented any counter-arguments. It has never been proven that I am a troll.

**Lupo**

"Expect to receive this shit test around month three"

Most of these shit tests sound like something out of a Seinfeld episode. I can’t say as I’ve ever received one of ’em, or noticed if I had. I think all this is way too complicated: they should be in your kitchen, cooking or cleaning. If they start getting lippy, god gave us penises to shut women up. Everything else is commentary. Pretty much every woman I’ve ever dated has remarked at how well we get along. Of course we get along well; nature designed them to live under a patriarchy.
lurker  
on August 13, 2009 at 10:24 pm  
lol. Here’s hoping H uses that “excuse” again, troll.

I love how your argument comes to : nu-uh, can’t hear you! And, of course, the classic “I am rubber, you are glue!” defense.

lol. you pee all over yourself.

You lose, tokyo.. Tokyo, you are wrong. you are a troll. and you lose every argument you are in. It has been proven over, over, over and over again that you, tokyo, are a troll, and you have not presented any counter-arguments.

Dave from Hawaii  
on August 13, 2009 at 10:25 pm  
Tokyo…please regale us with your fantastic tales of quality girls that do not shit test.

Explain in great detail how you connected with her on a sublime level of perfect understanding….

How your logical conversations reached the ultimate level of conscious attraction….

Tell us how you appealed to her intellectual reasoning to make her fall for your sparkling personality.

How you reached a deep level of spiritual understanding because of your ability to communicate purely on a logical level.

Tell us how she was able to control her emotions at all times.

After you get through describing all that, you can give us the final, ultimate guide on EXACTLY how us poor PUA Clones can find true, QUALITY women…

….in other words, how much do the monthly subscription fees REALLY cost for the Underage Japanese School Girl porn sites you jerk off to.

lurker  
on August 13, 2009 at 10:28 pm  
The best thing about Seinfeld was how he just didn’t care; most of the episodes revolved around his breaking up with a girl because of X peccadillo; he just didn’t care enough to overlook it. He constantly shit tested them, and had no fear of approach. Probably why he stole a man’s wife in real life—it was just him.

Also, there was one famous episode where a woman actually does make him start caring…..he’s there weeping, and Elaine goes, “But you don’t care about anyone!” and he responds, “I know! Its so weird!”
For a show I never found funny, Seinfeld did teach you a lot about dating. His approaches, and Kramer’s as well, along with George’s anti-game failings, show really good game and really bad game in contrast.

Also, witness Patrick Warburton’s “Puddy” character’s game on Elaine. She constantly breaks up with him, and his only response is, “Ok,” and promptly doesn’t give a rat’s ass. This enrages her to the point where she forces herself on him and their back together. It happened about 15 times in one episode as a joke.

lurker

Dave from Hawaii—Hiyo!

PA

Bhetti, I have a question for a Medical Woman: what is it about big boobies and jogging?

To wit, I was at the gym today, and opposite of me a very good looking girl, with c-cups, was running on the treadmill. She was shapely and young, but her sterno-subclavicular antecostal lipiduous processes, though restrained by a sportsbra, were bouncing in a W-shaped way, nearly giving her black eyes.

So my question is, don’t over-endowed girls, even as lovely as that one was, HURT when running? Don’t their boobies pull and stretch, and bruise her liver with one smack after another? Won’t her lactic glands start herniating through the nipples, forming these odd-looking golfball sized mini-baloons at the tips of her boobies?

I mean, I have no way of knowing this first hand, as my solid pecs kinda stay in place when I run. I ask because I care about my female human beings who aren’t blessed with steady little a-cups.

you know who

lurker

lol. you pee all over yourself.

You can’t be much older than thirteen.

You lose, tokyo.. Tokyo, you are wrong. you are a troll. and you lose every argument you are in.

It has been proven over, over, over and over again that you are a troll, and you have not presented any counter-arguments. It has never been proven that I am a troll.
It has been proven over, over, over and over again that you, tokyo, are a troll, and you have not presented any counter-arguments.

No such thing has ever been proven, and I have vigorously argued against attempts to label me a troll.

**Dave from Hawaii**

After you get through describing all that, you can give us the final, ultimate guide on EXACTLY how us poor PUA Clones can find true, QUALITY women…

Your poor choice in women is something I can’t help you with.

….in other words, how much do the monthly subscription fees REALLY cost for the Underage Japanese School Girl porn sites you jerk off to.

Are you talking about yourself, or some other person, because I’m pretty sure I’ve never subscribed to a child porn site. I’m also pretty sure that child porn is extremely illegal and not something you just casually subscribe to. Why are you even bringing up this random subject? What does it have to do with anything?

**Comment_Whatever**

Chuck said:

Also, the table is pretty well honed, but you overlooked the PDA shit test.

My girlfriend of just over a year, when around her friends and in public, constantly pouts her lips in a kissy face and waits for me to bend over to kiss her. i never do it; i just stare at her with annoyance and then say No.

At first she’d laugh and stuff, now she acts all hurt by it. I’ve tried rationally explaining why I’m against it (I’m against PDAs and against her attempt to use me as a ploy in public), and I’ve also told her that if she wants to kiss me she can lean over to me and do it. But she has started getting her feelings hurt over it. What’s the best way to handle this PDA shit test?

You know, EVERYTHING doesn’t have to be a shit test.

Women don’t know what they want, and they expect you to give it to them.

Maybe she wants to be publicly ‘owned’(a step-down, big or little, from outdoor sex).

Just because she hasn’t figured out how to get what she wants doesn’t mean you can’t.

Or it might not be so innocent. Maybe she wants to publicly display ownership of YOU.
It’s still not necessarily a shit-test.

**Dave from Hawaii**  
*on August 13, 2009 at 10:38 pm*

Ah Tokyo, not everyone forgot about the picture of the adolescent-looking Japanese School Girl picture you submitted as your “10” in the female photo submission thread.

You’re a very sick man.

Dudes like you are why they had to institute women only rail cars in Japan....

**ganttsquarry**  
*on August 13, 2009 at 10:40 pm*

Before discovering game, my ability to pass “shit tests” was hit or miss and dependent on my mood. If I was feeling naturally confident and my inner game was strong, the A/A method would role off of my tongue like a natural. When i was feeling insecure or downbeat, A/A would disappear and I would be fumbling around like a beta supreme. I also suffered from what PA did. Pedestal syndrome for the “special” girl and a de facto natural game with women I didn’t care about.

Of course I didn’t know what A/A was at the time. Still, I could almost put my finger on what the attraction triggers were. I knew that being confident, aloof and funny turned women on. My mistake, was thinking that only certain girls got turned on by all of that. A “special” girl deserved a candid, yet polite answer in any inquiry. This was the proper thing to do of course. To do anything less would be disrespectful, and by not showing proper respect to her concerns would chase her off into the hands of a more caring guy.

We all know how that turned out. Much better now though. The “red pill” was delicious.

**Mandy! XD**  
*on August 13, 2009 at 10:43 pm*

@lurker:

“perhaps that slut Mandy”

Oh, I love it when you talk dirty to me, baby. ;]

@PA:

At first I thought your preferences for a certain breast size were just that...preferences.

But now I realize that they’re probably fetishes since, eh, 1/3 of your posts say something along the line of,”A CUP BOOBIES! A CUP BOOBIES! PRAISE JESUS!” or something. Minus the last sentence.

It’s interesting to see a man who likes smaller breasts though.
**Bhetti**

PA: A good bra solves all problems. They must be well restrained. This is not medically proven.

Back-related problems are acknowledged for those greatly endowed (above D, I believe, at least). Those greatly endowed are usually female.

There is suffering in having non-a breasts. Quite.

Anyway, I’m quite glad there’s a female-only gym.

**PA**

My mistake, was thinking that only certain girls got turned on by all of that.

Exactly! it was like a bad feedback loop. “Low quality” girls who were just hot enough to bang responded to my defacto asshole game, which reinforced my perception that only low quality girls like game.

*It’s interesting to see a man who likes smaller breasts though.*

I love small boobies. They are so tender, so hyper-responsive to touch, a girl arches her back like an electric eel at mere feel of my breath on them. They are poetry, they are like a sweet sundae cone with a pink little cherry on top that stays the same size no matter how long you suck on it.

**you know who**

Dave from Hawaii

Ah Tokyo, not everyone forgot about the picture of the adolescent-looking Japanese School Girl picture you submitted as your “10? in the female photo submission thread.

You’re a very sick man.

Ai Maeda? She wasn’t wearing a schoolgirl uniform in either picture, and she was born in 1983.

Dudes like you are why they had to institute women only rail cars in Japan....

And more unfounded accusations. Humor me: where is your evidence to prove that I have ever molested women or even contemplated molesting women?

**lurker**

Mandy! CD:
“Oh, I love it when you talk dirty to me, baby. ;]”
— unlike most of the guys you meet, I’m not paying you for the privilege.

Nathan
Tokyo is so uptight. He needs a pillowcase girlfriend.

David Alexander
They are so tender, so hyper-responsive to touch, a girl arches her back like an electric eel at mere feel of my breath on them.

Who cares what they feel like when they look like utter shit?

It’s interesting to see a man who likes smaller breasts though.

In my country, we call him gay.

Bhetti
Quelle surprise, its DA and he’s angry.

PA
Anyway, I’m quite glad there’s a female-only gym.

Yeah, we got ’em too. They are called Curves.

In my country, we call him gay.

Says the tranny-lover.

David Alexander
Quelle surprise, its DA and he’s angry.

I’m not angry. I’m sub-human.

Says the tranny-lover.

Dude, you like women with man chests. I like women with actual signs of femininity with some degree of sexual appearance.

STR8UP
“However, no woman in her right mind dates a guy who ........and his own car (unless it’s a truck = immature redneck or a sports car = mid-life crisis and tiny dick).

You really are a tard.

You aging women are jealous that men don’t shrivel up into undateable prunes when they get into their 30’s like most women do.

Obsidian on August 13, 2009 at 11:34 pm
While I agree w/Dave Alex on the breastses issue, I don’t see why he has to go hard at PA on this; why not live and let live here? If that’s what PA likes, more power to him.

Oh and Dave, check the other Relationship Game thread, there’s something I left for ya.

O

Welmer on August 13, 2009 at 11:45 pm
Don’t you guys get it?

Tokyo, you know who, feministx, or whatever, isn’t a guy — it’s a mentally disturbed dyke. It probably contributes to Jezebel for all we know.

Don’t bother arguing with it. Just log its IP and permaban it.

David Alexander on August 13, 2009 at 11:50 pm
why not live and let live here?

“My kink is better than your kink”

The Fifth Horseman on August 13, 2009 at 11:53 pm
David Alexander,

Why do you come to this blog?

David Alexander on August 13, 2009 at 11:58 pm
Why do you come to this blog?

Attention, serves as a substitute for real life.
The Fifth Horseman
DA wrote:

Attention, serves as a substitute for real life.

Bullshit. You did not do the daytime approaches I told you to do, with the lame excuse that ‘I don’t want to bother people’.

But you are more than happy to bother people here with your unwelcome trolling.

Hence, your excuse for not doing the approaches is invalidated.

Aren’t there video games you can play, instead of coming here?

David Alexander

Aren’t there video games you can play, instead of coming here?

Other than Sim City 4 which I play infrequently, I stopped playing video games since I suck at them.

Bullshit. You did not do the daytime approaches I told you to do, with the lame excuse that ‘I don’t want to bother people’.

Yes, but you’re men on the internet. It’s not the same thing annoying women in the real world and seeing their mean faces, dirty stares, or the disinterest in their voice.

David Alexander

Plus, I’ll note that while trolling can be tedious, it tends to be rather fun.

The Judge

It’s all pretty simple, really. Men desire women for sexual relations and if their personality doesn’t get in the way, they are willing to form longer term relationships. If men were to marry the people they really like to hang out with, they’d all be gay and married to their best male buddies. So there is a clear distinction here as far as romantic attraction vs. other aspects of life.

Women, on the other hand, are looking towards finding their men as truly life-changing experiences. They invest much more of their personality in a relationship, almost to the point of absurdity. The effect is counter productive. Women are stuck pursuing the bigger and better deal, getting old and wrinkled, never realizing that they are being played like a fiddle. Now, I realize that my post could be interpreted as giving away some secret, but that ‘s not really the case.
Things will remain largely as they are currently – for the foreseeable future, meaning that men who have good seduction skills will be enjoying their prowess, while others will be squirming about throwing themselves at the spoils.

**The Fifth Horseman**

David Alexander,

You are a selfish and inconsiderate parasite. A whole array of people have tried to be helpful to you out of the kindness of their hearts (Bhetti, Obsidian, Tupac, and myself, among many others), and you congratulate yourself on baiting them into pitying you.

While I have spent far less time on you than, say, Obsidian has, I am done with you. You are not worth it.

My only request is that when, a few years down the line, you go Sodini, make sure the place you shoot up is some radical feminist gathering, since they are the ones who have created your pitiable state. Make sure that men, and women not known to be feminists, are not harmed.

The End.

**sestamibi**

Seeking Alpha 4:48:

Are you kidding? People do that all the time, which is why I made the point.

**David Alexander Unplugged**

You are a selfish and inconsiderate parasite.

The same can be said of many of the alphas here. They’re not paragons of sainthood either.

you congratulate yourself on baiting them into pitying you

The correct response is to say “David, you’re a little piece of shit, a little useless prick who deserves to die. No girl wants you, and no girl should ever want you. You should have been aborted, you fucking useless mistake.”

You are not worth it.

Of course I wasn’t worth it, and I never will be worth it. I was flawed from the start and nothing was really going to fix it. It’s sad that people wasted their time and effort on me, and in one case, sadly died for it…
My only request is that when, a few years down the line, you go Sodini, make sure the place you shoot up is somehow radical feminist gathering, since they are the ones who have created your pitiable state. Make sure that men, and women not known to be feminists, are not harmed.

David isn’t going to go out Sodini. If I resort to something absurd, I’d make use of my original plans for suicide during my days at engineering school. I’d electrocute myself from the overhead catenary on the Morris and Essex Lines between Summit and Short Hills in New Jersey during the overnight hours when no trains are running. That way, they’d discover a dead corpse on the pristine tracks in between two of the richest communities of New Jersey…

amanda  
do women really say this shit?? really?  

Test  
Every woman is replacable and essentially without intrinsic value, but nobody wants to believe it.

Stop caring about what a woman wants from you. Start thinking in terms of what you want.

Lucifer  
The Fifth Horseman,

While there are certain aspects of his personality that are peculiar, I cannot say that DA is being irrational.

The Fifth Horseman  
Lucifer,

While there are certain aspects of his personality that are peculiar, I cannot say that DA is being irrational.

His situation is not uncommon, and his decision to give up is not so rare.

But then trolling here for sympathy, getting others to try to help him, and then congratulating himself on having suckered them into taking pity, rather than actually lift a finger to improve his situation, is selfish, inconsiderate, and pathetic.

Lucifer  
The Fifth Horseman,
The funny thing is that DA on a bad day is less irritating than the ‘nicest’ (least fucked up) women I have known on a good day.

**Obsidian**

I don’t think Dave Alex is as TFH suggests. He’s suffering from major depression and a kind of nihilistic view on life, much of it by his own hand, and others by his upbringing, particularly the failure of his recently departed dad and too much time under his mom.

None of this is something that cannot be fixed.

As for why I continue to engage Dave Alex? Simple. I do it for a number of reasons, but perhaps the biggest one is because in some ways I see a bit of myself in him. Not as extreme as him of course, but we share a few things in common: we’re both Black Males; we’re both short; and we both didn’t/don’t think of ourselves as having leading man looks.

And yet despite all of this, I’ve achieved in my life. Particularly in the area of love, sex and relationships. Hands down it has been the biggest area of life lessons for me, and I will say the same will prove true for Dave Alex, one way or another.

And I’ve lived long enough and been around long enough to know why Dave Alex really keeps coming back here. He’s fighting with himself. And losing. His inner self is fighting, hard, to get out, and the cognitive dissonance is getting so loud now that other commenters, like Bhetti, can now see it. On more than one occasion we have seen Dave Alex fly off the handle; that wasn’t by accident. Its a visceral display of the war that is raging inside him-the more he tries to suppress his humanity, the harder he tries to deny the very essence of who and what he is, the stronger the reactions from his subconscious will be. And therein lay the danger.

Because I don’t think the mention of George Sodini is that far off. Remember, Dave Alex was roughly the same age as Sodini was when the latter had his last sexual experience. Imagine Dave Alex roughly 25 years from now-the age when Sodini lashed out in tremendous pain—it really aint that big of a stretch.

Like Sodini, Dave Alex also has access to the Game/PUA community, and like Sodini, his worldview is grossly distorted. The big difference between the two Men however, is that Dave still has time to turn things around. He can still choose.

He can choose.

The Obsidian

**Lucifer**

Obsidian,
Would it really be so bad if men like DA pulled a Sodini? I mean think of it.. (I have sadistic tendencies)

Why should people expect you to treat them any better from how they treat you?

Obsidian  
on August 14, 2009 at 1:51 am
Also, Dave Alex, in relation to your response to TFH on his recommendation on doing “day approaches”:

There’s more than one way to skin a cat, man. Whatever happened to that checklist we talked about? Any Man can do that, and you’d be surprised how that will, in and of itself, open doors for you.

See, you’re focused solely on the Women who DON’T want to be bothered. You have to instead focus your time on the Women who DO want to be approached.

Now, I think I know wha you’re gonna say: the Women who might be interested in you, you’re not interested in them. A legit point, but here’s the thing: in order to attract the Women you want, you gotta give em what they want. Follow me here partner, its just good commonsense. To assume otherwise is to think like a child, and you’re not a child, right? I mean, even if you don’t actually put any of this into motion, still, it makes sense, right?

So, doing simple things like updating your wardrobe, wearing a nice cologne, keeping the daily hygiene up, having a sense of humor, being warm and open to others, etc et al, these simple, seemingly “little” things, can really open a lot of doors to Women to you.

One major reason why a lot of guys aren’t successful w/Women is because they appear /come off as “closed off” to Women, and that promotes them not being open to said Men in return. On the other hand, if you maintain an “open’ stance, Women will be more receptive to you.

There’s a bit more to it but I don’t wanna make this out to be a NASA project here. The bottomline is that if you can yourself more inviting to others, Women will make themselves available to you. Trust me, I get this all the time.

O

The Fifth Horseman  
on August 14, 2009 at 1:55 am
Obsidian,

What you say is mostly true. I see many Sodini-like seeds in DA’s mind, and, barring a rescue via the invention of sex technologies that get cheap enough for DA to afford in 10-15 years, the long-term outcome could be similar.
But you have met him 90% of the way. He not only will not meet you with the last 10%, but he congratulates himself on having successfully trolled you (and others) into expending your effort.

Help those who at least show the tiniest desire to be helped, is my motto.

He said:

Yes, but you’re men on the internet. It’s not the same thing annoying women in the real world and seeing their mean faces, dirty stares, or the disinterest in their voice.

Plus, I’ll note that while trolling can be tedious, it tends to be rather fun.

That shows me what he thinks of those who, in their generosity, tried to help him.

Obsidian

Lucifer,

My view is that while pulling a Sodini may have some macro benefit, along the lines some, including you, have discussed previously, my position is that such a state of affairs is inherently wrong, immoral and just bad. Taking innocent lives cannot be condoned, in my view. No matter what the rationale.

Game is a crucial part of a Man’s life, and the more he learns and masters and applies it, the better his life will be. Sodini had no Game at all. Neither does Dave Alex.

We can see the potential outcome here.

O

Lucifer

Obsidian,

I am not sure about the efficacy of such lists and optimism. I buy sex because I refuse to take any more bullshit from a woman.

Seen too much BS, heard too much BS.. just refuse to take any more. The only kind of interaction I ever want with a woman is sex (and paying for it works very well).

Lucifer

The Fifth Horseman,

Why is pulling a sodini such a bad idea? I mean sure.. the guy could have killed more. But I cannot say that he was a coward or even evil.
He was just returning the treatment he got (in his way).

I return that favor by refusing to treat a woman as anything other than a 1-2 hour sex session (no strings, disposable and fun). We deal with it in our ways, don’t we?

**David Alexander Unplugged**

But then trolling here for sympathy, getting others to try to help him, and then congratulating himself on having suckerdd them into taking pity, rather than actually lift a finger to improve his situation, is selfish, inconsiderate, and pathetic.

Yuri likes the fact that people take the time to write to him about how to fix his life. David wants people to hate on that little twerp.

It’s a visceral display of the war that is raging inside him—the more he tries to suppress his humanity, the harder he tries to deny the very essence of who and what he is, the stronger the reactions from his subconscious will be.

Oh trust me, Yuri’s no better. He’s the quiet little prick that can’t get laid and reeks of his grandmother’s social phobia. Killing off David Alexander doesn’t mean he get laid. You just get another happy go lucky single Catholic church going herb with his little hobbies and NPR membership.

*Because I don’t think the mention of George Sodini is that far off.*

David Alexander is much better than that. He doesn’t resort to foolish methods that prove nothing. Shooting a bunch of fat ugly middle aged women doesn’t achieve the aims of the “project”.

**Lucifer**

Obsidian,

Maybe DA has lost faith in humanity, I cannot blame him.

I just do it differently.

**The Fifth Horseman**

Lucifer,

1) We cannot condone Sodini. Sodini had choices, and could have gone overseas and become like xsplat, rather than be depressed. Even if he had not killed random women and targetting a NOW gathering specifically. We have to be better than that.
Now if DA absolutely has to kill someone, some murders are less tragic that others, but we still cannot condone this, much less cheer it on.

2) We have seen on recent threads that this sort of thing does not scare feminists into being more reasonable. If anything, it inspires them to be even more vindictive with a whole ‘See how bad they are!!’ campaign.

We have to be better than that. There are many, many ways to win by still being better than encouraging more Sodinis. We can certainly explain why feminism created Sodini and DA, but we cannot condone or encourage that.

**Lucifer**

The Fifth Horseman,

As I have said, we have different ways of coping. I pay hookers, DA comes on this blog, you give advice, sodini shoots a few women.

I have to say that he did confront his abusers.. and no, they were not innocent. Did they deserve to die? who knows.. I don’t care. I just like the entertainment.

You are trying to appease feminists. It does not work, but you will not stop trying. You think women are basically decent human beings, I don’t. You believe in redemption, I don’t.

**The Fifth Horseman**

You are trying to appease feminists.

Not at all. I hate them. I just think there are more strategic ways to checkmate them, and set them up for a self-inflicted downfall. I see no need to let them make ME a monster.

I have to say that he did confront his abusers.. and no, they were not innocent.

Those were not the same people who mistreated him, and those women may not have even been feminists. Some kids don’t have their mothers now. It was random. Now, if he targetted a feminist gathering, that would be more precise, but still morally wrong.

**Lucifer**

The Fifth Horseman,

Let me put it in this way.

I am deeply cynical and distrustful of humans. I want to he happy for myself, not for others. I do not care, but like the entertainment.
see that ‘I’ and ‘me’

David Alexander Unplugged  
*on August 14, 2009 at 2:25 am*

*We can certainly explain why feminism created Sodini and DA*

Since know the truth about female behaviour, I’d much rather have feminism than being assigned some golddigger who is incapable of loving me or finding me attractive.

Lucifer  
*on August 14, 2009 at 2:25 am*

The Fifth Horseman,

You are trying to protect unworthy backstabbers. Why? Just sit back and enjoy the show..

Obsidian  
*on August 14, 2009 at 2:28 am*

Dave Alex,

How do you know Sodini killed “ugly, fat” Women? All we know for certain at this point is their ages at the time of death, and the presumption that they were White.

Please explain?

O

Lucifer  
*on August 14, 2009 at 2:38 am*

Obsidian,

Who cares about them? Think about it this way: What % of women you have met have mistreated you? and what % have treated you well? what is the ratio?

and you still feel sympathy for them.. are you nuts?

Obsidian  
*on August 14, 2009 at 2:39 am*

TFH, Lucifer,

Gentlemen, I would like to respond to some comments and questions you posed to me.

First, I don’t desire Women just for sex in my life, Lucifer. I also greatly enjoy their company, in ways beyond just mere sex. I respect your right to do as you will, but it is not my way.

Second, TFH, I don’t see my discussions w/Dave Alex as being a lost cause at all, because I know I’m reaching other guys out there who also may be in need of help but who never posts. If so, if it only helps one guy out there, then Praise God.
Anonymous for now

We can certainly explain why feminism created Sodini and DA, but we cannot condone or encourage that. Okay, I'll bite. Please tell me how feminism created Sodini.

Anonymous for now

Oopsies… I actually do know how to spell feminism.

David Alexander Unplugged

How do you know Sodini killed “ugly, fat” Women?

They’re thirty something women in LA Fitness in Pittsburgh suburb. That screams ugly to me…

Lucifer

DA,

Yep, they were ugly


The Fifth Horseman

Anonymous,

Here is a pretty logical explanation.

Here is another, though not as detailed as the first.

Actually, Sodini was never married, and this never hit with divorce. The divorce laws in America are so unfair and dehumanizing towards men that I am surprised (and grateful) that we don’t see a Sodini every week.
In summary, ‘feminism’ (which in the 21st century, really has become rabid misandry), has hurt a very large percentage of average men, to a very deep and dehumanizing degree.

So it is both the number of men, AND the degree to which they are unfairly brutalized.

The feminists who still rail about how women have it bad have no idea that they presently are enjoying the very apex of their unnatural and accidental bubble of power (much like Nasdaq 5000 nine years ago), and that the crash back to natural equilibriums is going to happen in about a decade or so.

**Gunslingergregi**

""""The Fifth Horseman

Anonymous,

Here is a pretty logical explanation.

Here is another, though not as detailed as the first.

Actually, Sodini was never married, and this never hit with divorce. The divorce laws in America are so unfair and dehumanizing towards men that I am surprised (and grateful) that we don’t see a Sodini every week."""

Yea this pretty much should dismiss the feminists claims that all men are duhumanized monster rapists walking the planet. Yea shit happens but out of 300 million not as often as it could.

**The Fifth Horseman**

Ferdinand! I discovered your blog just 4 days ago, and really like your articles. In fact, I just linked to your ‘contract’ article in an earlier comment.

**Anonymous for now**

After a quick read-through it looks as if the thought is that feminism created Sodini, and men like Sodini, by limiting the access men once had to women?

I want to make sure I have the basic premise clear before I respond further.

**The Fifth Horseman**

Anonymous for now,

Read the first link in detail.

**Also, read this. with full attention, please.**
It is not about just ‘limiting access’. It is about an outright rigged system that screws over men from start to finish, merely so as to free women from the consequences of their own choices as adults. I still say that divorced men have been through worse than Sodini, since the divorce laws are ridiculously unfair towards men, for any society that claims to be free and equal.

**Anonymous for now**

The the second link is about Sodini’s sexual needs as well.

**Anonymous for now**

This was the idea to which I was speaking from the link you posted:

Not too long ago, there existed an unwritten contract between men and women in America and the West at large. Men spent their years working at shitty jobs, working their asses off every day and shortening their lifespans, obeying the laws and generally staying on the straight and narrow, and in return, they had guaranteed access to women through the institution of marriage.

The contract between the sexes is no longer valid. The wheels had been in motion for decades prior, but the motions that destroyed the contract for good occurred in the late 60’s and 70’s.

If George Sodini had been alive as late as the 1950’s, he would likely have been able to get married in his 20’s to a reasonably attractive woman (6 or 7, possibly an 8) and have children. He would not have spent twenty years in an involuntarily celibate torture, allowing his mind to warp in all sorts of perverted ways.

**The Fifth Horseman**

Anon for now,

Read the third item I linked as well.

It is not about Sodini – he is just a small part of the broader picture. Divorced men were married and had access to a wife. But they go through things even worse than Sodini did.

The real issue is the pervasive dehumanization of men, under the banner of ‘feminism’, which is accepted and even celebrated in our society, over the last 2 decades.

Kick a friendly dog enough times, and you get a mean dog. Deny this truism at your own peril.

**reader**

Anonymous for now — Before you go any further, just read this:
If you still don’t get it, read the comments section in the “game can save lives” post. If that’s not enough, rehabilitate yourself with some Judith Butler and start up your futile arm flailing on a different thread. This post and thread are too good to be sullied by another 2,000 back and forth comments that ultimately only prove the obvious.

**Anonymous for now**

The Fifth Horseman,  

I see the article goes on to say men don’t like being seen as a meal ticket, or slaving away at soul-less, stressful jobs… But how do you think women felt about being seen as valuable only for sex and ability to produce and reproduce children?

I will honestly tell you that I am a feminist by the very definition of the word: complete equality and protect for women. How is that an evil thing in which to believe?

Yes, perhaps women do have more options now, and since we are marrying (if at all) at a later stage in life, we are able to see men like Sodini for who he is, and avoid him. I think he was crazy; finding a wife early on in life and having lots of sex would not have completely changed his predisposition to insanity.

True feminist do not want to see ANY person “screwed over”; true feminist believe in equality and see it as a necessity in society—for everyone.

I don’t think women are choosing “bad boys” over good boys, but I do think we are choosing men who are evolving with us. Instead of railing against the change, why not be happy that women are enjoying exploring more options available to them—and support them. This doesn’t mean you have to be weak. I agree with SOME of what the author of this blogs advocates, in that men should be strong in their own individuality—this is much more attractive to women.

However, if you think that sexual harassment laws and the Violence Against Women Act is the part of society that’s screwing you over, then the problem is much worse than I originally thought.

As far as the divorce issues goes, I can see it from both sides. My parents went through a divorce (really tough on my mom) then my father went on to get divorced two more times after my mother (really tough on my father). These issues get complicated, but I don’t really think they correlate with feminism and equality.

**Anonymous for now**

reader,

I will read the link tomorrow, perhaps.
If you still don’t get it, read the comments section in the “game can save lives” post. If that’s not enough, rehabilitate yourself with some Judith Butler and start up your futile arm flailing on a different thread. This post and thread are too good to be sullied by another 2,000 back and forth comments that ultimately only prove the obvious.

I will read what I think I need to read, and that doesn’t include all the comments posted on this topic. No one is arm flailing—except for you. If you don’t want to read my comments SKIP PAST THEM.

The Fifth Horseman

Anon for now, on August 14, 2009 at 4:01 am

I will honestly tell you that I am a feminist by the very definition of the word: complete equality and protect for women. How is that an evil thing in which to believe?

This is what most of the men here believe too. I am here to tell you that women have achieved equality and more, so congratulations, your cause has been achieved. Women earn the same wages as men for the same job. Women attend college in greater numbers than men.

We are here to say that the pendulum has gotten too far in the other direction, where men are now second class citizens.

There are many reasonable people here who will debate with you politely. One woman here, Nicole, can explain things to you in a very fair way, and you could learn a lot about the male point of view from her.

True feminist do not want to see ANY person “screwed over”; true feminist believe in equality and see it as a necessity in society—for everyone.

Then true feminists are invisible relative to the radical misandrists. A true feminist was Sarah Palin, and her husband Todd Palin. Yet, the radical feminists hated her to a shocking degree.

Instead of railing against the change, why not be happy that women are enjoying exploring more options available to them—and support them.

Women want men to bear the costs of their sexual utopia. All supposedly ‘empowered’ and ‘independent’ women are still heavily dependent on the state to transfer resources from men to women, in the form of alimony, child support, affirmative action, public sector jobs, and taxes on the rich (who are mostly men).

These issues get complicated, but I don’t really think they correlate with feminism and equality.

Oh, they do. Divorce laws are extremely rigged against the man, particularly a gainfully employed, honest man.
Why should the woman be entitled to alimony if SHE chooses divorce (which the woman does in 90% of the cases). Why should the man have to pay her if SHE cheated?

Why are women opposed to pre-nups? Why are a fair percentage of men now avoiding marriage, or marrying foreign women only?

**Anonymous for now**

And WOW on my spelling and punctuation: sorry, it’s definitely 3 AM here.

**Savvy**

Incredible. I had a guy break up with me because I didn’t test him like that. I liked him how he was and didn’t try to move things along. I actually appreciated him. It seems like you guys thrive on women treating you like crap and being treated like crap in return. Every time I sense a guy is gaming me, I chuck him. I had a guy who put me on short phone calls and was never available. I didn’t want to continue with things because I thought he would be a crappy boyfriend. It wasn’t a test, it was the truth.

Sodini needed help with social skills. He had almost no friends. It’s really sad. Some of that is societal because society has changed. In the 50s, Sodini would have been surrounded by nosy neighbors who would “help things along.”

**reader**

reader,

I will read the link tomorrow, perhaps.

If you still don’t get it, read the comments section in the “game can save lives” post. If that’s not enough, rehabilitate yourself with some Judith Butler and start up your futile arm flailing on a different thread. This post and thread are too good to be sullied by another 2,000 back and forth comments that ultimately only prove the obvious.

I will read what I think I need to read, and that doesn’t include all the comments posted on this topic. No one is arm flailing–except for you. If you don’t want to read my comments SKIP PAST THEM.

You will read what you “think you need to read”?

Ok.

Well, few feminists admit right off the bat that they are totally uninterested in actually understanding the other point of view, preferring instead to invent the views of the opposition, so as to ensure those views fit more snugly into whatever adorable little narrative is playing out in
between your ears. Kudos for the honesty.

**The Fifth Horseman**

*And WOW on my spelling and punctuation: sorry, it's definitely 3 AM here.*

Stick around for the next few days, and converse with the various people here (some of whom are women). There are important perspectives for you to see.

**xsplat**

*on August 14, 2009 at 4:13 am*

Second, TFH, I don’t see my discussions w/Dave Alex as being a lost cause at all, because I know I’m reaching other guys out there who also may be in need of help but who never posts.

I don’t understand your view. First of all, are you sure that other people read those conversations? I skip past them and find they clutter up the board. For that, they irritate me.

I think you’ve been duped by DA.

I think some people are poisonous, and best avoided. They can do no good and can not be helped.

It’s not a pretty viewpoint. There was a time I didn’t feel that way.

Just don’t feed the trolls. It’s common wisdom for a reason. Because feeding the trolls helps no one.

You are not helping anyone with that, O.

**Anonymous for now**

*on August 14, 2009 at 4:19 am*

The Fifth Horseman,

Thank you for explaining your position here. I want to re-read your comments tomorrow when I’m not as tired—it’s after 3 and I have to get up soon… But, I may have more questions, perhaps I will look up Nicole as you suggested, but I would really like to engage a man on this topic. I’m fairly sure that we will not agree, but I really want to try to understand this extreme hatred for feminism—especially since it seems to be used to advocate what happened in PA amongst some people.

**xsplat**

*on August 14, 2009 at 4:24 am*
Anonymous for Now, a tiny minority of men on this board take a position that feminism justified the nutcrackers actions. Maybe just Lucifer. Others are saying that feminism contributed to his actions. Which is very different from justifying his actions.

If you can wrap your head around the nuanced difference between those words – justified vs contributed – you’ll have gone a long way to understanding many of the sentiments expressed.

The Fifth Horseman
I’ll be here tomorrow, as will others. Guys like doug1, Obsidian, Dave in Hawaii, Ferdinand Bardamu, novaseeker, and others are among those you might find useful.

In one sentence, the theme will be:

What is called ‘feminism’ is no longer the feminism of the 1950s (which has achieved and exceeded its goals), but rather extreme misandrism. Comprehensive supporting evidence will be provided by myself and the others mentioned.

Gunslingergregi
Uea your going to want to use a dictionary too anon.

Gunslingergregi
Oh and engage over at my thread which I really believe covers most of what your talking about with game saves lives.

Oh and isn’t it amazing how well labeling works. Look at your attitude when the proper label “feminism” is placed on every evil instead of the label “men”.

DestroyToCreate
Agree & Amplify, great idea and great “Icon of Coil” reference.

The Fifth Horseman
Obsidian,

I would tend to agree with xsplat regarding DA.

What you write might certainly be helpful to others, if they are reading it. But in that case, if you had your own blog where your writings would be more visible (and more easily referred back to months later), you would be able to help a lot more people, with people seeking guidance would actually gravitate there.
If you write something valuable, it is not just valuable today, but could be valuable 90 days from now too. Over here, it is virtually impossible to refer back to a great posting, as there is no way to search the ‘Obsidian archives’.

So helping others beyond DA would be better served with a blog containing the same commentary.

**Rum**

My not so distant ancestors took an essentially old testament view towards threats to their surroundings. In truth, they were just some red haired freaks chosen by God to bring truth, order, and justice to South Texas. One way or the other. So, it became a part of their normal, everyday ranching chores to slaughter Mexicans, fat or thin & Commanches alive or dead.

Aw yes, G-ds will be done. Amen.

Have any of you played an instrument in an ensemble with female members? It can be sublime. It is just about the music – when it is not about the flirting. This whole area of inquiry has been ignored to our detriment. Men and women are often at their absolute best when making real music together.

**Eat a Peach**

Two words: Thank you.

**Cannon's Canon**

Savvy:

“Incredible. I had a guy break up with me because I didn’t test him like that. I liked him how he was and didn’t try to move things along. I actually appreciated him. It seems like you guys thrive on women treating you like crap and being treated like crap in return. Every time I sense a guy is gaming me, I chuck him. I had a guy who put me on short phone calls and was never available. I didn’t want to continue with things because I thought he would be a crappy boyfriend. It wasn’t a test, it was the truth.”

He probably broke up with you because you are fat or ugly.

But this all depends on what kind of WoW guild you are in.


Advice: log into battlegrounds and see who gives an “alt-F4” suggestion… THAT is your target alpha. treat him like the god that he is.
on August 14, 2009 at 6:40 am

He should not be fed. He should be ignored. Feeding him disrespects the blog.

BS after BS

to have TJF in this blog is like having George Sodini before the shootings. Even David Alexander is more alpha than TJF.

on August 14, 2009 at 6:46 am

Don’t you guys get it?

Tokyo, you know who, feministx, or whatever, isn’t a guy — it’s a mentally disturbed dyke. It probably contributes to Jezebel for all we know.

In other news, the Zionist Conspiracy still rules the world from the shadows, and the moon landing remains a hoax. And now the weather.

Don’t bother arguing with it. Just log its IP and permaban it.

That sounds like something a feminist would suggest.

on August 14, 2009 at 6:48 am

Brilliant.

Before I came to this site I would’ve given lame wimpy responses to those tests. (“I’m sorry…”) I suppose you’ve already written about how apologizing is an incredibly beta thing to do?

I’m pretty quick on my feet, but far from a ‘champ’ at game, so having an idea to work with will help me quite a bit to avoid falling into these traps.

on August 14, 2009 at 6:49 am

to have TJF in this blog is like having George Sodini before the shootings

Where have I indicated that I am planning to go on a shooting spree?

Even David Alexander is more alpha than TJF.
What does this even mean? Alpha has not been properly defined, and is not used in any consistent way. It is a meaningless word.

**gig**
@ TJF

the whole TJF ouvre points to that

**Cannon's Canon**

“Where have I indicated that I am planning to go on a shooting spree?”

We are hoping to find it on denninger or perhaps the “nice guy” boards. When you go on your beta killing spree, it will hammer stocks. Do us a favor and cue us in so our short orders get in on time, ok OglingTriggerThrust?

Gregi is prepared to open up to 100 screens to predict the crater of your suicide. Holler at your boyzzz!

**gig**

Because I don’t think the mention of George Sodini is that far off.

BS again. The Sodini wannabe is TJF. DA is harmless. The beta revolution crowd hopes for more Sodinis and less DAs, but they will get 100 DA’s for every Sodini

**gig**

This is why I plan to slowly convince TJF to fdo his shooting spree in Nokia or some other Finnish company that is internationally traded

there is nothing to gain if he shoots a local gym in rural Finland

**you know who**

**gig**

the whole TJF ouvre points to that

In what way? What is your claim based on?

**Cannon’s Canon**
We are hoping to find it on denninger or perhaps the “nice guy” boards. When you go on your beta killing spree, it will hammer stocks. Do us a favor and cue us in so our short orders get in on time, ok OglingTriggerThrust?

What does “beta” mean?

dana

1. have you all read this by devlin too?

http://www.thornwalker.com/ditch/devlin_contents.htm

2. when i search the blog for my comments i come up with zilch, does anyone know how i could do this?

Lucifer

xsplat,

I never had delusions about women. I never wanted to make nice, protect them or care about them if they did not reciprocate the favor.

Social systems that do not treat individuals well cannot expect them to keep playing nice. The difference between you and me is that I am not willing to believe in something whose existence cannot be verified.

Once society starts mistreating more than a small minority of it’s individuals, it is destined for collapse. However many like to think that their society is special.

As an example- The problem with ‘might makes right’ is that you might one day loose ‘might’ and then the person holding the ‘might’ will sodomize you with it.

Whites in the US have long treated blacks as subhumans and behaved as if ‘might makes right’. when any white person tells me that they are afraid of blacks, I often ask them about how we reached here.

Lucifer

Rum,

How does it feel to now stand at the other end. If you are not feeling it yet, don’t worry – you will.

Demography, technology and the spread of education are a destabilizing combination. I am just enjoying the show..
emach on August 14, 2009 at 9:25 am
I’ve used agree & amplify my entire life – it’s my natural response to any shit test.

All of the girls I’ve stuck around with long enough to have “relationship” fights with me have always complained that it’s impossible to argue with me because I just adopt their own arguments and use exaggeration to make them look ridiculous. I never actually address any of their points, I just make them feel stupid for even raising them to begin with. It works like a charm. I never have to lie to her and she’ll be apologizing to me after rightfully accusing me of fucking around on her.

lovelysexybeauty on August 14, 2009 at 9:41 am
@ Savvy –

I feel like I’ve observed a similar thing – I think most men are not self-aware of how much they do like a little bit of a challenge. Sh*t testing is one way to challenge.

I think most men don’t realize that a girl who is too nice, too accepting, and too unselfishly loving sets off the “desperate” or “annoying and needy” sensors. Some guys on this blog here boil everything down to a how a girl looks, but hello… when there are millions of hot and sexy women why would a guy stay with a boring girl when he can just leave and find another hot one?

Guys love to “win” at flag football, in office politics, etc. and I think they need to feel they “win” with women too.

P.S. Nice blog. 😊 I’m also very spiritually (and religiously) inclined, so glad to have run across it

lovelysexybeauty on August 14, 2009 at 9:45 am
To modify my comment – maybe I shouldn’t have said *most* men are not aware that they like a challenging girl, but I think most guys immediately think of a girl that’s way too hard to get and always says she’s busy vs. a girl who’s a fun challenge who pushes him to be a better man, and bring his best Game.

Also…it’s really interesting reading the whole David Alexander discussion here with Obsidian, Fifth Horseman, Doug1 (I think), Bhetti even… I can understand why so many people here try again and again and again to help… we can all see a little of DA in ourselves I think, that urge to give up or whatever.

aoefe on August 14, 2009 at 9:48 am
Dana said “men don’t have “mid-life crises”, they have “help, my wife’s hit mid-life” crises”
I would have said it like this “men don’t have “help, my wife’s hit the WALL!” crisis. 😊

**aoefe**

crap screwed my own requote… jeesh!

I would have said it like this “men don’t have mid life crisis they have “help, my wife’s hit the WALL!” crisis.

**spandrell**
yup, A&A works pretty well. And its pretty straightforward too.
I’m very bad at pick up, but this kind of LTR game I nail it.
I always wondered why it was so hard to get a girl, but once I got her she’ll never leave me, ever.

Now I know. But I do think that the psychological processes of pick up and LTR game are pretty different. I may not be confident enough to woo girls on a bar, but I´m not allowing my girlfriend to mess with me.

**aoefe**

LSB – , “but I think most guys immediately think of a girl that’s way too hard to get and always says she’s busy vs. a girl who’s a fun challenge who pushes him to be a better man, and bring his best Game.”

I totally agree with you chick! I’ve been told I try too hard by men in my life (alphas). I had to look at this long and hard. Truthfully I don’t feel desperate, have lots of opportunities to date. So if its not desperation what is it? I came to the conclusion that my naturally easy going, people pleasing ways (I get pleasure out if it, not passive aggressive) came off as too easy to please. They missed the challenge. I was in many ways too easy, and I´m not meaning that in the sexual sense, although heck that too. It truly takes quite a few factors which aren’t easily realized in men I meet for me to even consider a relationship (hypergamy at work) so when I do, ya I´m ready to go for it. This “I’ll jump right in” quality I think is too intense and I have to learn a little girl game.

I know you guys say its all about looks and sex. I agree. I’m no slump in either category yet I feel men lose interest when they realize I’ve been fairly easily conquered time to move on. This is what I came to realize/understand. So fellas does anything I above ring true? Any advice for the next time I get the feeling a relationship has real potential? Ways to play it? (besides looking my absolute classy yet sexy best).

“

**Obsidian**
Lucifer,
I can respect where you’re coming from and would never judge you for the way in which you conduct your life. As I’ve said several times in the forum, not only do I think that prostitution/escorting should be made fully legal, but that so long as a Man and a Woman are of legal age of consent and are in mutual agreement of their own free will, I’m completely cool w/whatever arrangement they’ve come up with.

That said, and while I see where you’re coming from wrt Sodini and the wider implications of Feminism etc, for me it aint about “them”, ie Women, its about me, as a Man. Being an asshole aint me, Man. Never has been, and I suspect, never will be.

But that doesn’t mean that I can’t or won’t stand up for myself. And people who try to take my kindness for weakness often live to regret it.

So, I basically avoid the Women who I think would in some way be bad for me. And it’s really not as hard as it may sound. The ugly truth is that for every asshole guy walking around, there’s at least one corresponding bitchy over-entitled gal out there, too, and I simply decided, long ago, that I won’t tolerate that in my personal space, in my mind, in my life. Period.

And I don’t have to be mean or ugly in going about it, either. In fact, I’ve found that being respectful and adopting a “Silence is Golden” stance is far and away more “lethal” to a Woman’s psyche than all the dramatic stuff a goodly number of asshole douche types do.

But overall, I’m basically a smooth, cool, laidback, gentlemanly dude. I like Women, and I enjoy their company, both sexual and nonsexual. Nothing wrong with that, right?

The Obsidian

lovelysexybeauty
@ aoefe

“I don’t feel desperate, have lots of opportunities to date. So if its not desperation what is it? I came to the conclusion that my naturally easy going, people pleasing ways (I get pleasure out if it, not passive aggressive) came off as too easy to please. They missed the challenge. I was in many ways too easy, and I’m not meaning that in the sexual sense, although heck that too.”

lol, esp. at that last part 😏

I think someone upthread or on another thread said something about “sauciness.” This is something I’m really deliberating… hopefully some guys here will offer up their ideas.
I think some girls nowadays try to be a challenge but do it in a bad way, like the whole “I’m so busy” thing a lot of girls do, or when they try to brag about how many guys hit on them, or try to emasculate men or something.

lovelysexybeauty

@ reader –

Great link, very accessible and easy to read. Hadn’t heard of this Devlin guy but had seen his name mentioned, looks like he’s worth checking out.

Bhetti
dana.

Obsidian

Also, Lucifer, Tood,

Another reason why I don’t get weary in reaching out to Dave Alex is because if it’s one thing I’ve learned in my own personal journey of sorts, wrt Game and just life in our age, its that Men have to reach out to each other and help other. For all the many things we can say about the ills of Feminism—and in my view there are quite a few—the bottomline is that Women get things done for each other. They band together and help each other out. Men need to learn how to do this.

That’s why I thought what The Urban Scientist over at Psychology Today said was so very profound about the Seduction Community, and in so many ways he’s right, its virtually unprecendented. And again, for all the problems Feminism may have wrought in our time, the fact remains, that in the end, Women can’t nor should help boys grow into Men. That’s our job.

I am well aware that Dave Alex has his issues, and the very fact that he keeps coming here says to me that deep down, he sees something here that is of value to him. In my faith tradition, we are called to assist others. Charity is not contingent on the acts of the receivers, or what their intentions are, etc. The bottomline is he’s here and I for one wouldn’t be a Man if I simply turned by back on him like the stranger on the road to Jericho.

So, maybe he’s playing me, like he may be playing errbody else here; if so, so be it. As I understand it, Dave’s a somewhat religious Man, and if that’s true, then he’ll have to account for his actions when his time comes. But for me, I’m not gonna give up on Dave Alex. Doing so would be a diss to St. Jude.

The Obsidian
Obsidian  
Aoefe, LSB,  
As I was trying to explain to LilGrl the other day, please don’t think that you too can do what he’s talking about, trust me, most guys will NOT find that cute. In fact it will turn most of em off.

Being accessible w/a minimum of snark, etc et al, is the best way to go.

Its really as simple as that, and even he has written about this in the recent past. Look it up.

The Obsidian

Marcus Aureliette  
O:  
But yea, the blog is coming. Just a matter of when, not if at this point.

Yaaay!! 😊

TimX  
@DA

you need to get your shit together…

I’m black, just turned 26 and I’m still a virgin…but man DA I’m not so down on myself all the time…how someone could be so down on themselves is disgusting.

I’m going out tonite and keep in mind, I have no idea how to even talk to women and I’m socially inept…

But DA unlike you I don’t want to collect pity from people on this board, only advice, maybe new friends and mentors…

Keep in mind DA…from 24-25 I went through a shitty phase where I “accepted my reality”…but man fuck that shit…let’s get embarrassed, humiliated..

Instead of collecting pity…maybe you should try to network with folks who know what they’re doing…

I’m in the EXACT same situation as EXTRAMASK(zero experience with women at 26) from the game and shit inspires me…
DA stop allowing these people to pity you…Do you live in DC? if so, maybe we can go out and try to push each other

Remember DA the women on this board who pretend to pity you…are going out tonite…to mess with …the real men

so end the pity party and get your shit together…

lurker on August 14, 2009 at 11:20 am
It must be lovely living in tjf’s world, assuming he’s not playing a role (which would make his act even more autistic).

Any facts that contradict him are ignored as if they never happened. Any arguments made are ignored. He continually claims the opposite has happened as to what has actually happened. Deny, deny, deny, demand ridiculous standards of others you don’t hold yourself to, claim victory when you have lost, blame any complaints about you (“troll”) as being the projection of others failings upon him (in itself a projection), no matter the volume; and fail occam’s razor on every argument you make.

my bet: tjf’s mother’s basement is full of Nobama posters.

Novaseeker on August 14, 2009 at 11:29 am
I don’t think women are choosing “bad boys” over good boys, but I do think we are choosing men who are evolving with us.

@anon for now —

More detail on this later, but for now I’d just like to say that this, in particular, is nonsense. If it were true, then Game would not work, and believe me, Game *does* work.

And the women who have chosen so-called “evolved” men are getting bored to death with them. I’d recommend perusing an article by Sandra Tsing Loh in last month’s *Atlantic*. Loh describes how she and her friends were all bored to tears with their “evolved” husbands, whom they admitted were fantastic around the house and with the kids. Why? The attraction died, that’s why, and with it the sex died. The women she describes in her article wish their husbands were more like men of yore in chasing their secretaries and so on, and muse wistfully about how women in Scandinavia are increasingly preferring Muslim immigrant men to Scandinavian men because the former are more traditionally masculine. I’m not making this up — go read the article. It’s a real eye-opener about what women *really* feel about living with “evolved men” 15-20 years down the pike.
Another resource to peruse is the recently published and extremely well-written book by Cristina Nehring called “A Vindication of Love”, in which she bemoans the stable love that evolved “equalist” relationships contain and pines for wild, passionate, unequal, uncontrolled love and passion.

So much for women preferring “evolved” men. I think some women think this way when they are in their 20s and filled with feminist ideology. Older women, like Loh and her friends and Nehring, have experienced these equalist relationships with “evolved” men and now are being honest with themselves and everyone else: they were bored to death with these relationships because they left them with many unruly yet unmet needs.

Hence the success of Game.

More later.

you know who lurker

Any facts that contradict him are ignored as if they never happened. Any arguments made are ignored. He continually claims the opposite has happened as to what has actually happened. Deny, deny, deny, demand ridiculous standards of others you don’t hold yourself to, claim victory when you have lost, blame any complaints about you (“troll”) as being the projection of others failings upon him (in itself a projection), no matter the volume; and fail occam’s razor on every argument you make.

Self-criticism is good. I hope you share this with your therapist also.

Marcus Aureliette

You only say that because I keep calling PUAs out for their bullshit.

Not that I have anything invested in this either way, but I have to ask: why do you come to a PUA blog to denounce their practices, where your message — even if cogent and well-delivered — is far more than likely going to fall on deaf ears?

These guys are not doing anything to women that the women aren’t permitting them to do. If the women don’t like it, all they have to do is walk away. (I won’t defend the Soldini-supporters: they can join their hero in hell…but that’s beside the point.)

I mean, would you stomp into a fundamentalist religious service bellowing that there’s no God? Not that you couldn’t, of course, but it would be bad form at best, and more importantly, utterly pointless.
So why do you do essentially the same thing here?

**lurker**
on August 14, 2009 at 11:34 am
lol. I see tjk has resorted to the “I am rubber, you are glue” method of argument, so lauded by Cicero in his seminal work “How to argue like an 8 year old and have no one listen to you.’

lol autistic tard.

**Hope**
on August 14, 2009 at 11:38 am
@ aoefe and lovelysexybeauty

I wrote this for you guys. 😊

**Obsidian**
on August 14, 2009 at 11:44 am
Nova, etc et al,
I think we need to be careful when we discuss Game and people like those you described, Nova. I agree w/you, Game, even of the “asshole” variety does indeed work, but I’m of the view that we need to make subtle distinctions here. From what I read of Style in The Game, he wouldn’t be what I consider an “asshole” but a lot closer to a refined gent.

I agree w/Nova in that its so important to try and maintain that sexual attraction spark w/your Woman; its a simple, though not always easy, matter of constantly seducing her. They can’t help it. Women long to be seduced, that’s just the way it is, and Men would be wise to learn this and learn it quick.

The Obsidian

**lurker**
on August 14, 2009 at 11:44 am
Marcus Aureliette, you’re about to argue with a sped kid with severe asperger’s and borderline personality disorder who also molests Japanese schoolgirls on the subway.

You have been warned.

**lurker**
on August 14, 2009 at 11:45 am
tjf...Islam created successful societies.

**lurker**
on August 14, 2009 at 11:49 am
http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/0521779332
lovelysexybeauty

@ Obsidian

“As I was trying to explain to LilGrl the other day, please don’t think that you too can do what he’s talking about, trust me, most guys will NOT find that cute. In fact it will turn most of em off.”

Completely agree with you, girls can’t act like guys in terms of “Game” because feminine and masculine ideals are different.

But as aoefe and I were talking about, it seems like there is too much of a good thing, so to speak, if a girl is overly nice and caring it ALSO seems like a turnoff.

There’s a point between sweetness/caringness/softness and sauciness/snarkiness/bitchiness/meanness, that is probably the ideal. I think that point is probably wayyyy closer to sweetness/softness, but a girl who after meeting a guy once is like “yes master” to everything he says, and doting on him like he’s the second coming of Christ will probably tire out most guys eventually.

That’s all I was getting at.

Hope

Oops. That is, I wrote this for the girls. I disagree with the premise that guys only want looks and sex.

spunk

@Anon for now:

I will honestly tell you that I am a feminist by the very definition of the word: complete equality and protect for women. How is that an evil thing in which to believe?
Evil and false. Women are inferior to men. Gender equality is a pipe dream. When you try to enforce a falsehood and in the process upset the natural order of things, bad things happen.

Feminism has never been about ‘gender equality’. That was a noble sounding excuse, not unlike ‘switch off lights and save the planet’ or ‘go vegan and save the animals’. The real goals were matriarchy and empowerment of women at the expense of men. You get Title IX, affirmative action, VAWA and similar bullshit.

There is nothing inherently good in feminism, because its foundation was a pack of lies. And there is no ‘good’ or ‘moderate’ or ‘rational’ feminist in contrast to the more infamous bra-burning, Harriet Harman screeching cunts. All feminists are of the same corrupt source.

Ironically, feminism has liberated men indirectly. Men who have gone their own way, avoided marriage will remain unscathed. In the good old days of our parents/grandparents, when marriage 1.0 lasted as long as the marital vows, most people had to get married to get laid, and even paid sex was hush-hush and largely frowned upon.

Now, marriage 2.0 which lasts a few years and does nothing but screw men over, has nothing to offer men. Apply a little game and you can bed a decent number of women, including someone else’s wife. Not married, not legally stuck to a fast expiring piece of meat for the rest of your life, and yet still can have a vibrant sex life. Fantastico.

Thank Gloria Steinem and the hordes of feminism worshipping, gender equality seeking useful idiots, both male and female.

**Novaseeker**

*I think we need to be careful when we discuss Game and people like those you described, Nova. I agree w/you, Game, even of the “asshole” variety does indeed work, but I’m of the view that we need to make subtle distinctions here. From what I read of Style in The Game, he wouldn’t be what I consider an “asshole” but a lot closer to a refined gent.*

@Obsidian —

True, but by “evolved” I didn’t mean anything other than men who are diehard equalists. I didn’t mean to say “women only like assholes”, but that this “evolved/equalist” stuff doesn’t really work, because women, in the end, do not like it.

**lurker**

Feminism is the creationism of the left.
Novaseeker
Feminism is the creationism of the left.

That’s sig-line-worthy, lurker.

Obsidian
Nova,
Of course you’re right, and its true, Feminism in many ways can be seen as one big shit test, LOL. We have to pay attention to what Women actually do and not what they say. What their true interests are, and so on. In the main, this is the case. Of course, there are always exceptions.

O

Pupu
Bhetti,
Pupu applied the same search term but replaced “dana” with “pupu.” It brought Pupu to the realization of the vast quantities of droppings Pupu had left here over the years :O

Obsidian
LSB,
I think what you, Aoefe and perhaps other ladies really mean is, we basically lack a Courting process nowadays. Errthing happens so fast, and that’s all well and good for those who want “same night lays” and so on. To each his, or her, own.

But for those of us who enjoy a more relaxed pace, and desire a bit something more longer lasting, courting is very important, and here is where it really helps Women actually. Because that way, you’re not pressured to “put on a performance” of one kind or anything. One way or another. You can take your time w/the prospective suitor, and *he* isn’t pressured to make a move all in one day/night either.

Personally, I don’t do Women I don’t court for some time. Other guys here may have a different view, God bless em all. But that’s not me. I enjoy the process, and have a deep and profound respect for it. And perhaps explains why most of my relationships lasts longer, and has more sexual passion, than many people’s marriages.

I’m just sayin.

The Obsidian
lovelysexybeauty  
on August 14, 2009 at 12:08 pm
Oops to finish – figuring out that balance point is the super-duper tricky part, and what I (and I think aeofe if I understood her intentions) were trying to understand better.

Most male commenters here agreed that every single woman sh*ttests. Well what if you all were to do a quick mental exercise... imagine a woman who never sh*ttested you ever:

– When you come over to approach her, she brightens up with a big smile, dotes on your every word, laughs at your every joke, and smiles at your negs. and agrees with them
– When you ask her out, she says yes with glee and no issues
– When you call, she answers. She calls you the next day just “because she felt like talking to you, you’re soooo coool” and “to find out what your favorite stuff is”. She calls you several more times that week
– When you ask to go back to her place on the first date, she says OK
– When you ask to do all sorts of freaky things with her on that first date, she says OK and does all of them with no resistance whatsoever
– In the morning, she makes a meal of all of your favorite breakfast items. She offers to drive you home, and also asks if she should bring you some delicious homemade lunch that week. She tells you that she loves you
– She calls you all the time just because she wants to “hear your voice” and because “she loves you and is sooo lucky to have you”
– She comes to your work to drop off a gift and also give you a quickie of whatever freaky thing you like all the time
– When you go out again, you openly flirt with other girls and she doesn’t do anything and just watches, and waits for a command
– When you tell her that you’re seeing many women and never want to get married, she smiles and says “you’re so smart” like she says to everything that comes out of your mouth
– When you make a casual remark about her junk in the trunk, she becomes anorexic until you actually have to tell her to eat. And then she eats a lot and then you have to tell her to control.
– When she gets pregnant accidentally, you tell her to abort the child and she says “OK!” with a chipper smile as usual
– You tell her you’re going to visit some prostitutes in Amsterdam, that you are cheating with your best friend’s wife, and that you are addicted to cocaine. She just smiles and listens politely and never ever questions you about those things
– It’s 5 years later, somehow you still have her around. She’s never, ever brought up anything about where you guys stand in terms of your relationship, or about having kids, or anything. All of her friends are married, and have kids, but she’s stuck by you and doesn’t question what you guys have at all

Is this the woman you want to spend your whole life with? Or even more than a few months with? In theory it seems good but in reality wouldn’t it be boring and just too easy to be with a yes-woman all the time?
lovelysexybeauty  
@Obsidian  

on August 14, 2009 at 12:11 pm

OK, I was looking at Hope’s post and didn’t see you had wrote already.

Yes, I understand your point about courting, that’s true. I think things that come too easily for us are not valued.

I mean, don’t we all get free t-shirt souvenirs and stuff like that sometimes? Even if it’s a free Mont Blanc pen, do we value it as much as something that we worked for and spent our own money to buy?

It’s kind of that idea.

It’s all good though, I’m not sure how to convey the point I’m trying to make to Boy-ese (male sort of viewpoint).

lurker  

on August 14, 2009 at 12:18 pm

Nobama is NotMyPresident is also one, novaseeker.

But paranoid delusionals like O and Mandy! XD would think its because I can’t stand to see a black man in charge.

Black Military Man  

on August 14, 2009 at 12:19 pm

“I presented evidence solidifying the accepted historical fact that Islam created successful societies. Evidence accepted by all professional historians as true.”

If the society was even less evolved than Islam and Islam came in to take it to the next level, then yeah, possible.

In societies that already had evolved cultures, then no.

I’m thinking of South and South East Asia.

Even in the cases where some improvement came, it was not due to the masses of illiterate muslims, but due to some shining stars amongst Islam’s rank, as is the case in most “movements”.

If the masses of muslims were all that, we’d see much better societies today throughout the “muslim world” – countries which are majority muslim, and we don’t.

Novaseeker  

on August 14, 2009 at 12:19 pm
Is this the woman you want to spend your whole life with? Or even more than a few months with? In theory it seems good but in reality wouldn’t it be boring and just too easy to be with a yes-woman all the time?

Key gender difference, LSB: men do not “seek excitement” in relationships. Men get bored, yes, but not because a woman is too compliant, but because of the normal boredom that sets in due to complacency, and the desire for other women.

“The Rules” approach gets some men to pursue women for longer, that’s true — it’s called stringing along men, and some men are happy to be strung along for a while if the woman doing the stringing is someone they desire. If she doesn’t string along, things may progress faster, and he may leave quickly — not because he is “bored without a challenge”, but because he hasn’t been strung along. Stringing along is a way to keep a man’s focus on you, and away from other women, and only really works if he already wants you a lot.

The boredom thing for men is not based on an overly-compliant woman, but rather on the typical development of sexual boredom for one woman and the desire for sex with another. Men aren’t looking for excitement and pushback in relationships, that is what women are looking for. Women shit test, however, and it’s something men need to learn to deal with, because it’s a part of how women are.

maurice
Pupu leaves droppings? Must be the effect of those monkeys in the statue.

Speaking of sackler/freer – Pupu may be interested in this:

http://www.asia.si.edu/asiaafterdark/090309.asp

Maurice is likely to show up if he is in town that week. He’ll check out the monkey statues for any tall, thin women who look like they know their way around a statistics software package. (heh-package.)

Bonnie
“-feminism has liberated men indirectly.”

That was intended. Feminists do not want women limited to marriage and motherhood in the home, and they do not want men limited to being the sole providers for totally dependent wives and children… of course, most are still turning a blind eye to unfair (to men) divorce practices...

@Hope: I can’t believe anyone could claim men only want looks and sex (not to say both aren’t very important to most men). Very few are like this. It’s mostly about love.
PA

Bonnie: the endgame of feminism is matrifocal households with children of indeterminate parentage, and idle males dropping in for hit’n’run copulation. The males are violent and uninvested in the community on any level.

Plenty of such societies exist, and they are all marked by their brutishness and ugliness.

Do thinking-woman’s feminists such as yourself (1) accept such an outcome as desired, (2) have a contingency in mind against such a social devolution, or (3) don’t care so long as half of all welders, quarterbacks, and astrophysicists today are female?

Premium

Just curious – How do you normally handle this shit test:

“Do you think I’m the one?”

al

GIRL: [Making it obvious she’s flirting with another guy in your presence.]
ME: “I think I just saw you give me a Get Out Of Jail Free card”.

This one amused me too much.

However in one of those “one night stands” there would NEVER be kissing, hugging, cuddling, BJ’s, or Anal Sex. That all has to be earned.

Ok, I’m generally not judgy on the different ways girls go about engaging in sex (quickly, slowly, swallowing within 5 minutes, remaining a “virgin” via anal only, etc.) but …

is it me, or is it weird to be having sex without any kissing? Like, come over, touch anything you want as long as there are no lips to my lips!

PA

I’d like to hear Bonnie’s response to my question above, but I suspect a smart feminist’s answer, if she were honest, would be more like this:

“(4) I do expect society to degenerate along the lined that PA describes, but having secured my membership in the criminal elite, I will be insulated from all the destruction that my fellow leftists had caused, and will be living it up as a member of the neoaristocracy.”

editor
newage fruitcake wishing to be saved:

Oops. That is, I wrote this for the girls. I disagree with the premise that guys only want looks and sex.

hope, no one here except a few aspergery outliers is making the premise that men only want looks and sex for LTRs. stop erecting strawcunts.

ps what was it like falling so deeply DEEPLY in love with a man who lied to you? juuuuuust wondering.

**editor**

**premium:**

Just curious – How do you normally handle this shit test:

“Do you think I’m the one?”

“of many?”

**Obsidian**

Al makes a very good point; kissing is VERY important to Women when it comes to sex/relationships. In fact it can be a dealbreaker, and as Chuck has mentioned on his blog recently, and speaks about how Male abuse/misuse of porn contributes to all of this. Personally, I love kissing my Woman, and other Women in the past. And I haven’t had any complaints.

O

**Hope**

lovelysexybeauty, a relationship is give and take on both sides. If the woman is the one who’s doing all the giving and none of the taking, while the guy is doing all the taking and none of the giving, as your example seems to imply, then it’s pretty dysfunctional. We’d question what’s wrong with this girl that she’s got no self-esteem and practically no self-direction, as in the example of telling her to stop eating/start eating.

**lurker**

Black Military Man (I assume you’re not tjf under a psyuedonym)… you’re judging at a snapshot moment. If we judged at the snapshot of 900 AD, Muslim cultures would look extremely advanced and successful, Christians less so.
All societies rise and fall; there hasn’t been a single nation/culture that has existed independently forever. Christian Rome fell, Christian empires rose and fell, but it doesn’t mean Christianity is incapable of producing successful nations, only that nations ultimately decay and fall (one harbinger of the downfall of any society is feminism, as we have talked about here).

As the western christian nations now decline, some future muslim-based society citizens may say the same thing. Great nations rise and fall, and Islam has had great nations, nations that, given the choice, I would rather have lived in in 1300 AD than European ones, despite being of European and Christian descent.

**PA**

My omega friend Ace once, a year or two out of high school, played drunken spin the bottle with a couple of alpha guys and tag-along cute girls. Being an omega that they guys liked but girls found icky, his presence in the game was kind of a joke. But he was a good sport about it.

Wouldn’t you know, the bottle spin twice landed on Ace, wherein he was to kiss two different girls. Each girl tried to break her end of the deal and weasle out of her responsibility to get some of that Ace-toungue… but we the dudes would have none of it.

“You’re kissin’ him, princess,” said one alpha guy to the first unhappy girl. In the end, without undue fuss, both girls did the right thing and kissed the dude.

As I found out later from each girl on a separate occasion, both girls were surprised with what a good kisser Ace is.

**Obsidian**

PA,

No doubt and no surprise I’m in agreement w/you, and have always questioned the premise on which Feminism rests; I’ve always sad that the biggest losers of Feminism will not be Men. They will be Women. The Women who aren’t in the top echelons of society. The Women who weren’t born Hot. The Women who just aged out of their most sexiest and reproductive years. The Women who were pumped and dumped over and over again.

These are just a quick glance of the true losers of Feminism, PA. And any Woman who by now doesn’t see that only lends more credance to the view, that they aren’t very good at making longterm projections.

By the way, PA, given your ethnic and cultural heritage, I’m very curious-have you ever seen The Wire? As you may know I’ve mentioned it several times here in the forum. But my reason for asking you is because its second season focuses on the Polish part of Baltimore, who makeup the organized labor class on the docks. Of all the five seasons The Wire aired, the second season,
which focused almost entirely on White ethnics, was my hands down fave. It was poignant in the same way On The Waterfront was poignant, with acting of the caliber that Marlon Brando himself would have enjoyed.

Anyway, just wanted to get your thoughts.

The Obsidian

PA on August 14, 2009 at 1:06 pm

Obsidian, no, I’ve never the Wire. It’s on HBO, isn’t it? The only things I watch is “Two and a Half Men” when new episodes are running and American Idol.

You do make The Wire sound like a solid show and I might eventually check it out.

Obsidian on August 14, 2009 at 1:17 pm

PA,

Yes, The Wire was on HBO, its final season aired last year, and is now a huge hit in DVD sales and the like. I imagine you can rent The Wire from Netflix or buy it outright, it is most certainly worth the money.

By the way, in case either Doug or Nova is listening: one of the characters, McNulty, is going through a divorce from his wife. They have two sons, and the reasons for the breakup was due in large part to McNulty’s tomcatting and drinking.

Anyway, get this, he’s already paying TWENTYFOUR GRAND a year in child support, and the soon to be ex has a freaking nerve to hit him up for an additional 12K in alimony-so, let’s add it all up, the Man would have to cough up THIRTYSEVEN GRAND(!) a year, at least till the kids turned 18. And they were just going into their teenaged years at that point, meaning, by my guess, he had at least what, five, six years of that kind of payout-on a cop’s salary?!?

No wonder Doug and Nova are so livid.

Holla back

O

Doug1 on August 14, 2009 at 1:19 pm

Dana-

The easiest way to do the google site search that Bhetti showed you is to download the google toolbar for firefox if you’re using firefox, and then install. Probably the same with MS internet explorer. Then so long as you’re anywhere at CH, doesn’t matter where, if you chose site
search from the drop down it will search the site you’re at only, from it’s root blog directory, through the whole thing. Google’s crawlers may not have archived stuff that day yet, or maybe it takes two days but it doesn’t take long.

Note on some blogs comments won’t be searched. They’re closed off to google. Not this one’s though. E.g. you can’t search comments at GNXP. Well they use a different site for their comments.

Google’s other specific searches such as image search and blog search or book search are also readily available from the drop down other otherwise from the toolbar, as is current news search. You can also hide/collapse the toolbar when not using it if you want more screen real estate. Sorry if this is too elementary.

Pupu
Maurice,

Pupu will not be there. It is easier to keep her waves and particles playing on different fields:) The peacock room is so very dark and small in life.

It is possible that the locals here could bump into each other sometime somewhere. As far as Pupu’s package goes: she is relatively tall (5’8.5″) and slender (122 lbs). The rest of her package would not stand out much at all.

novaseeker
Anyway, get this, he’s already paying TWENTYFOUR GRAND a year in child support

Yep, Obsidian.

I have a colleague who pays ~2600 per month, which is ~31k per year, for 2 kids — no alimony though, other than that child alimony.

Doug1
PA–

You do make The Wire sound like a solid show and I might eventually check it out.

I’ve seen 5-7 episodes from 2-3 seasons.

It is a solid show and it is well written.

It’s also unremittingly depressing.
It’s free of a lot of bullshiite white washing, but has it’s own kinds of unrealism.

Steve Sailer has an interesting though pretty hard review of the whole series, as an overview of it’s weltanschhang up at Taki’s Place, linked from his site. It’s only a few weeks old. I’d say he’s a bit too dismissive. That is I think he’s critique is broadly right but that the show lets a whole lot more truth come through than you might get the feeling it did from reading the review.

For instance, recalling an episode from the schools season, you could approach it with a view that it’s all the social/cultural environment these kids were raised in or not much raised, or that it was heavily their heredity but the environment was making it worse and little to make it better. Either would work, though naturally I think the later works better. In either case the show’s greatest strength is to show how pervasively the motivations get all messed up by real world forces, even when say a hardened but reasonably idealistic would be teacher joins the battle to raise these kids up through education.

I kept thinking. Yeah, well why is it that dirt poor illiterate chinese kids don’t remotely have these problems even when in NY they sometimes start out in the same, or quickly their parents see to it, neighboring but equally poor schools?

novaseeker on August 14, 2009 at 1:37 pm

of course, most are still turning a blind eye to unfair (to men) divorce practices…

Not turning a blind eye, but actively opposing reforms:
http://notforsalecampaign.ning.com/profiles/blogs/american-organization-the

They actively oppose reforms, and in fact want the skew the law even MORE heavily in favor of women. The agenda is clear, despite the bullshit of their rhetoric: women will fucking well have total and absolute dominion over all matters relating to children and reproduction, and fuck you men if you think you’re g0ing to change that, you misogynist pigs.

No man who has been through a divorce in the United States and who is not a complete moron (or who is not completely unreflective) can feel anything but a deep and abiding revulsion for feminism and what its lobbying groups are doing to generations of American fathers.

Yes, I hate feminism.

Obsidnian on August 14, 2009 at 1:52 pm

Doug,

Yup, I read both Sailer’s Taki’s Mag Wire article, and read the comments about the same on his blog, AND read Jeopardy Green Room’s analysis as well, and I have to say that both are way off the mark.
I’ll just take up a few quick points.

For starters, both Sailer and JGR complain that Simon is basically serving up warmed over Liberal boilerplate, suggesting that every cornerboy has a chess grandmaster lurking inside, etc.

First off, that’s bullshit—just about EVERY major Black character from the streets ends up DEAD: Omar, Wallace, D’Angelo, Stringer Bell, Prop Joe and his sellout traitor of a nephew Cheese, Snoop, Fruit and his killer Lex, and untold numbers of other characters—and ALL of them at the hands of other Blacks.

The Wire clearly showed how dumb many Blacks were, and how even the putatively smart ones, like Stringer Bell or even Bubbles, were often in over their heads and led astray by their emotions. Heck, that’s how Omar met his end, a usually highly intelligent, patient and diligent thief and stickup Man. He let his personal shit get in the way, and that led to his untimely and undignified demise, at the hands of a kid, Little Kenard.

Trust me, The Wire does NOT make Black folk on the whole look good, in fact I would say that Simon has got some serious balls for showing innercity Baltimore for the Fallujah/Mogadishu-in-America that it really is.

And, unlike critics like Sailer, who complain that Blacks ain’t shown to be dumb enough, etc, I have yet to run across a Black person who’s watched The Wire complain about its portrayal of Black folk. In fact, I know quite a few Black people from B-More, and they LOVED IT, because they said what The Wire showed was real, even while it as a fictional series.

Funny, that.

Second, The Wire dealt w/a host of themes, like I said, Season Two took up the death of B-More’s organized labor unions, mostly ethnic White, in this case Polish. As I said to PA earlier, it is my fave all-around season of The Wire, precisely because it shows how good people got really screwed every way, coming and going. Frank Sobotka was a good Man who did everything he could to save his union, to no avail. In the end, he joined the Black dead of B-More, the only difference was he was White and so was his killers.

The Wire is, as you rightly point out Doug, a depressing show. There are few if any brightspots. And would it surprise you to learn that I actually agreed w/Bunny Colvin’s idea, both of erecting Hamsterdam, and of tracking the Corner Kids? Don’t look now, but quite a few Black folk agree with me on both counts.

At any rate, The Wire does something that tv, cable or network, heck even the big screen, can’t and won’t do, and that’s be brutally honest about the state of our times. The Game, is still the Game, as Slim Charles says; it’s just got more fierce.

Word.
The Obsidian

maurice  
@Pupu- ah, but as a geek-friendly female you must know that waves and particles are ultimately the same thing. Or so Heisenberg told us. So wave on over and i’ll meet you by the monkey bar. i’ll make sure to look for that extra half inch you mentioned – just make sure you don’t wear heels to deliberately confuse me.

@al, obs – kissing is much more intimate in some sense than the sex act itself. i’ve heard it said that prostitutes refuse to kiss their johns as a matter of principle, because there can be more emotion in a kiss than in sex, which can be made very impersonal when done in that manner. also, @al- you are not “judgy” on anyone else’s sexual perversions? how very progressive. just steer clear of that feminist canard that all sex is rape.

@obs – season two was also my favorite, and not because the protagonists were all white. frank sobotka is a truly tragic and sympathetic figure – he got mixed up with the Greek and criminality (drugs, girls) not to enrich himself or his family – but to save his community of longshoremen, safeguard their families and way of life with little thought of himself. i thought the ziggy character was annoying but he did play an important role in the season’s arc. my favorite line from that season, from bunk, as mcnulty is coming out of a threesome in the whorehouse: “he’ll live.” yes indeed.

@doug- i think that’s “Weltanschauung” – capitalized with two “u”s. worldview in German.

unrelated, from yesterday, @welmer- very interesting Jung article, from 19-friggin’-12. thanks for posting it.

Default User  
Obsidean, David Alexander  

David Alexander’s George Sodini’s problems are not a lack of chicks. Their misery stems not from lack of game but from been a beta male. After all beta means second rate, second-class, or mediocre. Success is grand, failure has a glory all of its own, but mediocrity is just a soul-sucking existence.

Much of game seems geared towards teaching beta males to act alpha. The problem is that acting alpha does not make a man alpha, anymore than starring in Air Force One made Harrison Ford president of the United States. Alpha males are successful because actually having alpha attributes leads to success.

Beta males are beta males because they lack many or all of the attributes of an alpha males, namely: dominance, extraversion, aggression, competitiveness, and drive (sexual and/or achievement). A beta male that scores a hot chick is still a beta male in the same way that, despite his star turn in Air Force One, Harrison Ford is still a 60-something actor.
I dropped out of posting here because I realized that lack of game was not my problem (all things considered I have done OK with women). My problem was that I was a beta male. I did not lack “game”, I lacked extraversion, dominance, aggression, etc. My immediate mission is not to score hot chicks but to fix myself. For many miss-trained alphas “game” can be a great tool, for beta males it is nothing more than a thin coat of paint on a rotting fence. Alpha males can slap on the paint, beta males need to fix the fence.

David, working on your alphaness does not have to be about scoring chicks. The alpha male is the kind of guy that can afford to travel first class on Acela, can afford to support his family, can afford to drive a Nissan GT-R. A more alpha you would be in a higher paying, more secure job with higher status. A more alpha you could provide leadership and stability to your family.

Remember: the alpha male can get away with everything while the beta male gets away with nothing. This means an alpha you can still railfan (alpha men do what they want), or jack-off to porn (alpha man does not need a woman). An alpha you may well attract the kind of women you prefer but an alpha you can still ignore them (if they seem like goldiggers). I find the PUA notch-count version of alphaness to be a very limited view. Alpha males will attract more females but that should not be his only mission (indeed women should be nothing more than a delightful distraction).

I will offer no advice on what exactly you should do. I am not even sure if a beta into alpha transition is possible (I think there is a lot of nature, but their might be enough nurture to make it possible). In any case, what you need is probably different from what I need, and I do not even have a clear plan for myself yet. I do however know what I need to achieve and that is a good start.

Default User  
on August 14, 2009 at 2:13 pm
Shit.

I briefly come out of retirement and screw up my first post. Sorry for the italics.

Joe  
on August 14, 2009 at 2:14 pm
For starters, both Sailer and JGR complain that Simon is basically serving up warmed over Liberal boilerplate, suggesting that every cornerboy has a chess grandmaster lurking inside, etc.

Did they really say that? Oh my God, that is so DUMB. It’s amazing what you will see when you want to see something badly enough. Only ONE drug dealer liked chess, the rest were pretty anti-intellectual and either wouldn’t learn it or would diss him for playing it. Or were outright incompetent dopes. Pretty obvious they wanted to hate the show as pro-black lib propaganda before they even watched it. It’s really sad when you immerse yourself in an ideology so deeply you can’t even think straight and start seeing bogeymen everywhere.

Doug1  
on August 14, 2009 at 2:16 pm
Nova

Obsidian

Anyway, get this, he’s already paying TWENTYFOUR GRAND a year in child support, and the soon to be ex has a freaking nerve to hit him up for an additional 12K in alimony-so, let’s add it all up, the Man would have to cough up THIRTY SIX GRAND (!!!) a year, at least till the kids turned 18. And they were just going into their teenaged years at that point, meaning, by my guess, he had at least what, five, six years of that kind of payout-on a cop’s salary?!?

The things I’ve been saying about this Obsidian have not been exaggerated. Without alimony two kids of child support=alimony take 40-52% of a wife’s

That’s EXACTLY how my disillusionment came about. That’s what motivated to start to become not just irritated by overbroad sexual harassment law without taking it too seriously, and thinking female affirmative action was also wrong but maybe not so horrible, to starting to take a thorough alarmed look. Note I came off comparatively easily in my no kids divorce. However I learned as much as I could about how bad it could have been.

I won’t say the reason I’ve never had kids since is because of what I’ve learned. There’ve been other larger factors. I’ve never had a burning desire to. Essentially the right woman would always have had to seduce me into it against great reluctance on my part especially to do it YET, with that ever receding. However, it’s been a huge factor.

xsplat

on August 14, 2009 at 2:20 pm
Lovelysex, about your ideal yes-girl: that closely describes my girlfriend.

We hunt for threesome girls together. I’ll be leaving for a few week at the end of this month to visit my other house and girlfriend. She is about to get an abortion. And on and on. We have a very fun and stress free relationship. Never argue. She’s my little bitch.

She’s compliant as hell. But there is a reason for all of that. I tell her it’s my huge white cock, but perhaps that’s only the (major) part of it.

Doug1

on August 14, 2009 at 2:20 pm
Sorry, messed up concatenation of two comments. Ignore the quote from obsidian and begin reading with “that’s exactly”. That’s where the comment above to Nova was supposed to begin.
(I guess I wrote the stuff to Nova in the box below a start to a comment to Obsidian, and forgot to cut out the Obsidian comment to elsewhere for possible completion later. I’m doing this stuff amidst work taking up most of my brain cycles.)

Doug1
Default

Welcome back.

Suggest you cut and paste and do over. Screen real estate when it has no video imbeds is cheap. Figuring out where quotes should be is expensive.

Do over.

Welcome bro.

Obsidian
Maurice,

Yes, I identified w/Frank Sobotka precisely because of his unselfish ways and his mission to save his union, as well as the fact that I too am a Union card holder, and in that regard all of us Blue Collar guys are a kind of Band of Brothers. It wasn’t about race in that regard, then, but more about universal themes that I could deeply relate to.

I also liked Frank because of the love he had for his wayward son, even while he failed him. The scene in which we see Frank’s final conversation w/his son Ziggy, in the lockup for gunning down “Double G”, is in my view on a par w/Brando’s “I coulda been a contender” scene from On The Waterfront.

The White Slavery angle, which you speak to briefly above, was also very poignant and painful for me to watch, for obvious reasons, being a descendant of slaves myself.

I just want to reiterate the basic point that in my view, The Wire doesn’t glorify, in any way, the players from B-More’s streets; if anything, it shows the viewer, simply and w/o much fanfare, what the highly likely and predictable outcome of the lives of so many of these players, ultimately turns out.

If The Wire is depressing, it’s because it has the balls to hold up a mirror to ourselves as Americans. At least that’s how I see it.

The Obsidian

maurice
DEFAULT USER! welcome back, my man! where have you been all these weeks...??!

**editor**

pupu splattered:

*As far as Pupu’s package goes: she is relatively tall (5’8.5”) and slender (122 lbs). The rest of her package would not stand out much at all.*

jpegs.

(you can send your portfolio to my private email address. strictly confidential. tasteful b&w nudies welcome.)

---

**Doug1**

Joe

Pretty obvious they wanted to hate the show as pro-black lib propaganda before they even watched it. It’s really sad when you immerse yourself in an ideology so deeply you can’t even think straight and start seeing bogeymen everywhere.

Hey Joe. Suck my big fat white cock and then stick finger up you ass and twist it around for me would you? There’s a good ladd. With your gay “It’s really said” and “It’s pretty obvious”

Everybody on god’s green earth looks at things through their own preexisting lens buddy. It would probably be impossible to process information without sensory meltdown or complete chaos if we didn’t. No one does this more than the left, who are generally utterly immersed in their ideology and actually incapable of thinking outside it.

By and large the intellectual right, as opposed to large parts of the religious right, but certainly if you will the more or less libertarian and realist right, certainly including Steve Sailer and H and the lead writers at GNXP and so on think outside of any single blinkering ideology vastly more readily that the overwhelming majority of the left does, regardless of IQ.

I will conceive that Sailer’s review of the Wire wasn’t one of his better products, but it wasn’t an entirely simplistic hatchet job either. Further taking what you say as fact that the majority of the drug dealers portrayed are anti intellelual and would have no aspirations to Ivy league education if things were but a little different does not negate Sailer’s point about the drive of Simon the show’s creator to find nice Jewish boy analogues who would rise up just like Jewish boys would, or a few of them like Simon, if they but could.

**Obsidian**

---

Yup Joe, I BS you not-head over to Sailer’s blog and see for yourself what he says. If I’ve misquoted him, I’ll have no problem saying my bad right here and now. And I agree w/you, it is sad when Ideology takes precedence over basic facts.

Very, very sad.

O

maurice
@obs – so, when’s the target launch date for your blog …?

Doug1
Maurice

@doug- i think that’s “Weltanschauung” – capitalized with two “u”s. worldview in German.

I can’t tell you how deeply indebted to you I feel maurice, particularly for schooling me on the definition of the word I used precisely. For the correct spelling as well, since i feel deeply ashamed for not taking the time to correct it, all but sure as I was that I’d gotten it at least somewhat wrong, but not giving the fuck to take the 5 secs to look it up and take the time to correct it.

thanks bro for your fine contribution!

Keep up the diligence, will ya?

xsplat
Comment – I was surprised at your post.

I wrote a long rambling reply, but decided it was long and rambling. Basically I encouraged you to play to your strengths in the moment, and not wait to be self improved.

Doug1
Maurice

“gf experience prostitutes”? do you mean that recent movie with sasha gray? never saw it.

I’m waiting to download the torrent. Hell might be available by now.
M. Blowhard links to a fab review of it. Now who would be best to give a knowledgeable review? After all why do we go to see a movie like that? Well for the anthropology of course, unless it’s actually going to give us great sex or acting, which it does not, though it’s apparently not terrible in the later, depending upon what one thinks she should have been conveying.

But you see that gets us right back to the anthropology. What is that girl friend experience high end call girl world like, from both sides of the divide? the guy’s side. But also what we REALLY want to know, right, is what’s it like from her’s, assuming her clients or some of them aren’t so bad as men. Just the nature of the interaction is what it is — or is it, or what is it, or does it have to be?

So who then to review? Well of course. An elite college educated, highly intelligent, into her work, being doing it for awhile but not burned out and still rather enjoying it overall, high end call girl who actually DOES PROVIDE the girl friend experience services.

That’s who’s blog Michael links to. He’s linked to her before. It’s one of his two or three most recent “sex linkages” posts in your feed reader.

Your welcome. Pedant.

maurice

Doug – OK, noted, maybe my correction came across as kind of stick-up-the-ass-ish. just trying to be constructive and helpful, though. it looked like it might have been a misspelling instead of a typo- i never point out obvious typos because i make enough of them myself. the two “u”s throw most people.

Usually Lurking

Obsidian,

Sailer, in his review of Simon and the Wire, never implied that the show ignored the fact that the grand majority of (drug dealing/using) blacks die at the hands of other blacks. He was saying how ridiculous it was to imply that inside each of them was some dormant chess-master waiting to come out. And how unrealistic (for a very realistic show) it was to show a drug kingpin, regardless of how smart he may have been, taking economics courses at the local community college to further his drug dealing enterprise.

Granted, I don’t totally agree with Sailers entire review, but you definitely were reading something into it.

Default User

[Reposted to fix italics, I hope]
Obsidean, David Alexander

David Alexander’s George Sodini’s problems are not a lack of chicks. Their misery stems not from lack of game but from being a beta male. After all beta means second rate, second-class, or mediocre. Success is grand, failure has a glory all of its own, but mediocrity is just a soul-sucking existence.

Much of game seems geared towards teaching beta males to act alpha. The problem is that acting alpha does not make a man alpha, anymore than starring in Air Force One made Harrison Ford president of the United States. Alpha males are successful because actually having alpha attributes leads to success.

Beta males are beta males because they lack many or all of the attributes of an alpha males, namely: dominance, extraversion, aggression, competitiveness, and drive (sexual and/or achievement). A beta male that scores a hot chick is still a beta male in the same way that, despite his star turn in Air Force One, Harrison Ford is still a 60-something actor.

I dropped out of posting here because I realized that lack of game was not my problem (all things considered I have done OK with women). My problem was that I was a beta male. I did not lack “game,” I lacked extraversion, dominance, aggression, etc. My immediate mission is not to score hot chicks but to fix myself. For many miss-trained alphas “game” can be a great tool, for beta males it is nothing more than a thin coat of paint on a rotting fence. Alpha males can slap on the paint, beta males need to fix the fence.

David, working on your alphaness does not have to be about scoring chicks. The alpha male is the kind of guy that can afford to travel first class on Acela, can afford to support his family, can afford to drive a Nissan GT-R. A more alpha you would be in a higher paying, more secure job with higher status. A more alpha you could provide leadership and stability to your family.

Remember: the alpha male can get away with everything while the beta male gets away with nothing. This means an alpha you can still railfan (alpha men do what they want), or jack-off to porn (alpha man does not need a woman). An alpha you may well attract the kind of women you prefer but an alpha you can still ignore them (if they seem like golddiggers). I find the PUA notch-count version of alphaness to be a very limited view. Alpha males will attract more females but that should not be his only mission (indeed women should be nothing more than a delightful distraction).

I will offer no advice on what exactly you should do. I am not even sure if a beta into alpha transition is possible (I think there is a lot of nature, but their might be enough nurture to make it possible). In any case, what you need is probably different from what I need, and I do not even have a clear plan for myself yet. I do however know what I need to achieve and that is a good start.
maurice
@doug, al, etc. – i saw the review in the NYT and elsewhere and it does look like and interesting thing. soderbergh, after all, who isn’t afraid to take risks and has some misses as well as hits. i’ll watch it at some point – not particularly impatient to do so, though. i still don’t really get the sex/porn appeal of sasha gray, having only seen pictures of her – seems like just a regular, average girl.

Obsidian
Maurice,
As I’ve said earlier, I’ve got some other irons in the fire that I’m dealing with and is taking up most of my time these days. Plus being on the move, etc et al, it’s just not a good time to get started.

Hopefully, if all goes well, I’m aiming to get things up and running this Fall, can’t specify it more than that. Somewhere between Labor Day and the end of the year, again, hopefully.

It all depends how things shake themselves out between now and say, end of next month. So we’ll see.

Anyway, in case Doug’s reading along, the problem I have w/Sailer and JGR isn’t that they have their own biases, but that they, one, don’t sound too terribly familiar w/The Wire, meaning, that they didn’t sound like they watched much of the series, and two, yea, they did come off like they allowed their own preconceived notions of SWPL folk to get in the way of basic facts. The point was and is, that Simon portrayed B-More in a very realistic light, and he was an equal opportunity offender, if anything. The one major Jewish character, criminal lawyer Maury Levy, was hardly an angelic figure, and neither were the vast majority of B-More’s Black political class, notably Clay Davis.

My problem w/Sailer and JGR, is that they can’t get past their ideological blinders to simply watch the show and take it from there, on its face. And much of what they say about the show is utterly false.

For example, the idea of The Wire portraying its Black criminals as some kind of Noble Negroes? In I think, Season Three, Bunk gives Omar an earful about just how disgusted he is with his ilk.

Another? This idea that inside err corner boy, is a Bobby Fischer, yearning to get out? LOL! Like Joe said, the only such character we hear speaking of chess at all was D’Angelo Barksdale, and he hardly a chess champion, he just talking about the rules of the game, and Simon uses that scene to illustrate a larger commentary on the nature of unbounded capitalism, and how it can and will eat you alive. After nearly a decade of Wall St hijinx, does anyone honestly disagree w/that basic premise? And don’t get it twisted, I’m as “free market” as they come, and even I see that the system is broken.
Third, The Wire makes a powerful argument, both for the legalization of drugs, and for the tracking of wayward, difficult and potentially violent students. Last time I heard, hardcore Liberals DO NOT advocate for such things.

Again, I have no problem w/ being a passionate partisan. What I do have a problem with is folk who won’t get out of the way of their own ideological freight trains, and let the basic facts speak for themselves.

O

**Doug1**

Obsidian

_on August 14, 2009 at 2:56 pm_

Trust me, The Wire does NOT make Black folk on the whole look good, in fact I would say that Simon has got some serious balls for showing innercity Baltimore for the Fallujah/Mogadishu-in-America that it really is.

In the 5-7 episodes that I saw that came through to me, yes. I tried somewhat to convey that. Unlike you who I gather has seen the whole massive thing in it’s entirety I haven’t seen enough to feel comfortable being that definitive or bold, but yes, that’s what I meant when I said the series doesn’t whitewash things, as most even “gritty” portrayals of the inner city do.

(btw One complaint I have though is that the series seemed almost devoid of sex. There were references but precious little was shown in the five or seven I say. One episode showed some. If it’s supposed to be so gritty and R rated why so little orgiastic wild stuff portrayed? I’m not trying to impose my imagination nor what I’ve read elsewhere about how it sometimes goes down but come on even the movie American Gangster, is that what it was called, about the black driver for a black minor Harlem crime boss who took over his minii empire against mob efforts to move in instead, make it vastly bigger on the strength of US military shipments of Junk from Vietnam or really then Burma (Myanmar – Maurice, check that too K?) Anyway that even had more, realistically it seemed. Maybe I just saw the wrong episodes.

**xsplat**

Maurice

_on August 14, 2009 at 3:01 pm_

@al, obs – kissing is much more intimate in some sense than the sex act itself.

I’ll disagree with that, Maurice. Which intimate act would you prefer your girlfriend do, with another guy?
1) kissing
2) blowjob
3) sex doggie style
4) sex with her legs over the guys shoulders?
See my point?

**Doug1**
Obsidian–

If The Wire is depressing, it’s because it has the balls to hold up a mirror to ourselves as Americans.

I feel very little kinship when I watch it Obsidian. Those are not my people nor my culture. Sure they’re Americans but we are not brothers. It’s an alien culture.

I feel a lot closer to the children of new Chinese immigrants.

**Obsidian**
UL,
Yea, I recall reading that critique of Stringer Bell, LOL. The strange thing is, that I’ve actually known drug dealers like him. It may be unusual, but not untrue.

At any rate, you said you didn’t quite agree w/Sailer’s review yourself. If you don’t mind, what do you take issue w/him on The Wire?

O

**novaseeker**
That’s EXACTLY how my disillusionment came about. That’s what motivated to start to become not just irritated by overbroad sexual harassment law without taking it too seriously, and thinking female affirmative action was also wrong but maybe not so horrible, to starting to take a thorough alarmed look.

Of course.

When feminists come onto these boards and tell us that “oh, dear, there may be some … issues with family law for men”, they should fucking well realize that their leading lobbying groups vociferously oppose ANY reform of the system to make it more just for men, and in fact are supporting making it even WORSE for men.

Feminists are the enemies of *all* men. The only men who do not realize this are the brainwashed fools who refuse to see reality for what it truly is.

**Epoxytocin No. 87**

kissing is much more intimate in some sense than the sex act itself.

I’ll disagree with that, Maurice.

Yes and no.

There’s the “intimate kiss”, and the “casual kiss”. The difference is tremendous.

The latter is just basic comfort, like the first makeout you get with a girl at a club or bar. It’s nothing more than a green light to continue the seduction.

The former is something she can only do if she’s smitten with you, and, yes, is probably more intimate than just about any other sex act.

xsplat, you’re shifting the goalposts and you know it. Don’t conflate “emotionally intimate” with “primal control”.

Bonnie on August 14, 2009 at 3:11 pm

“Bonnie: the endgame of feminism is matrifocal households with children of indeterminate parentage, and idle males dropping in for hit’n’run copulation. The males are violent and uninvested in the community on any level.

Plenty of such societies exist, and they are all marked by their brutishness and ugliness.

Do thinking-woman’s feminists such as yourself (1) accept such an outcome as desired, (2) have a contingency in mind against such a social devolution, or (3) don’t care so long as half of all welders, quarterbacks, and astrophysicists today are female?”

I disagree with your conclusions about the endgame of feminism. You sound very sure of yourself, but I don’t find your arguments logical. You have no obligation to provide me with convincing statistics, but until I see the trends for myself I’m going to take your proclamations with many grains of salt..

Personally, since I believe men and women in general want different things have different interests (such has it ever been, and ever will be) I have no investment in 50% percentages in careers or stay-at-home parenting.

As long as a woman can be a welder, or whatever else (with the exceptions of jobs that rely on size, strength, or physical performance, where women cannot compete with men), if she wants to, I am happy with the situation. We can right now, so it’s not really an issue for me. Most of us would hate being welders.

I would like to see more female politicians, but it’s probably not going to happen. Politics is all about being an alpha.
I care very much about the plight of all human beings. And I think as much as well all like to complain here in America, things in general are pretty good, for men and women.

More matrifocal areas of society do exist – usually in places where lack of resources/jobs means young men have no incentive to try to ‘settle down’ and provide for wife/kids. Rarely as the norm in any larger society. Beyond the ‘ghetto’ you are so fond of linking to this argument (BTW, I live right outside Philadelphia, used to make my living waitressing, and have known a lot of single moms with multiple kids – sometimes from all different dads, many of whom are in prison or deadbeat – and they are doing okay as a whole, because they tend to have much more close-knit families and groups of friends than the nuclear families who are the majority out here in the burbs. It’s not easy to do it without a husband figure, but they manage and the ‘ghetto’ kids I know are nice well-adjusted kids – of course I am not friendly with any crack addicts moms), the Mosuo of China are one of the few societies I can think of with most of the attributes you describe above. Female ownership of family names, house and most property, the concept of ‘walking husbands’ (men stay the night and they usually have a regular partner, but not traditional marriage), and not knowing who is the biological father in some cases. However, men are still very much invested in their communities and there are low rates of crime. Men control politics and trade. Uncles live within the family home and are father figures to their sister’s kids.

LILGRL
@ Obsidian

As I was trying to explain to LilGrl the other day, please don’t think that you too can do what he’s talking about, trust me, most guys will NOT find that cute. In fact it will turn most of em off.

Being accessible w/ a minimum of snark, etc et al, is the best way to go.

Okay, re: girlGame or lack thereof —

I see what you’re saying — sure, girls cannot emulate all the techniques of boyGame and expect SRS results, as, after all, boyGame is tailored to the needs/wants of women. However, that doesn’t mean that there aren’t some aspects of boyGame (in fact, there are quite a few), that are just basic flirtation techniques, labeled.

To say that there is no such thing as girlGame is, well, not exactly correct. True, there isn’t a comprehensive system that will vastly improve a girl’s chances of obtaining a quality partner. As we know, people cannot drastically improve their looks, for the most part, short of plastic surgery. And, since guys are mostly visual creatures, this limits a girl’s options (but not by as much as it would limit, say, a guy’s). BUT…as LSB pointed out above…there is a certain amount of “game” that a woman must know in order to be viewed as a long-term partner.
Like, the ability to flirt. Sure, it may seem like you never encounter women who can’t flirt (or maybe you do, who knows, but unless you’re gaming silicon valley engineers, it’s doubtful), so it may seem like flirting skills are not really a big deal. But…yeah. Wrong.

Heh.

**LILGRL**
@ Default

!!! 😊

**xsplat**

I’m not being disengenious (can’t spell it, not 100% sure what it means) Epoxy.

But if you think so, which would you prefer your girlfriend do? Have the most intimate of kisses with another guy, or put her naked legs over his shoulder?

I get your point that sex is not always romantic. But I can’t agree that it is not *usually* more intimate than the most intimate of kisses.

But I don’t fuck hookers or sleep around with people I dislike.

**Joe**

Hey Joe. Suck my big fat white cock and then stick finger up you ass and twist it around for me would you? There’s a good ladd. With your gay “It’s really said” and “It’s pretty obvious”

For someone who always goes on and on about “shaming language” this and “shaming language” that, you sure do seem to use shaming language a lot yourself, don’t you? 😏 Your mancrush on the guy really is disturbing, it seems you can’t hear anything about him without going ballistic and taking it personal. Take it easy, dude. It’s funny how you get all sensitive and emotional in defense of another guy but you call me gay. 😢

**David Alexander Unplugged**

The only men who do not realize this are the brainwashed fools who refuse to see reality for what it truly is.

Marrying an ageing vagina that you can’t divorce, but soaks up 90% of your income is brainwashing. Having her leave with the kids with only 50% to 60% of your income is a fucking improvement.
Bonnie on August 14, 2009 at 3:20 pm
@PA: I’m deeply invested in the idea of having children. I want the best for their father, and the best for my future sons as well as for me and my daughters. Treating men and women, boys and girls first as people who deserve respect (and should return it) is a big part of that, and that’s pretty much what feminism means to me.

Believe me, I would disown a daughter who divorced her husband for something dumb and took him to the cleaners. Hell, I would testify on his behalf. There’s no excuse for it being allowed.

Doug1 on August 14, 2009 at 3:21 pm
Obsidian–

Yes I did read your long retort to my comment to Joe and you did quite a good job of it.

I suspect from as much of the show as I’ve seen that what you say in that long comment is quite accurate. I saw enough to see the back political class and the Jewish defense lawyer portrayed as you describe. Maybe I’ve seen ten episodes in all, I don’t know. Probably more like.

I’m suspect you’re right that Sailer hasn’t seen much more than I have. As I say it’s not one of his better efforts. His movie reviews in general are not, although most are more interesting than this one was. Most don’t really endeavor to review the film as a work of art or not or don’t much, but take on it’s messages and anthropology. Which I consider valid for some types of films as one kind of input on them. But I’m prepared to agree that he failed in that effort on the Wire Obsidian.

However he’s the thing. You keep evangelizing people to watch it. That’s fine, but what you really want is for them to watch the whole damn thing or anyway huge parts of it. That’s a lot to ask given how depressing it is and how really so far as I’ve seen or heard anyone say including you, the show offers no solutions whatsoever. Not even really a glimmer. It’s valuable to show failed ones as failed and unworkable. but if nothing works, why care. Why not just seal the place off as much as possible? Encourage the better to get physically out and away as the only solution and don’t divert too many resources to a hopeless cause.

Or do you have a solution?

Because mine is to keep them away and let them do their thing. Without too many of my resources for the hopeless losers. Isn’t evolutions supposed to prune these sorts of people anyway, or the most useless of them?

xsplat on August 14, 2009 at 3:27 pm
Doug
Because mine is to keep them away and let them do their thing. Without too many of my resources for the hopeless losers. Isn’t evolutions supposed to prune these sorts of people anyway, or the most useless of them?

I guess O is some sort of Bodhisatva. On a mission from god. He’s the only one on the blog who engages with DA anymore, and he does so for pages and pages.

I agree with you. Let people find their own level, and step out of the way of poison.

**Doug1**

Bonnie—

Believe me, I would disown a daughter who divorced her husband for something dumb and took him to the cleaners. Hell, I would testify on his behalf. There’s no excuse for it being allowed.

Most divorcing women become expert at lying about the reasons for their divorce, or anyway slanting the facts to an extreme degree in my experience. I’m thinking about friends and acquaintances but even my own case. Abuse is popular these days. Previously “inability to really listen to me to take my feeling seriously” was a biggie but now abuse is favored. I’m saying lots of stuff is made up. I’m saying other things are vastly exaggerated. Men are accused of emotional abuse in relationships in which the woman is constantly emotionally abusing her husband, and he just calls it incessant nagging. But out society is primed to hear the woman and put the man’s complaints down to “not being secure enough to handle a strong, independent woman”. “Well grow up buddy, it’s an new world.” As well no matter how many times she may have yelled on tiptoes red faced in his face, and slapped him as hard as she could, for many divorcing women (all?) if he ever even once mildly front handed slapped her at all without any bruising whatsoever that will be ascribed to “he…..EVEN………..PHYSICALLY!!!! ………..A…..A……ABUSED ………..me!!!!!!! To gasps of horror and utter condemnation, without any questioning of her whatsoever it’s so unthinkable.

All this is so utterly unbalanced and one sided that it’s thoroughly disgusting.

Bonnie I’m by H’s definitions an alpha. I’ve been with girls in the triple digits. I’m older than your bf. In fact I’m at the tail end of the boomer generation. I make a LOT of money. I’m living very happily with a much younger woman. I’m not personally bitter, though a long ago divorce without children is what woke me up to how much worse it could have been for me if I’d had them and what it’s like for many, many divorced men, or men facing the fear of what their wives can do. Alimony incredibly is now coming back in “leading” states worse than EVER under feminist lobbying pressure and mens it seems innate disinclination to lobby as a whole for men’s rights against women. Though it’s hard to tell because the fact is the media simply will not cover these issues from divorced mens’ perspectives.
Government’s have been overthrown and Constitutions rewritten for a whole lot less Bonnie.

**novaseeker**  
But out society is primed to hear the woman and put the man’s complaints down to “not being secure enough to handle a strong, independent woman”. “Well grow up buddy, it’s a new world.” As well no matter how many times she may have yelled on tiptoes red faced in his face, and slapped him as hard as she could, for many divorcing women (all?) if he ever even once mildly front handed slapped her at all without any bruising whatsoever that will be ascribed to “he…..EVEN……..PHYSICALLY!!!! ………..A…..A……ABUSED ………..me!!!!!!! To gasps of horror and utter condemnation, without any questioning of her whatsoever it’s so unthinkable.

@doug1 —

It’s the deathly combination of the hangover of mindless chivalry and ideological feminism. They feed on each other in an endless misandrist feedback loop. And any man who sees this tilt and comments about it is told, as you say, to stop whining, be a man, get with the program, lay off the misogyny and so on. We are to be the facilitators of our own demise, per the feminists. Otherwise, we’re not “real men”.

This ideology from the beginning has been nothing more than an attempt to turn reality on its head.

**xsplat**  
Men are accused of emotional abuse in relationships in which the woman is constantly emotionally abusing her husband, and he just calls it incessant nagging.

Government’s have been overthrown and Constitutions rewritten for a whole lot less Bonnie.

Doug, you are well read and rounded. Which wars caused useful long lasting social changes? Which ones were worth dying for? What % of them were worth personal sacrifice?

And then it must have at least been a 50/50 crap shoot of being on the wrong side. Revolution? Why not just opt out. It’s less risky. It’s doable.

Don’t pay taxes. Don’t pay alimony.

It’s not rocket science.

**PA**  
“he…..EVEN……..PHYSICALLY!!!! ………..A…..A……ABUSED ………..me!!!!!!!
This MUST be pronounced like Andrew Dice Clay’s impression of a stuttering, whiny, nagging woman.

(xsplat) on August 14, 2009 at 3:48 pm
Woops – forgot to comment on the 1st point of Doug.

I’ve said this before, and Doug rightly pointed out that it comes across as harsh and unpalatable, but it helps a man to become a man by dating women he does not much care about. Getting experience in kicking the bitch out helps him to not take shit from someone he loves.

And helps him also to not take love so seriously.

Love is a pleasurable indulgence, not an obligation.

(gig) on August 14, 2009 at 4:01 pm
bonnie

your daughter would not divorce an alpha husband. But She would divorce a beta. You, as a woman seeing your daughter married to a beta would ignore any moral aspect of the situation and screw him

(Usually Lurking) on August 14, 2009 at 4:01 pm
If you don’t mind, what do you take issue w/him on The Wire?

I thought the show was great. And, for any faults it may have had, it was willing to show how fucked places like East and West Baltimore are.

I think that Sailer had an opinion of Simon and let it run wild when he saw a few “mistakes” on the show. Also, he must be sick of hearing from fanboys how great the show is. Fanboys ARE annoying, but even a broken clock is right twice a day.

Still, you never addressed the point I made: Sailer never implied that the show ignored black on black violence. He simply pointed out the ridiculousness of viewing unrepentant drug dealers as potential chess-masters and literature lovers.

(dana) on August 14, 2009 at 4:03 pm
doug,

interesting phenomenon in that chat room you joined me in briefly to mess with that single mom. there are several (more than 4) women who are divorced who have IDENTICAL stories of “secret verbal abuse” they saw NO sign was lurking in the man before they married him, that NO
ONE knew about and that was completely undeserved and unprovoked by them in any possible way. oh, and the men were ALL “alcoholics”

Esquire on August 14, 2009 at 4:03 pm
Women generally lack a sense of justice, hence their enormous lying during the divorce process. Men lie too, but not as frequently, or as well, in my (considerable) experience with all this stuff. Especially if kids are involved, the men are usually so traumatized by it all, particularly the loss of their children, that they are in a state of shock for a while. They have trouble keeping the actual facts straight.

I have seen men without children greet divorce with glee; I have never seen fathers look happy, even when the divorce goes pretty well for them (ie they aren’t totally financially ruined and they get good access to the kids – they almost never get primary placement, unless mom is a convicted crack whore) – yet I have seen many women who seem pretty happy about the whole thing, even when the toll on children is obvious. About ruining hubby’s life, they usually seem positively gleeful.

Dave from Hawaii seems to have really pulled the rabbit from the hat — good on ya, mate! But I doubt it would be so effective on most American women. And if you boast about your infidelity to the Mrs., don’t wait ten minutes, retain the best lawyer you can get. And deny EVERYTHING.

If you are dumb enough to ever admit to infidelity in writing or even in a conversation (I encourage my clients in high-conflict divorces to tape every conversation with the estranged spouse), even a good lawyer probably can’t help you much.

Happy Friday … I’m going back to my boat now to forget about family law for a while.

Doug1 on August 14, 2009 at 4:09 pm
Bonnie–

And others.

In case you don’t know, Esquire is a divorce / family law lawyer.

Obsidian on August 14, 2009 at 4:09 pm
Doug,
The very nature of The Wire is markedly different from what we as Americans (foreign audiences LOVE The Wire, I should point out) have come to expect, where all problems are solved inside of a half hour, an hour, or 90 minutes in the case of a flick, the handsome guy gets the beautiful bombshell of a gal, and they go off happily into the sunset.
Well, The Wire aint that kind of show.

First off, the writing is such that the viewer really has to be invested in the whole process, because each season is like one long, 13 episode long movie or “novel”. So, you dropping in on a season and seeing a few episodes, nah, that aint gonna work. You need to see the thing from the beginning of the season to the end just in order to know what’s going on. Otherwise, you’re toast.

Second, I’ll say again-The Wire is NOT a feelgood show. It portrays, in gritty, brutally harsh detail, the reality of American innercity life. While shot on location in Baltimore, it could have just as easily been made in ATL, New Orleans, Philly, NYC, Motown, Chitown, Los Angeles, Newark, or Camden, or Jersey City, Memphis, Miami...you name it. Its not for nothing that The Wire became such a big hit w/Black folks, because Black folks either can either directly relate to it, or are only a person or two removed from it. Remember, the Noveau Riche in Black America really ARE “new”.

Next, and Simon himself says as much, your reasoning for not waatching much of The Wire comports w/his remarks as to why it never gained the kind of viewership and awards as other shows, such as The Sorpranos, garnered. Simon, never to disappoint, simply said that the show focused on Blacks, and mostly White America didn’t want to see that, especialy “problem” Black folk. In fact, Simon doesn’t hide his racial views wrt The Wire, and has said in an interview w/Bill Moyers that if what was happening to Black B-More was instead happening to White folk there’d be hell to pay. But since its Black folks, we as a society are willing to turn a blind eye to what’s going on, so long as we can keep em contained...as you basically said above. Whether or not that’s a good thing to do or not, what’s problematic to me personally, is that we don’t have the balls to at least call it for what it is-we’re willing to cull the un-neccessary portion of American society-those who were truly Left Behind-by allowong them to kill themselves, either outright via vicious gun violence, or slowly via drug addiction and quiet desperation. In this way, The Wire is a stinging indictment on us all, as Americans.

Oh, don’t get it twisted Doug, Simon is no doubt about it, an Angry Man, and I liken The Wire to Mark Twain’s “Angry Letter”. And after watching all 60 episodes of The Wire over the past month or so, all five seasons in their entirety and in sequence, if you’re NOT angry by the time you’re finished, you’re not human.

What are the solutions, you ask? Good question, because The Wire certainly don’t offer many. Sure, there are a few bright spots-Bubbles is on the recovery trail and gets invited upstairs for dinner. Namond Brice, the heir-apparent to Wee Bey Brice, is adopted by Bunny Colvin and his wife and is last seen being a Great Debater. Michael Lee-the new Omar Little-makes sure his little brother Bug has a shot at a decent life w/their auntie out in the County. McNulty and Freamon settle down to what promises to be relatively quiet family lives.

But the the bright spots still, are few and far between. The Greek and his right hand Man Vondas, are still rolling. The Stevodores are dead. So too are so many Wire characters, previously mentioned. And the Game, plays on.
But perhaps the main reason why I exhort others to actually watch The Wire was because I got
tired of the constant Saileresque harping on Black folks, both in realtime and on the big and small
screens, that goes on in Conservative/Libertarian/HBD circles. I wanted to sock it to em that
there was a major network show, that aired for some 6 years, that completely shat all over these
guys neat little assumptions. And lo and behold, because it don’t fit the script, quite a few of those
guys either refuse to watch the show outright, basically Ad Hom its creator, or do a hatchet job of
viewing, and then mail their reviews in.

In no way am I saying that Simon is perfect or that his creation, The Wire, is. But for my money, its
arguably one of if not thee best, and honest, dramas to ever feature on the Boob Tube.

If you’re Man enough, you gotta checkout The Wire.

The Obsidian

Esquire

Before I pull anchor …

Dana — I am all too familiar with the “abusive husband” nonsense. Unfortunately, this
kind of silliness can work; a good lawyer for the husband can shred it nicely, however, and it has
been known to backfire on occasion.

Men usually are too honest (or they don’t think it’s necessary) to pull equivalent crap on their
soon-to-be-ex-wives. But if you can lie very convincingly, gents, world’s your oyster.

I can’t encourage lying to the po-po, but recently I had a client whose estranged wife was a
confirmed hothead with a history of physical abuse (he’d never called the cops on her sorry ass, so
he couldn’t prove any of it) and she was easily goaded into throwing a punch at him while she
was at the marital domicile.

He ran right to the cops – he had visible injury on his face – and got her arrested within literally
minutes. A no-contact order was in effect within hours, and she never set foot in the house again.
He got pretty much everything (her relatives needed police escort to get her stuff out of the house)
— to avoid a conviction for assault & battery she signed everything over to him, basically.

The guy had big balls, serious determination, and a gooood lawyer. 😊

dana

omg it’s just a fucking tv show

dana
esquire, the thing that got me was the identical nature of these women’s stories. smelled coached and made up to me–like any hysteria, the same way all alien abduction and satanic ritual abuse (remember that hysteria? i guess that doesn’t happen anymore) stories sound.

**novaseeker**

Yep esquire is the truth teller to the feminists here.

**Doug1**

Men (including you Epoxy)–

Don’t get married.

Instead enter into a domestic partnership complete with ceremony like a wedding ceremony. With a cohabitation/domestic partnership agreement.

If you do get married the state regards it as having entered willing into a duty of financially caring for you wife contract, these days no matter what she does (short of contracting to have you murdered), and feels perfectly free to change the law anytime the legislature or even the family law courts, heavily lobbied by feminist groups, feel like it. Well the courts are constrained by the last laws the feminist lobbied legislatures have enacted, but they try hard to get creative about it, and the least husband/father friendly decisions are taken as the “leading” and “most progressive” ones and tend to then be followed elsewhere.

Yeah they may sweep living together up into this too, but living together under your own domestic partnership contract is the best shot you have to be able to at least partly sidestep some of this, and still both have and live with a family in today’s feminist horror show in domestic relations America.

Dating men have no idea. That’s where it’s good in America. Getting married is when you enter the matriarchy. Not immediately. But after awhile. It’s always there. She has the law on her side, and all her girlfriends know it and will be telling her, count on it.

DON’T GET MARRIED.

**xsplat**

Esquire, you regale with all sorts of flair and bass and good company and egg and ham on ritz crackers.

And your stories are horrifying.

Damn good drama.
And horrifying.

**David Alexander Unplugged**  
*Fun Question: What’s the sex of the divorce attorneys and family court judges? In other words, is it male judges and attorneys screwing over other men?*

**xsplat**  
Worth repeating “Getting married is when you enter the matriarchy. Not immediately. But after awhile. It’s always there. She has the law on her side, and all her girlfriends know it and will be telling her, count on it.”

Put it on your tombstone, comrade. Well done.

Or just fuck the whole damn system.

**Obsidian**  
Dana,

Actually I had YOU in mind when I wrote my last “Wire” post, lol. I would not be surprised if you’ve never seen it.


**Esquire**  
xsplat: Thanks – all the stories are true, especially the horrifying ones. Spending just one week watching Family Court in action would turn most men into raging maniacs – maybe better they don’t.

DAU: Lawyers and judges come in both genders and all the colors of the (human) rainbow. I have seen some scary feminist judges, but I’ve seen very good (and fair) gal-judges too. And the worst attorneys re: screwing men over that I’ve witnessed have been … men. The problem is the law, not the officers of the court. Scummy lawyers still work with what the legislature gives them.

**Seeking Alpha**  
*If you’re Man enough, you gotta checkout The Wire.*

Heh, you just can’t help yourself, can you?

*And lo and behold, because it don’t fit the script*

In what way does the show not fit the script? I think it fits the script quite well.
But since its Black folks, we as a society are willing to turn a blind eye to what’s going on, so long as we can keep em contained…

Not true at all. When conditions in Irish ghettos, Italian ghettos, Eastern European Jewish ghettos, etc. were bad, the WASPs didn’t help them out. They helped themselves out.

The really ugly truth that you don’t want to admit is that the conditions are the way they are because blacks as a culture don’t help THEMSELVES.

And you see this in the show. The black cops, the black politicians, etc., by and large don’t care about the community as a whole.

And if the blacks in power don’t care to help the blacks without power, and if the blacks without power don’t care to help themselves (and they certainly could if they had the desire and drive), then conditions won’t change.

That’s the ugly truth.

PS I love The Wire too. But mostly only the drug parts. Gotta love an entrepreneur.

**Usually Lurking**

*on August 14, 2009 at 4:34 pm*

Simon, never to disappoint, simply said that the show focused on Blacks, and mostly White America didn’t want to see that, especially “problem” Black folk. In fact, Simon doesn’t hide his racial views wrt The Wire, and has said in an interview w/Bill Moyers that if what was happening to Black B-More was instead happening to White folk there’d be hell to pay. But since its Black folks, we as a society are willing to turn a blind eye to what’s going on, so long as we can keep em contained.

Oh jesus christ, enough of this victim bullshit. I would be willing to bet a billion dollars that, per demographic, more white people watched, AND LOVED, the show relative to Asian-Americans, Hispanic-Americans, Native-Americans and possibly even African-Americans. I would love to know how many Black people in Mississippi or South Carolina or almost anywhere else watched the show.

I loved the show, but it was slow. Personally, that did not bother me. But, for many, it simply did not provide enough excitement or escapism or petty drama to get them interested.

Cut the “White Folk blah blah Black Folk blah blah” victimization bullshit. White folk don’t care… NONSENSE! Christ, I can remember girlfriends of mine crying when they saw what had happened to so many blacks (and whites) after Katrina.

Who the fuck do you think makes The Wire? Or the KIPP academies in Houston, DC, Baltimore, Philly, etc.
I had a big poster of Barry Sanders when i was a kid. My friend, Bo Jackson. My other friend, Jordan. All of them were white. How many of your friends had posters of Mike Schmidt, or John Stockton or Wayne Gretzky?

Obsid, honestly, grow up with that victimhood nonsense.

Obsidian
Seeking Alpha,
Hey, why the hate, bruh? If the shoe don’t fit and all that...

As for quote, take it up w/Simon, he’s the one who said it. I’d love to hear what his response is too when you step to.

As for Blacks helping Blacks and all that, I know plenty of Black folk who toil errday out there trying to do right by their fellow Black American. So you ain’t saying nothin but a word. But the fact remains that Black folks didn’t suddenly wakeup and see West B-More a dump-forces OUTSIDE Black America came to bear, too. Careful now w/what I’m saying-Black folk do indeed bear a good bit of responsibility, as Bunk points out to Omar in Season Three-but so too do those largely White forces, that up and abandoned the cities as well.

Keep in mind here, B-More was part of the Confederate South, and Jim Crow was rampant well into the 60s-this is on top of what I just noted above. Again, my point was that Black folk had considerable shit to deal with that Jews, Italians, Irish or Poles, simply didn’t have to deal or put up with. For every slight, real or imagined you can name about any of those groups, I can easily cite twice, thrice, four times as many when it came to Blacks.

Now-does this excuse in any way, all the killing goin on today? Nope-but it does explain a lot. If you need me to explain that to ya, you need more than The Wire-you need a good lesson or two in American History.

O

Doug1
Obsidian–

In fact, Simon doesn’t hide his racial views wrt The Wire, and has said in an interview w/Bill Moyers that if what was happening to Black B-More was instead happening to White folk there’d be hell to pay.

It’s not “happening to them”. They’re doing it to themselves. Yeah you can take one individual and say it’s happening to him; there’s a collectivity at work there, a culture. I say to that individual, get out. Where you ask. Some college town for example. In NY go live among poor white hipsters. Get a job in a pizza place or whatever. Move up from there. Lose that culture.
It doesn’t happen to whites on a permanent basis Obsidian, at least except in some corners of white society, because they don’t let it. Though bad things do happen, just not as bad. There’s white trailer park society. But a lot do rise out of that. The closest thing where people don’t so much or didn’t was famously Appalachia. Hillybilly culture. There too the reasons are cultural. But it seems to not be nearly so violent or destructive, though the inbreeding appears fairly real if sometimes partly exaggerated. More do seem to be getting out for some long time now.

Whether or not that’s a good thing to do or not, what’s problematic to me personally, is that we don’t have the balls to at least call it for what it is-we’re willing to cull the un-necessary portion of American society-those who were truly Left Behind-by allowing them to kill themselves, either outright via vicious gun violence, or slowly via drug addiction and quiet desperation. In this way, The Wire is a stinging indictment on us all, as Americans.

Well I’ve got the balls to say it. I did in my prior comment and I’ll say it even more explicitly. The Wire makes me give even less of a fuck about those people than I did before. I do wish they’d just disappear. I don’t consider it an indictment at all. In fact I think it’s utterly dysgenic for modern welfare society to do as much as it does to help people so beyond the pale. Try to pluck out and away the few who could not just barely make it but really make it and devote even less resources to the remainder. Slowly reduce.

Condemn away Obsidian.

Yes. What watching the Wire as much as I did made me care far less about those people. Who as I said I feel far less kinship to than the children of immigrant Chinese – or Vietnamese, not to mention Arabs or Russians or South Americans.

No one imposed that culture on them Obsidian. Or I’ll say it, those IQ endowments. Those left behind were left behind for a reason and it’s not all cultural. As the genius anonymous statistician La Griffe Du Lion puts it:

Baltimore is typical of many Midwestern and Northern cities, whose demographics were forever changed by the great black migration of the twentieth century. Not unexpectedly we found a cognitive discontinuity at the city line. Surprising, however, was its magnitude. Whereas suburban mean IQs (86 for blacks, 99 for whites) conform more or less to national norms, city IQs are dreadfully low. With a mean IQ of 76, inner-city blacks fall about 0.6 SD below the African American average nationally. More than a third have death-penalty immunity on grounds of mental retardation. The inner-city white mean of 86 is nearly a full standard deviation below the national white average. By this measure, whites fared worse than blacks. Both groups are seriously deficient in human capital. Neither is very employable. To compound matters, we almost certainly have overstated urban IQs.

La Griffe Du Lion Baltimore inner city IQ article link.
Esquire on August 14, 2009 at 4:44 pm
No — Obsidian, YOU need a good lesson (or two, or many) in American history. Maryland was NEVER part of the Confederacy, it was in the Union. It was a slave-owning state but was part of the Union every day of the Civil War.

Now get off the lecturing (and race-mongering) high horse and stop fulfilling stereotypes.

novaseeker on August 14, 2009 at 4:46 pm
Yep MD and WV were Union, hence the importance of Harper’s Ferry.

Usually Lurking on August 14, 2009 at 4:47 pm
But perhaps the main reason why I exhort others to actually watch The Wire was because I got tired of the constant Sailer-esque harping on Black folks, both in realtime and on the big and small screens, that goes on in Conservative/Libertarian/HBD circles. I wanted to sock it to em that there was a major network show, that aired for some 6 years, that completely shat all over these guys neat little assumptions.

What are you talking about? Sailer and other HBD folks assume that blacks ten to form fairly dysfunctional societies when not being shamed to act in a more law-abiding way. Looking for evidence to support this: WATCH THE FUCKING WIRE!!!

Esquire on August 14, 2009 at 4:47 pm
Also: I am a Marylander by birth and raising, don’t even begin to tell me about MD. And I spent my share of time on the mean streets of B-mo — as a civilian, and as a federal agent, so I could lecture you about crime and drugs in B-mo for a week without taking a breath.

I know all about how fucked up it is there, based on reality — not on a TV show.

Willard Libby on August 14, 2009 at 4:48 pm
Obsidian

Doug,
The very nature of The Wire is markedly different from what we as Americans (foreign audiences LOVE The Wire, I should point out)

Well, if that’s true it’s because foreigners like seeing America portrayed a a pile of steaming shit because it makes them feel better about themselves. Most foreigners are jealous of America.
Next, and Simon himself says as much, your reasoning for not watching much of The Wire comports with his remarks as to why it never gained the kind of viewership and awards as other shows, such as The Sopranos, garnered. Simon, never to disappoint, simply said that the show focused on Blacks, and mostly White America didn’t want to see that, especially “problem” Black folk.

The show is almost completely created by White men. Fuck David Simon and his liberal Jewish boy bullshit.

And if White Americans were giving the Wire high ratings I’m sure we’d all be hearing assholes saying – “White folks just want to see niggah who aint shit, like we all nothing by savages from the hood. What about all the black folks who got their shit together? Y’all don’t want to know about that.”

Niggahs behave like shit and then as usual White folks get blames for it one way or another. Either for the actual behavior or for not wanting to watch a poorly photographed soap opera about it.

In fact, Simon doesn’t hide his racial views wrt The Wire, and has said in an interview w/ Bill Moyers that if what was happening to Black B-More was instead happening to White folk there’d be hell to pay. But since its Black folks, we as a society are willing to turn a blind eye to what’s going on, so long as we can keep em contained...

Well your boy Obama is president, your boy Eric Holder is Attorney General and most of these black shit holes have black mayors. It’s their move. I aint cleaning up after negro fuck ups.

In this way, The Wire is a stinging indictment on us all, as Americans.

I lived in Baltimore. I’m not going to let myself be indicted for the shit that blacks are doing. My solution to these low IQ, live for the moment, babies out of wedlock, animalistic people is harsher prison sentences. Lock em up and let them rot unto death.

The blacks that CHOOSE to live a more responsible life will be better off without them.

Obsidian

UL,
I’m sorry my Man, but you’re barking up the wrong tree, I’ll tell you like I told Seeking Alpha, if you don’t like the point I made about Black folk wrt The Wire, please take it up w/Simon, he’s the one who actually made the quote. The interview w/Bill Moyers is easily obtained online.

Happy Googling.

O
Doug1
Usually Lurking

on August 14, 2009 at 4:49 pm

Not true at all. When conditions in Irish ghettos, Italian ghettos, Eastern European Jewish ghettos, etc. were bad, the WASPs didn’t help them out. They helped themselves out.

The really ugly truth that you don’t want to admit is that the conditions are the way they are because blacks as a culture don’t help THEMSELVES.

I agree. Or I agree with your basic point entirely. Although for clarity you’re being a bit extremist.

WASPs (I’m one) did help out immigrant groups to some degree especially beginning after the civil war but mostly beginning after the start of the Progressive Era at the beginning of the 20th century. Before that there were some religious missions and the like not all of which required conversion to try to help with education and to feed the trying hungry and what not.

But it became much more widespread beginning with the progressives.

However all such efforts are utterly dwarfed by the efforts to help the poor but particularly and with much focus the black poor since the civil rights movement got underway.

You’re absolutely right that what’s uniquely missing in the black ghetto, or unique by vastness of degree compared to other groups, is the lack of self help.

The Fifth Horseman
I’ll second Doug after reading all this.

on August 14, 2009 at 4:51 pm

Feminists have lobbied to change the laws to be disgustingly unfair. There is no chance to vote on these laws, or to elect/unseat anyone who votes on these laws. They are done in secret, and are retroactive to anyone who got married even when the laws were far better (say, 1989 or even 1997, for that matter).

Furthermore, too many people think that just because something is the law, it is somehow morally just. A depressing number of Beta males get duped into this, which is why it has gotten so bad.

I agree. Do not get married. Marriage is no longer what we were raised to think it is, what our parents generation still thinks it is. The crazed misandrists are playing with fire here, and attacking the very underpinnings of civilized society. We have to respond in kind, which is my disengaging from their game altogether (which is Horseman #4).

I can’t believe how stupid social conservatives are. They are so occupied with issues that are done deals (abortion) or affect a very small number of people (gay marriage) that they fail to see this mortal threat to everything they claim to want to preserve. What idiocy.
Ferdinand Barmadu is beginning to write some good articles on this, slamming conservatives for being so blind.

**Savvy**

Canon’s Canon—since you haven’t seen me, you wouldn’t know.

But I’ve seen enough of you to know what YOU’RE about!

**The Fifth Horseman**

Again, several million guns have been bought in the last 8 months (mostly by men, of course).

5 million men have become unemployed in the same period, while jobs that women dominate are artificially propped up at unnaturally high wages by Obama’s stimulus.

What could possibly go wrong?

**Obsidian**

Hello Esquire,

Hey, no harm no foul about the historical error I made wrt MD. My bad.

As for your time on the streets, I doubt if its as extensive, as a law enforcement official, as Ed Burns, co-writer of The Wire. But perhaps you can enlighten me.

In any event, the general point remains, that like just about everywhere else in America, B-More was racially segregated, which almost always meant “less than”, de facto Jim Crow. Add this to the abandoning of Big Cities by other White social, economic and political forces, and we can see how the stage was set for what we see today.

Again-I am not saying that Black folks don’t have any responsibility here, or that they have no agency in the matter either. What I’m railing against is this idea that all of a sudden, Black folk just cropped up w/these problems. I know it feels good to think that way, but that’s just not the reality.

And finally, my beef w/the HBD crowd on this score is that, like Whiskey, they constantly complain that network tv doesn’t “keep it real” when it comes to Black crime and so on. Then, when The Wire basically shows you, show after show, nothing BUT Black criminality, usually of the most vicious sort, they suddenly change the goalposts.

That’s my point. My main one, anyway.
xsplat  
So what you are saying, Doug, is that black men are genetically disposed to like to fuck fat girls who give them a bit of money for gambling and booze.

Could be that the trait is shared by equatorial men.

Low drive.

Usually Lurking  
Doug1, that was someone else you quoted.

Obsidian  
Xsplat,
If that is indeed true, then how are we to explain the MILLIONS of White guys who are also w/ fat White Women? We can easily see this by taking a quick tour through any local Walmart.

Please explain?

O

Doug1  
Obsidian  
As for Blacks helping Blacks and all that, I know plenty of Black folk who toil errday out there trying to do right by their fellow Black American. So you ain’t saying nothin but a word. But the fact remains that Black folks didn’t suddenly wakeup and see West B-More a dump-forces OUTSIDE Black America came to bear, too. Careful now w/ what I’m saying- Black folk do indeed bear a good bit of responsibility, as Bunk points out to Omar in Season Three-but so too do those largely White forces, that up and abandoned the cities as well.

Blacks don’t to squat to help themselves compared to every other once lagging ethnic group in America. They’re by FAR the worst. And it shows.

But a lot of that is genetics. Blacks have low IQ’s for genetic reasons. And high aggression for genetic reasons. And impulsivenss for the same reasons, though that’s highly correlated to the first two.

Still they could do far more to help themselves. If anything they’ve been far too much helped and told by the culture (the leftist Jewish lead media and academic culture I’ll add, fearless as I am at least when anonymous) that it’s all whitie’s fault.
I’ll tell you what Obsidian. America has prejudice against lots of groups to begin with. Anyone too different in ways that aren’t obviously admirable from whatever the more successful melange of the country is at the time in question. But with group after group, once they started to really make it, or maybe they had to do a lot more than just start but to be making it wholesale for large numbers to get on board, Americans have accepted them.

They need to be told that overwhelmingly now it’s there fault. And that they’re going to get less and less for screwing up. Well help the winners among you and the rest can go rot.

Routinely executing those sentenced to life in prison, and doing it within 3-5 years of conviction would be good too. Cut off all the endless legal bullshite. I saw that as an elite trained lawyer. Our system is absurd. And yeah I’m willing to see a innocent people executed as the cost. Tough. (They won’t be innocent of everything terrible in nearly every case, and the numbers of innocent are greatly, vastly, exaggerated for tear jerker effect.)

Doug1

Usually Lurking; Seeking Alpha

on August 14, 2009 at 5:07 pm

Sorry Lurking, you’re absolutely right. It wasn’t you I was quoting but Seeking Alpha. I had him in mind and knew it was from him. Guess I just had a brain fart.

Hope

on August 14, 2009 at 5:08 pm

Doug, isn’t it interesting that you are telling men not to get married? Men are often seen as not wanting to settle down, always talking about marriage as ball and chain, yet many of them want to get married? Before I got married, my ex frequently talked about marriage, and I was always very, very hesitant.

I think often men want to tie down women with marriage, and they end up getting tied down themselves. It’s best not to marry if you have any doubt at all. The system works against men, and marriage is in reality the same as cohabitation, just with terrible legal entanglements and society-level shaming for getting out.

The reason why alimony and child support are so vehemently anti-men is because of people hate the idea of a large welfare state and supporting out-of-work single mothers. Half of the middle class women don’t work, and they would get government assistance if their ex-husbands didn’t pay up.

Right now the welfare state is small and has been reducing its size. You get a bunch of stories with white babies whose fathers didn’t pay up, and you get harsher legislation on child support. The laws aggressively pursue ex-husbands and fathers in order to not have these women on the government payroll. They get tough not because they hate men, but because they are the easiest ones to squeeze money out of. That’s the economic reality of it.
Usually Lurking

Obsidian,

I don’t have a problem with any quote from any show or creator. I have a problem with your religion of victimhood and your inability to read for comprehension and follow a simple line of logic.

1. Sailer never said, or implied that The Wire was inaccurate about who was killing who in Baltimore. You said he did. He didn’t (regardless of how insightful his review was or wasn’t.)

2. That The Wire somehow invalidated the focus of HBD, Sailer and the like. It didn’t. The Wire showed us that places that have a large black populations are basically lawless hellholes. That is in LARGE agreement with Sailer and other HBDers.

3. You implied that “white folk” just don’t care, taht is why The Wire got lower ratings (than, say, The Sopranos). It was White Folk, relative to basically everyone else, who watched the show. Did they watch it as much as, say, American Idol? No. But that is not an indictment of White Folk, but of Teenaged Girls of any race.

4. That I had some sort of problem with some quote that Bill Moyers got in some interview. I couldn’t give two fucks about about that former preacher or any interview he got. I was responding to what you were saying and implying. If you were getting all of your thoughts from some interview, that is for you to deal with.

xsplat

Obsidian – are you trying to engage me about something? Is that what you want do? You talking to me? You talking to me!

It’s the common stereotype. You mentioned in your own posts, many times. Black guys live off of their women, and like fat ass.

And cultures vary.

Seeking Alpha

Re: the whole Jewish thing...

Is it anything more than Jews tend to rise to the top of every industry (finance, law, medicine, media, academia, etc.)?

Leading liberals were Jews, but so were leading Neo Cons. The second highest rated conservative talk show host is Jewish.

I’m willing to be shown I’m wrong. But as far as I can tell, there’s no pattern to their ideology.
Mu'Min Seeks FAAAAAT WuMin

We can easily see this by taking a quick tour through any local Walmart.

Obese-dian,

I actually went to Wal-Mart yesterday, and saw the fattest black woman I have seen in a long time. She was enormous – precisely your type.

A few white men end up with fatties against their will. But black men exclusively seek fatties. Big difference.

When black men say they like Scarlett, shown here...

It is because black men are reminded of this, which turns them on.

Doug1

I think death penalty immunity for the retarded is utterly, well, retarded.

They are supremely dangerous. That’s what matters. I want them terminated with extreme prejudice. Wiped out. Killed. No I don’t want to pay for them. No I don’t want to fund endless appeals. Cut it off and kill them.

The entire insanity/stupidly/mental incapacity line of reasoning in American/Anglo Saxon law took a wrong turn way back.

You know what. That’s EXACTLY what I thought when first being taught/propagandized about it in my first year at a top US law school, where I made law review.

It stems from the Mens Rea rationale. Guilty mind. The original purpose of it was to separate terrible consequences caused by mistake from those caused by cold blooded calculation. All fine and dandy. But what should have explicitly been introduced in there is that a large part of that distinciton is about what the terrible results really tell you or predict about that person’s likely future behavior and his danger to society. If someone walking along a roof top dislodges a tile which falls to earth five stories and kills a passerby, that person was at most a tad careless, or maybe not even, no more than most people. He (or very possibly she here) is not thereby shown by deed to be a terrible danger to others if left free. Not so a contract mob killer.

Not so also a 65 IQ stooge dumbass who robs a liquor store and needlessly kills the clerk with his handgun in the process.

Don’t let him off for stupidity. You’re damn right he’s dangerous. Kill him. Shoot him dead by firing squad. toute suite.
Mu’Min Seeks FAAAAAT WuMin

Doug1,

If each black person were offered $100,000 to give up their US citizenship and leave for the country of their choice (whether Canada, Britain, or a black-majority country), the US would see a huge benefit. Crime would go down, taxes would go down, health-care costs would go down, feminism would go down (since blacks vote democrat, which gives feminism strength).

This could never be done of course. But hypothetically, paying each black person $100,000 to leave would be money well spent.

Obsidian

Hi Willie,

I knew this topic would be right up your alley…

Obsidian: The very nature of The Wire is markedly different from what we as Americans (foreign audiences LOVE The Wire, I should point out)

Willie: Well, if that’s true it’s because foreigners like seeing America portrayed a a pile of steaming shit because it makes them feel better about themselves. Most foreigners are jealous of America.

O: Actually Willie, the UK loves The Wire. Last time I checked we have quite a close historical and strategic relationship w/ them, yes?

O: Next, and Simon himself says as much, your reasoning for not watcching much of The Wire comports w/ his remarks as to why it never gained the kind of viewwership and awards as other shows, such as The Sorpranos, garnered. Simon, never to disappoint, simply said that the show focused on Blacks, and mostly White America didn’t want to see that, especialy “problem” Black folk.

W: The show is almost completely created by White men. Fuck David Simon and his liberal Jewish boy bullshit.

O: OK, go and tell him that, because I’m only repeating what he said.

W: And if White Americans were giving the Wire high ratings I’m sure we’d all be hearing assholes saying – ” White folks just want to see niggah who aint shit, like we all nothing by savages from the hood. What about all the black folks who got their shit together? Y’all don’t want to know about that.”
O: Nope. The Wire was always highly critically acclaimed but never got the kinds of ratings that Deadwood or The Sopranos or Six Feet Under (talk about depressing!) got. And much of said critical acclaim indeed came from Whites. But anyway, that wasn’t Simon’s point. Google up his interview w/Bill Moyers to hear exactly what he said, also go to Wikipedia to read exactly what he said about why he feels The Wire never rated as high as it should have.

W: Niggahs behave like shit and then as usual White folks get blames for it one way or another. Either for the actual behavior or for not wanting to watch a poorly photographed soap opera about it.

O: Wrong. I have been saying from the get go that The Wire doesn’t lay blame on Whitey for the state of present day B-More, and in fact depicts more Black violent crime, consistently, than ANY other televised drama. In fact I defy you to come up w/a better dramatic example, Willie. I’ll wait.

O: In fact, Simon doesn’t hide his racial views wrt The Wire, and has said in an interview w/Bill Moyers that if what was happening to Black B-More was instead happening to White folk there’d be hell to pay. But since its Black folks, we as a society are willing to turn a blind eye to what’s going on, so long as we can keep em contained...

W: Well your boy Obama is president, your boy Eric Holder is Attorney General and most of these black shit holes have black mayors. It’s their move. I aint cleaning up after negro fuck ups.

O: OK, but please get the facts straight, I did not vote for Obama. I voted for McCain. And what you say about Black Mayoralty in much of Black America is for the most part true, and is also depicted on The Wire.

O: In this way, The Wire is a stinging indictment on us all, as Americans.

W: I lived in Baltimore. I’m not going to let myself be indicted for the shit that blacks are doing. My solution to these low IQ, live for the moment, babies out of wedlock, animalistic people is harsher prison sentences. Lock em up and let them rot unto death.

O: Actually Willie, if you checkout The Corner, another Dave Simon creation, and starring among others, lifelong Baltimore native actor Charles S. Dutton. At the beginning of the show Dutton points out that, in the 60s, I think it was, MD had like 5 prisons. By the time the 21st century rolled around, it had TWENTYEIGHT. So believe me, Black folk ARE being locked up, and for longer sentences. And it still aint working.

W: The blacks that CHOOSE to live a more responsible life will be better off without them.

O: Again, no argument there, since most middle class Blacks live seperate and apart from their working and underclass brethren. Whether that’s a good thing od not is up for debate.

O
xsplat on August 14, 2009 at 5:26 pm
Well then I’ll weigh in also, about killing dumb people. At minimum let the doctors not save brain damaged infants. At minimum can we please allow infanticide. At minimum spew out a few and keep the fittest. Wasn’t that our history? Why did we let WOMEN shame us into changing it.

whiskey on August 14, 2009 at 5:28 pm
Obsidian — The racial attitudes inherent in the Wire (from what I gather, I have not seen it but saw the prequel Homicide) are destructive:

*That White “good” liberals can “save” Black America.
*That Black America’s failings are the result of “bad” Whites keeping them down.
*That Blacks themselves yearn for a “White Knight” to “set them free.”

We’d laugh at that with Captain Save-a-Hoe, and yet we see this with Black people all the time. NO amount of White Liberal handwringing will EVER fix Baltimore or South Central. Heck things were BETTER under Segregation because Black society functioned better at taking responsibility.

Booker T. Washington advocated a “Prussian” model of Black self-reliance, education, trade schools, as well as professionals so Blacks would be the MOST skilled Mechanics, Accountants, and Lawyers. Seems like a good idea to me. WEB Dubois argued for a “talented tenth” Black aristocracy extorting concessions from White Liberals — sounds like a failure to me.

Whites cannot help or hinder Black progress and eradication of poverty. That’s something for Blacks themselves to decide — Booker T or WEB? I think it’s clear that the agenda of continued White moralizing about who is good and who is bad (“abandonment” vs. “engagement”) is not sustaining, certainly not in a recession with endless Black race-baiting ala Holder and Obama and Wright. No amount of White concessions and funneling money to the talented Tenth (Henry Gates having another Cambridge Mansion) will fix Baltimore and no amount of White racism could stop Blacks from fixing Baltimore if they were determined.

Whites don’t matter to Black people either way. That’s the truth.

Mu’Min Seeks FAAAAAT WuMin on August 14, 2009 at 5:29 pm
I think I have figured Obese-dian out (aside from his fattie fetishes).

He has enough common sense to have voted against Obama and for McCain. Thus, he did what 96% of blacks could not do (98% if you exclude black immigrants from the West Indies or Africa).
So he gets called an Uncle Tom and race traitor by blacks who can’t believe he didn’t blindly vote for Obama.

Thus, he has to go out of his way to fight for black dignity over here (usually losing, as virtually no non-black agrees with him, and no other blacks want to high alongside him).

So his race baiting here is to prove his cred with other blacks despite having voted against Obama (again, which I applaud).

dana

obs

the wire might be the greatest thing since sliced bread for all i know, i just don’t assign much value to made up things

at this point i find almost all fiction, written or televised intolerable. i watch almost exclusively news and documentary channels, like the military, history and science. i read only non fiction except for neal stephenson and only see about 2-4 new movies a year if i’m lucky and that many look passable.

Bonnie

“your daughter would not divorce an alpha husband. But She would divorce a beta. You, as a woman seeing your daughter married to a beta would ignore any moral aspect of the situation and screw him”

Well, so far I’m on my couldn’t-be-more-beta dad’s side in my parent’s current convoluted separation (mom kicked him out after he was profoundly brain-injured and permanently disabled, because she didn’t like that he yells back at her sometimes as she constantly nags, insults and verbally abuses him – this after she spent my entire childhood screaming at everyone and hitting me. Fucking bitch.)

Nice guys (and girls) win with me. Nothing’s a bigger turn-off than nasty behavior.

Doug1

Seeking Alpha
Re: the whole Jewish thing…

Is it anything more than Jews tend to rise to the top of every industry (finance, law, medicine, media, academia, etc.)?

Leading liberals were Jews, but so were leading Neo Cons. The second highest rated conservative talk show host is Jewish.

I’m willing to be shown I’m wrong. But as far as I can tell, there’s no pattern to their ideology.

1) You’re largely right. I’ve said that repeatedly. My ex wife was Jewish. Lots of my friends and work colleagues and all that. I work in a heavily Jewish industry. I’m not remotely anti-Semitic.

2) Jews have constructed by far the greatest shield to group criticism that any group in any liberal democracy enjoys, here in America, while themselves sharply and deeply criticizing any other possibly challenging or in any way competitive group, as a group. E.g. Jewish savaging or ridiculing or endless “light” making fun of, wasps, Puritans, the Religious Right, Christians in Europe persecuting the Jews (never anything about Jews preying upon gentile communities but not themselves) and so on. That shield is called the utterly forbidden charge of “anti-Semitism”. Should it stick you’re at least blocked from advancing, if not in a little while fired or forced to resign, from virtually every elite job in American society today. University professors with tenure may not lose their jobs but they can forget about advancing to a better spot in a better place. Note I’m not talking about true Jew hating here. Just any very serious critique. Even what little moderate stuff I’ve said might qualify for the blocking and shunning bits were I not anonymous. It’s risky.

3) What happens when you’re free to critique any group actions of others and their culture proclivities but enjoy near blanket immunity yourself, is that you’re uniquely free to use ethnic networks for ethnic favoritism that e.g. WASPs or Presbyterians discriminating in favor of their own when arguably qualified but not more so, would get viciously attacked by, well Jews. You yourselves are immune. Blacks have similar immunity but they’re not in elite enough positions to use it the way Jews can. Except in city governments. But that does follow Irish and in Chicago Easter Euro white patterns, etc.

4) Jews are overwhelmingly leftist in orientation, or as we call it in this country (as a result of Roosevelt era leftist’s euphemism spinning), “liberal”. They used to be heavily Marxist / communist and many in Academia still are, sort of. I’ve long though this was especially attractive to jews both because it was the French Revolution left that gave them full civil rights throughout Europe, but also because Marxism and Communism specifically offered a way in which Jews could help lead an evangelistic world religion, which all people were invited to join, with those in the vanguard including Jewish serving as the moral beacons. The religion of their fathers was by deep aspects of it’s nature a tribal religion of a specific, chosen, people, and not an evangelizing one. Why it couldn’t become evangelizing I frankly don’t personally know but it certainly never did, except I guess in a few not very popular offshoots.
5) So Jews have tended to seek to transform Western societies by breaking down their traditions in ways prescribed by leftists, out of a deep sense that this will prevent future host society pogroms against Jews. You can perhaps see how this might seem a bit disproportionate to a non-believer in either judiasm or Marxism or near marxism in a host society.

6) Hence the nearly 100% Jewish desire to be extremely lax about population transforming mass immigration of the stupid from peasant Mexico and the rest of central America. Dilute any cohesive ethnic block in that host society. Safer for the Jews. Hey I’m not against safety for the jews or preventing pogroms, but there are a few other considerations guys! And I like to call you people out on it as having special group interests or at least many do even inf unconscious or not admitted to outsiders, or half conscious but much denied and so on. Can you promise me Seeking Alpha that I can do that without anonymity without having the Jewish networks (there isn’t just one, it’s all informal mostly, there are many) calling me an anti-Semite and blocking my career, maybe getting me fired, or denying me customers? Can you Seeking Alpha? I thought not.

7) So yeah it’s high time that all this started getting called out.

I await your considered response.

novaseeker on August 14, 2009 at 5:41 pm
The reason why alimony and child support are so vehemently anti-men is because of people hate the idea of a large welfare state and supporting out-of-work single mothers. Half of the middle class women don’t work, and they would get government assistance if their ex-husbands didn’t pay up.

Right now the welfare state is small and has been reducing its size. You get a bunch of stories with white babies whose fathers didn’t pay up, and you get harsher legislation on child support. The laws aggressively pursue ex-husbands and fathers in order to not have these women on the government payroll. They get tough not because they hate men, but because they are the easiest ones to squeeze money out of. That’s the economic reality of it.

A huge welfare state just results in thugbabies, as per the black working class: it destroys marriage, fatherhood and children.

The answer is not a liberal welfare state. The answer is cutting off that nonsense, sharply limiting child alimony, and sharply limiting grounds for divorce, while reverting non-marital relationships to what they were (relationships at your own risk, with no windfall ex-bf rights).

That’s what we need.

Obsidian Seeking Alpha, on August 14, 2009 at 5:41 pm
Replies as follows:

Seeking Alpha,

Replies below…

Seeking Aplha: Obsidian,
I don’t have a problem with any quote from any show or creator. I have a problem with your religion of victimhood and your inability to read for comprehension and follow a simple line of logic.

O: That’s interesting, in light of the fact that I’ve given detailed notes on how I studied and applied Game, on a shoestring budget, w/notable results. That doesn’t sound like an ardent apostle of victimology to me. Does it to you?

1. Sailer never said, or implied that The Wire was inaccurate about who was killing who in Baltimore. You said he did. He didn’t (regardless of how insightful his review was or wasn’t.)

O: Ok, fair enough. Let me put it this way then: I don’t like the tone of his review. Alrite? You’re right, it seems that his real beef w/the show was that it was too popular among SWPLers. I feel his pain, but that doesn’t change the facts about The Wire, and you know it.

2. That The Wire somehow invalidated the focus of HBD, Sailer and the like. It didn’t. The Wire showed us that places that have a large black populations are basically lawless hellholes. That is in LARGE agreement with Sailer and other HBDers.

O: I never said that The Wire invalidated the basic premise of HBD-what I said was that those types frequently complain that network tv for some reason, refuses to “keep it real” when it comes to Black crime. In this respect then, The Wire comes shining through, and here comes Sailer, Whiskey et al, to trash it. Hmm.

3. You implied that “white folk” just don’t care, taht is why The Wire got lower ratings (than, say, The Sopranos). It was White Folk, relative to basically everyone else, who watched the show. Did they watch it as much as, say, American Idol? No. But that is not an indictment of White Folk, but of Teenaged Girls of any race.

O: Again, I only relayed what Simon himself said about why The Wire never rated high in viewers in comparison to other popular HBO shows, not Amer Idol. His comments on the matter are easily found on Wikipedia under The Wire.

4. That I had some sort of problem with some quote that Bill Moyers got in some interview. I couldn’t give two fucks about about that former preacher or any interview he got. I was responding to what you were saying and implying. If you were getting all of your thoughts from some interview, that is for you to deal with.
O: If that is so, again it comes dangerously close to an Ad Hom, instead of actually dealing with the issue. Several times now I have clearly stated where I got my comments you speak to above from. Now if you don’t want to consider the actual source, and then denigrate the interviewer on top of that, then yea, we do have a problem indeed.

O

xsplat on August 14, 2009 at 5:42 pm
Dana, I mostly share your attitude about non-fiction. But in good hands, fiction encompasses even more than non-fiction.

Good science fiction reveals more than good science or good fantasy.

But maybe you’re right, that drama gets stale and eventually has nothing new to say.

But I still love the most complicated pictures – which is the investments of humans and their techs.

novaseeker on August 14, 2009 at 5:43 pm
Nice guys (and girls) win with me. Nothing’s a bigger turn-off than nasty behavior.

This now makes sense. You are an outlier, and were damaged by your mother’s emotional abuse. So you value different things than a woman who was not subject to that would value.

xsplat on August 14, 2009 at 5:46 pm
Dana – that’s after 500 milligrams of rum. Rum makes me incomprehensibly metaphorical. Point being that synthesithing data is usually best communicated through the biggest possible picture view – which remains the human narrative.

Doug1 on August 14, 2009 at 5:47 pm
Hope

The reason why alimony and child support are so vehemently anti-men is because of people hate the idea of a large welfare state and supporting out-of-work single mothers.

That’s cynically used by feminists to win wider support Hope. It’s not remotely what’s driving them nor is it what guides policy.

Why not cap all mandatory child support at welfare levels but only give it to women who would so qualify without that support from the bio dad? That would satisfy that issue Hope.
In fact that is what I’m in favor of in the case of slut unwed pregnancies. Guess what Hope. Most of those are wanted by the girls in question. Their careless is sometimes deliberate and more times semi consciously intentional.

There are also lots and lots of entrapment oops pregnancies. Where she may or may not have wanted the guy to marry her, depends, but has targeted a good share of his paycheck.

It’s disgusting.

Never before in history.

Why? Leftist media. And votes for women who have no military victories behind them justifying getting them.

**David Alexander Unplugged**

*on August 14, 2009 at 5:48 pm*

And yeah I’m willing to see a innocent people executed as the cost.

You are a traitor to Western Civilization. Fuck you, Doug.

**Doug1**

*on August 14, 2009 at 5:49 pm*

Hope.

No men, or virtually none, had any idea that this is what would come from votes for women.

And a blackout by the leftist media of men’s concerns on these issues.

**dana**

*on August 14, 2009 at 5:49 pm*

xsplat, i was an avid reader of fiction for most of my life, i think it just gets harder and harder to find good work as you age and learn. i discovered stephenson late and recently, so thats been a little trove of fun–i used to love movies and tv until i worked at a boutique video store in center city philly and watched some 15000 of our 20000 titles(caution, may be hyperbole), which made me very, very, very picky and sensitive to derivative plots and themes and poor dialogue and character development, not to mention intolerable blatant leftist/collectivist/altruist philosophical content

**David Alexander Unplugged**

*on August 14, 2009 at 5:51 pm*

Never before in history.

Old Days: Woman entraps man by pregnancy. Shotgun marriage occurs, 95% of income for life, no escape clause.
New Days: Women entraps man by pregnancy. She collects child support for 18 years at most collecting 75% of his pay, but leaving him single to find other women.

The latter seems far better in my view.

**novaseeker**

*You are a traitor to Western Civilization. Fuck you, Doug.*

No, you are a genetic zero who needs to be purged from the gene pool — something you are blessedly disposed to do voluntarily.

*genuflects before DA’s altar of self-destruction, and strides out of the temple, away from the Pope of Nope.*

**Obsidian**

Hi Dana,

You said:

“obs

the wire might be the greatest thing since sliced bread for all i know, i just don’t assign much value to made up things

at this point i find almost all fiction, written or televised intolerable. i watch almost exclusively news and documentary channels, like the military, history and science. i read only non fiction except for neal stephenson and only see about 2-4 new movies a year if i’m lucky and that many look passable.”

O: Ok, so Shakespeare holds no value for you then, right? Or Mark Twain? Or Tenneesee Williams? Or Arthur Miller? Or Tom Wolfe? None of these guys, just to name a scant few (Hemingway, Faulkner, etc et al), hold litte if any value or meaning for you because, quite simply, they peddle in “made up stuff” right?

Like Xsplat said, fiction and drama can very much inform our understanding of ourselves and our world. Even the Pentagon understood this, when they had top brass watch The Battle of Algiers, which was a *dramatized* version of real life events. Same deal w/300.

Holla back

O
**xsplat**  
I agree, Dana. The more you know, the more what people produce is seen as crap.

I’ll say it again; lovin your hate.

**Doug1**  
Seeking Alpha–

I further put it to you that Jews have a special interest in making blacks a special protected class or group and helping them advance because of deep self interest.

Note that Jews had nothing close to so much interest in doing so before WWII, Hitler and the Holocaust.

Yes they had some. Yes it was all part of a communist/Marxist far left agenda to rise up the least as an active social project but that was more a class thing and it wasn’t so much focused on the least as an ethnic group but the whole working and under class.

After the Holocaust it became essential for Jews that no group be allowed for any reason to be generally treated with scorn or as second class. If blacks could be for one set of reasons, not entirely accurate and anyway exaggerated, and besides we believe its all environmental and changeable because we’re all blank slates at birth anyway, then Jews could be for another, also not very accurate. Which could lead to an American Holocaust. Well it’s possible. Lets take no chances. Remember those anti Semitic placards and burning crosses of the KKK esp in the march on Washington in 1924. Let’s draw the line way before Jews, back before blacks.

I’m not saying that’s the only reason and I’m not saying that’s bad for the most part. However the Jewish fervor on the topic is for a reason, and it’s not all altruism.

**Mu’Min Seeks FAAAAT WuMin**  
Technically, Jews are not as left-wing as blacks.

Jews voted about 79% for Obama, vs. 96% of blacks voting for Obama.

That is high, of course, but not as lopsided as blacks.

**Doug1**  
David Alexander

You are a traitor to Western Civilization.

It’s been taken to ridiculous extremes in the case of the non execution of the heinous.
There should be far more executions, far earlier.

All DNA and other available technology and methods should be used to try to prevent executing the innocent, but balance must be reached and we have an unbalanced and ridiculously expensive solution. So unbalanced that California is contemplated letting mass numbers of the less offending convicted out of prison in their budget crisis.

More executions.

David Alexander Unplugged
Why it couldn’t become evangelizing

Evangelist Judaism for all intents and purposes is Islam. The dietary restrictions are somewhat similar, the prescribed gender roles aren’t too far off, and the structure of imams and religious courts aren’t too far off from the respective counterparts in Orthodox Judaism.

Admittedly, I’ve always wondered in the concept of tikkun olam plays a role in Jewish liberal views.

The really ugly truth that you don’t want to admit is that the conditions are the way they are because blacks as a culture don’t help THEMSELVES.

My mom as an nearly 40 year immigrant* to the United States has noted this from her observations. She remembers how Haitians would pool up money to buy a van and each pay a fare in order to get a ride to a suburban factory while the local black counterparts couldn’t be bothered to enact a similar system. William Julius Wilson made similar observations comparing poor blacks and Hispanics in Chicago in “When Work Disappears”.

*Within six months of my mom immigrating to the States, Martin Luther King Jr was assassinated leading my mom to wonder who this “Dr. King” guy was and why people would be so upset over him.

And if the blacks in power don’t care to help the blacks without power,

I’m almost tempted to say it’s the main reason for the lack of proper governance in African countries. The government is the easiest means of becoming rich, and rent seeking attracts the best and brightest who have no care about improving the state of their nations while they live at near white levels of luxury.

xsplat

Oh – here’s the counterpoint. Smart Chinese men prefer Malays, because they are more fun loving and have higher sex drives.
I date stupid asians.

Some stuff is not centered in the head, or much augmented by the smartest head.

The full human experience always remains body-centered. No matter how much knowledge you include. So that was supposed to be my point. That a full bodied experience of non-fiction must include the other socio-physical groundlings.

I’m sure I’m preaching to the choir here, but my preachering is just my riffin. I prefer non-fiction myself, heavily. People tried to lean towards non-sciency literature. Never had a strong feeling for it.

The theme of edge.org is that there is a new frontier of human communication that surpasses narrative, and it is a fusion of science and narrative.

That’s the direction of what I’m aiming to say.

**David Alexander Unplugged**

No, you are a genetic zero who needs to be purged from the gene pool — something you are blessedly disposed to do voluntarily.

Thank you! 😊

*There should be far more executions, far earlier.*

Executions are the reserve of uncivilized cultures and other barbaric races. White people are far better than that.

**Doug1**

Obsidian

So you said what bothers you really is the lack of balls.

I laid it out to you.

And?

**gig**

race thread!!!!! cool!!!

**Tupac Chopra**
Xsplat:

Well then I’ll weigh in also, about killing dumb people. At minimum let the doctors not save brain damaged infants. At minimum can we please allow infanticide. At minimum spew out a few and keep the fittest. Wasn’t that our history?

Female hypergamy is evolution’s way of ensuring the best alpha genes replicate, while beta genes go the way of the dodo.

But most people here seem to have a problem with *that*.

gig

have you been fingered last night?

Hope

Why not cap all mandatory child support at welfare levels but only give it to women who would so qualify without that support from the bio dad? That would satisfy that issue Hope.

The U.S. Census Bureau report puts the median child support payment at $280 a month, and the average at $350 a month.

Alimony is $508 a month on average. That’s about $858 a month, which is usually higher than a monthly welfare payment of about $600-800 depending on the state, but not by much.

This is actually why low income mothers don’t care about child support payments and alimony, because they might get $200 max. The government assistance is actually higher.

Entrapment pregnancies are pretty ridiculous, but I thought modern feminism generally told women to not have children until much later?

In any case, I would still argue that it’s still an economic issue with respect to the law.

David Alexander Unplugged

That’s something for Blacks themselves to decide — Booker T or WEB?

One could argue that the route of the former is no real guarantee to having a desegregated environment while the latter would have been our route to getting blacks into the elite classes with the benefits tricking down to everybody else. It’s useless to have trade schools and professionals when you’re still treated like a second class citizen since in the long-term, you’ll just
have frustrated tradesmen who get paid less than their white counterparts or are excluded outright from working or professionals who are hobbled from joining the elite because they’re the wrong skin tone.

In other words, is it important to lift the group up slightly or is it important to get somebody at the top who can represent their interests as an “equal”. Of course, this presumes that the average IQ was enough to supply enough of tradesmen and professionals in the first place.

Doug1
Obsidian–

What white racism remains is by and large more than justified. Not in every case certainly, but overall.

People have to earn respect. Not have it given to them. That’s how every other group’s had to make it.

There’s ample reason to have a jaundiced view towards the true credentials of black professionals, considering the extent of affirmative action that was available to them if that’s what they need to get where they are. How does one know, with that so verboten and so avidly covered up in individual cases? If one can know, and the guy still easily makes the grade, not by minimal and questionably low ones but by high ones, then hey, sure. No problem. Were it not for affirmative action that later would be generally the case for those that still did make it.

gig
dumb people are necessary to wash the dishes.

gig
anecdote

A friend is a lawyer, who was helping for free a poor guy from other city in his divorce the CS+alimony totalled BRL 500, about USD 280. The guy was paying half of that, and as my friend told me, because he couldn’t pay anymore.

so the (female) judge asked for an audience. The hubbie lives in town A, 1000 km from São Paulo. He confirmed he was going to the audience. The hoe lives in town B, 2000 km from here. She said she wasn’t coming.

What happens then? The judge asks for the guy’s ARREST. Hubbie calls ex-wife and says: “you bithc, take out the order against me or I’ll pay nothing since I’ll be in jail”. Amazingly, it worked. Women do have some residual rationality
Comment_Whatever  
Default User wrote:

Remember: the alpha male can get away with everything while the beta male gets away with nothing.

It’s an important point that bears repeating.

Though this refers to alpha’s by social status.

In America, young warrior alpha will often tear around town busting heads. Should a bad man hurt one of them, they will immediately flee to a copper. The copper will then, lights flashing, go and arrest the alpha-beater. The copper is oddly unable to arrest the alpha’s for their crimes though. This is not the coppers fault though, because the copper is alpha to.

There are few worse crimes than alpha-beating.

Doug1  
Hope

I want caps not averages.

It’s not actually just to make the men pay at all, when they didn’t want the bastard. It’s society, and women’s vote, that want’s welfare, not men’s vote. Further women can decide after the perhaps impusive sex act to 1) go for plan B birth control pills; 2) abort the fetus; or 3) leave the kid at a hospital or give it up for adoption after birth, all without bearing any parenting responsibilites or child support costs whatsoever.

What post coital options does our unprecedentely feminist society give men Hope?

Why is that just Hope?

Or will you simply ignore the question as not congenial to you?

Hope  
I want caps not averages.

I can agree with it, but again the laws are not designed to please men, they are to “stimulate the economy” and make people spend more. Alimony helps that.

What post coital options does our unprecedentely feminist society give men Hope?
Condoms and vasectomy, which I do agree is not enough, but if you want to push for abortion rights for men, you’re running up against feminism on the left and a huge anti-abortion lobby on the right, which is not feminist either. Go to most right wing forums and state what you propose, and you’ll be flamed out because they do not want more abortions. You can’t ignore that and blame feminism solely.

Comment_Whatever
Oh, and oil just hit 67.51.

Ok, ok, I said 67 by next Monday. I was off.. maybe. I sold out everything I had left though. So it’s academic from my point of view.

I will, however, say that this is Prediction 2, and I’ll give myself a star for 67.51… even if it is NOT QUITE 67.

Doug1
Obsidian

In any event, the general point remains, that like just about everywhere else in America, B-More was racially segregated, which almost always meant “less than”, de facto Jim Crow

It manifestly IS “less than”.

Why shouldn’t it be de facto segregated?

Who would want to live amongst such people if they didn’t share their culture?

It’s one thing to have de jure, legally mandated and endorsed governmental segregation. It’s another thing for different groups wanting to live amongst their own, far preferable to them culture, or a mixture of cultures that are congenial to them.

Obsidian

Whiskey,

Replies as follows:

Whiskey: Obsidian — The racial attitudes inherent in the Wire (from what I gather, I have not seen it but saw the prequel Homicide) are destructive:
Obsidian: Stop right there. You mean to tell me Whiskey—a Man who by all accounts is well informed—that you are going to tell me, one who has actually watched all five seasons of The Wire, you’re gonna tell me what it is, what its about, etc et al—even though, by your own admission, you haven’t even seen one episode, let alone one season? Is this what you’re fucking telling me, Whiskey? Listen to yourself.

Moreover, there is very little racial tension over the course of the show. Very, very little. Which is actually remarkable, given the state of the city.

But you would know that…if you actually took the time to watch the show, like you do…I don’t know…Buffy The Vampire Slayer…or Mad Men…

W: *That White “good” liberals can “save” Black America.

O: That’s certainly one view being held, among both the White and Black vote if last year’s election results are accurate.

W: *That Black America’s failings are the result of “bad” Whites keeping them down.

O: They do? Very few Black folk I personally know blame Whites for anything, and usually tend to frown on other Blacks who attempt to do same.

W: *That Blacks themselves yearn for a “White Knight” to “set them free.”

O: They do? That’s certainly news to me, and I’m pretty sure I spend a heck of a lot more time among and around Black folk than you do.

W: We’d laugh at that with Captain Save-a-Hoe, and yet we see this with Black people all the time. NO amount of White Liberal handwringing will EVER fix Baltimore or South Central. Heck things were BETTER under Segregation because Black society functioned better at taking responsibility.

O: In some respects this is true, however, we cannot totally discount DuBois’ point, that the American Creed must apply to all, or risk not being real for any. This message is heard again in MLK’s speeches and writings, and in Frederick Douglass’ before DuBois himself. And is quite a legit point, I might add.

W: Booker T. Washington advocated a “Prussian” model of Black self-reliance, education, trade schools, as well as professionals so Blacks would be the MOST skilled Mechanics, Accountants, and Lawyers. Seems like a good idea to me. WEB Dubois argued for a “talented tenth” Black aristocracy extorting concessions from White Liberals — sounds like a failure to me.

O: Actually, I was partial to Booker T’s message. As it turned out, I was in the minority.
W: Whites cannot help or hinder Black progress and eradication of poverty. That’s something for Blacks themselves to decide — Booker T or WEB? I think it’s clear that the agenda of continued White moralizing about who is good and who is bad (“abandonment” vs. “engagement”) is not sustaining, certainly not in a recession with endless Black race-baiting ala Holder and Obama and Wright. No amount of White concessions and funneling money to the talented Tenth (Henry Gates having another Cambridge Mansion) will fix Baltimore and no amount of White racism could stop Blacks from fixing Baltimore if they were determined.

O: Maybe. Maybe not.

W: Whites don’t matter to Black people either way. That’s the truth.

O: Whether they do or don’t really isn’t my point wrt The Wire, Whiskey. I’m simply saying its a great show, and directly contradicts all you say about tv these days. Period.

And please try to be better informed before you go to writing about stuff again?

The Obsidian

Default User

on August 14, 2009 at 6:45 pm

maurice, doug1, LILGRL

maurice asked:

DEFAULT USER! welcome back, my man! where have you been all these weeks…??!!?

Mostly thinking and planning. This is just a brief return to quell the withdrawal symptoms.

doug1, LILGRL:
Thanks for the welcome back.

BTW:
Because I mentioned Sodini (the first 2,000 reply post) I should say that although I can empathize (understand his pain) I do not sympathize (agree with his actions).

*default signs out*
*...and chic misses my visit*

*dead faint*
*or something*

Doug1

on August 14, 2009 at 6:47 pm

Hope
Go to most right wing forums and state what you propose, and you’ll be flamed out because they do not want more abortions. You can’t ignore that and blame feminism solely.

Yes I can and do blame feminism and women more generally, all American women as a gender in this case Hope.

The religious right is being consistent. They don’t want premarital sex at all by and large and they wish to roll back the whole cultural permissiveness that allows or encourages it. They don’t want men’s freedom from having to pay child support if they so announce to encourage more women to abort, no, you’re right, that’s absolutely true Hope. But they don’t want women to have abortion rights either. Further they hope that not having those rights more women will get married earlier and wait longer to have sex. They’re consistent and far less gender biased.

Feminist care only for women and women’s freedom, and men only as ancillary to that and helping provide that, despite lip service to the contrary. That’s been how feminists have consistently acted and advocated, when it came to making choices.

Yes Hope. I blame feminism alone. And post feminist American women more generally, for their incredible disinterest in fairness towards women. With rare exceptions. Who almost never care much.

David Alexander on August 14, 2009 at 6:48 pm
Re: Default
Thank you. 😊

novaseeker on August 14, 2009 at 6:51 pm
Condoms and vasectomy, which I do agree is not enough, but if you want to push for abortion rights for men, you’re running up against feminism on the left and a huge anti-abortion lobby on the right, which is not feminist either. Go to most right wing forums and state what you propose, and you’ll be flamed out because they do not want more abortions. You can’t ignore that and blame feminism solely.

Sure we can, because the feminists came up with this nonsense.

Yes the conservatives are moronic toads. I hate them as much as I do feminists.

But feminism created the law that says women rule reproduction. Fuck you. Two people create babies. Why should one, only, have rights around that. Incubator privilege?
Fuck all of this. Soon enough we will have artificial wombs for men who want them, sexbots or bodygloves for men who want them and so on. Women control children in an absolutist sense? Fine, then men will opt out. Not the sad-sacked beta cuckoldsl-in-waiting, but normal men, men who know that women need control and dominance for either men or women to be happy.

dana on August 14, 2009 at 6:56 pm

obs lol you could not have picked worse examples.

obviously earlier in my life i enjoyed fiction, however you picked everything i hate (except twain’s letter’s to earth).

this will seem heretical to some but shakespeare is overrated tripe that was the “three’s company” of it’s day. i halfway expect on of his plays to end in the “regal beagle”. it’s held in way too high esteem because its in archaic english.

Obsidian on August 14, 2009 at 6:56 pm

Grape Ape,

GA: He has enough common sense to have voted against Obama and for McCain. Thus, he did what 96% of blacks could not do (98% if you exclude black immigrants from the West Indies or Africa).

O: Thanks-I think?

GA: So he gets called an Uncle Tom and race traitor by blacks who can’t believe he didn’t blindly vote for Obama.

O: Nope, never been called such a name. Ever.

GA: Thus, he has to go out of his way to fight for black dignity over here (usually losing, as virtually no non-black agrees with him, and no other blacks want to high alongside him).

O: That’s not what Doug said, as early as yesterday; according to him, Black folk here generally tend to side w/me and my comments. Hmm.

GA: So his race baiting here is to prove his cred with other blacks despite having voted against Obama (again, which I applaud).

O: I have nothing to prove, either to you or anyone else, White, Black or Other.

The Obsidian
the Mosuo of China are one of the few societies I can think of with most of the attributes you describe above. Female ownership of family names, house and most property, the concept of ‘walking husbands’ (men stay the night and they usually have a regular partner, but not traditional marriage), and not knowing who is the biological father in some cases. However, men are still very much invested in their communities and there are low rates of crime. Men control politics and trade. Uncles live within the family home and are father figures to their sister’s kids.

You’re describing the feminist whitewash of that extremely rare society. How many of the men get no sex at all? Anyone ask that question Bonnie?

Are you aware that there is evidence that they are actually the kept down serfs of a the ruling patriarchal people above them, who imposed matrilinearity upon them to prevent strong male leaders arising and challenging their status.

See the Wikipedia article on them. I made similar, much more extensive comments about this feminist fantasy people at 2Blowhards a couple of months ago when they came up there.

I don’t think ‘artificial wombs’ are a viable technology. It is cheaper to get a surrogate mother in a developing country.

I think the 3-D/VR/Hologram sex technology is a better candidate for faster invention and diffusion than sexbots. But the former will still have the desired effect of greatly pulling the rug out from under all women who are 8s or below.

This is Horseman #2, out of the 4.

its the belief we need jobs to occupy extent poor people that stops us from replacing them with robots/automation entirely. if they ceased to exist tech would replace them
obviously, make that:

for American feminists’ incredible disinterest in fairness towards men.

**Obsidian**

Dana,

“obs lol you could not have picked worse examples.

obviously earlier in my life i enjoyed fiction, however you picked everything i hate (except twains letter’s to earth).

this will seem heretical to some but shakespeare is overrated tripe that was the “three’s company” of it’s day. i halfway expect on of his plays to end in the “regal beagle”. its held in way too high esteem because its in archaic english.”

O: Hey look, whateva floats your boat. I’m simply challenging the notion that fiction can’t be of any value to human beings, and I argue that it can. And has. And will continue to do so. That’s it.

O

**Hope**

Doug, the thing about the laws and courts is that they serve the ends, and do not “care” much about anything. They are impersonal.

The way the society is set up, spending is the way to go, growth every quarter, stocks must rise or there’s a panic, etc. True conservatism does not exist anymore. You blame feminism for the ills that befall modern society, but frankly I see it as a symptom not a root cause.

In Japan, family courts rarely issue child support. According to various research, their system to collect child support is extremely weak. Yes there is some feminism, but there’s still plenty of prostitution, women do not match men in amount made, and traditional ideas of being a good wife and mother. They also have some strange fertility rituals, but I digress. Their birth rate is incredibly low, and marriage rates are also low.

“Enforcement of laws connected to alimony, child support, visitation rights, court orders, and custody in Japan is very weak.

If one side refuses to agree to the divorce, you will have to negotiate until you do, which can take many years. You can legally separate, but this is not a divorce, and you cannot remarry. Moreover, if there is a secret relationship behind the breakup, a spouse in Japan can sue your new partner for damages, demanding both you and your partner pay consolation for wrecking the marriage.
Weak-to-nonexistent enforcement of laws and court rulings mean that men in the Japanese system (as compared to, for example, the American) do not stand to lose enormously financially. They will, however, lose their children.

[The system] permits the spouse with economic assets (usually the husband) to keep most of his assets, avoid payment of alimony and provide little or no child support.”

I’m not saying you should look to Japan as the model of what the West would be like if feminism did not exist, or if courts stopped handing out alimony or child support. But it is an example of a system that is not inherently feminist, but still pretty messed up. People are still having trouble keeping families together, and neither men nor women appear to be happy in this society.

dana

“Same deal w/300.”

caveat for movies, they combines all of the arts and can be appreciated on many many more levels than their made up ness

Hope

BTW one of the grounds for divorce in Japan is exclusive to men, and that is if the wife continuously does not have sex with him. Sounds very not feminist correct? But again, if the birth rates and amount of prostitution/porn are any indication, the Japanese are just not really doing it.

Willard Libby

Obsidian

O: Actually Willie, the UK loves The Wire. Last time I checked we have quite a close historical and strategic relationship w/ them, yes?

At the elite level for strategic reasons there is US/UK cooperation. But don’t underestimate the rampant anti-Americanism among the massed and the cultural elite.

O: Wrong. I have been saying from the get go that The Wire doesn’t lay blame on Whitey for the state of present day B-More, and in fact depicts more Black violent crime, consistently, than ANY other televised drama. In fact I defy you to come up w/a better dramatic example, Willie. I’ll wait.

I rarely watch TV. I have no interest in these kinds of dramas. Documentaries maybe but I’m not interested in dress up and make believe. And I am not interesting in David Simon’s Jewish liberal agit prop.
**novaseeker**

In Japan, having children is very costly. Most families have 1 child, and they hope for a boy.

**whiskey**

The Wire might or might not be a great show, however it seems to be pretty much the same as Homicide, which was for a while a great show, and then degenerated into status mongering among it’s White SWPL audience the way Captain Save-a-Hoe is about himself, not the actual Hoe.

A woman in a bad spiral can only save herself, a guy can’t “rescue” her at all. Same with a people.

As for the Wire, it was on HBO. Let’s assume it was all you and Sailer say it was — it’s like Gossip Girl. A show that gets tremendous amounts of buzz, that no one watched. Or Mad Men for that matter. Southland, a perfectly dreadful series remake of Crash, which got middling reviews and audiences, probably had three times the audience that the Wire ever got.

The best movie ever about “Redemption” was “the Apostle” — where clearly one act could not redeem the character (Robert Duvall) rather a lifetime (literally) of hard work in prison.

Love the A&A stuff long term. However, that sort of thing was pretty rare in our father’s day — yet most marriages then by all accounts were happy and lasting.

What changed?

**Tupac Chopra**

Whiskey:

*Captain Save-a-Hoe is about himself, not the actual Hoe.*

Ahem. I believe the proper nomenclature is “ho”.

A hoe is a gardening instrument.

**Obsidian**

Whiskey,

More replies as follows:

Whiskey: The Wire might or might not be a great show, however it seems to be pretty much the same as Homicide, which was for a while a great show, and then degenerated into status mongering among it’s White SWPL audience the way Captain Save-a-Hoe is about himself, not
the actual Hoe.

Obsidian: Now, there you go again Whiskey, you’re trying hard to talk about stuff you really
know nothing about. The Wire is NOT “Homicide”. If anything, its basically a fleshed out version
of The Corner, which I presume you haven’t seen either.

Nor have you answered the question: why is it that you can watch Buffy The Vampire Slayer, or
Mad Men, which also is on cable, but you flatout refuse to watch The Wire? Are you ideological
views that deeply emotionally invested, Whiskey? I mean, really.

W: A woman in a bad spiral can only save herself, a guy can’t “rescue” her at all. Same with a
people.

O: OK…

W: As for the Wire, it was on HBO. Let’s assume it was all you and Sailer say it was — it’s like
Gossip Girl. A show that gets tremendous amounts of buzz, that no one watched. Or Mad Men for
that matter. Southland, a perfectly dreadful series remake of Crash, which got middling reviews
and audiences, probably had three times the audience that the Wire ever got.

O: Maybe. All’s I know is that just about everyone who’s seen The Wire at the very least likes it, if
not loves it, and that includes CH. Moreover, it’s arguably the most critically acclaimed tv show
ever, at the least one of in the history of tv.

W: The best movie ever about “Redemption” was “the Apostle” — where clearly one act could not
redeem the character (Robert Duvall) rather a lifetime (literally) of hard work in prison.

O: I loved the movie. Duvall also directed, yes?

_____________________

W: Love the A&A stuff long term. However, that sort of thing was pretty rare in our father’s day
— yet most marriages then by all accounts were happy and lasting.

What changed?

O: Nothing changed, it’s always been around. Watch your Carey Grant flicks.

O

Obsidian
Willie,
on August 14, 2009 at 8:33 pm

O: Actually Willie, the UK loves The Wire. Last time I checked we have quite a close
historical and strategic relationship w/ them, yes?
W: At the elite level for strategic reasons there is US/UK cooperation. But don’t underestimate the rampant anti-Americanism among the massed and the cultural elite.

O: OK, but I don’t know if there’s enough of an “elite” to make The Wire such a big hit in the UK. I’m just sayin.

O: Wrong. I have been saying from the get go that The Wire doesn’t lay blame on Whitey for the state of present day B-More, and in fact depicts more Black violent crime, consistently, than ANY other televised drama. In fact I defy you to come up w/a better dramatic example, Willie. I’ll wait.

W: I rarely watch TV. I have no interest in these kinds of dramas. Documentaries maybe but I’m not interested in dress up and make believe. And I am not interesting in David Simon’s Jewish liberal agit prop.

O: Then you really have no business running off at the mouth, now do you, Willie?

O

**PA**

— Jim Crow was rampant well into the 60s

Jim Crow was a detente based on a peaceful separation of races. Its primary purpose was to protect ordinary class whites from blacks’ predations. It had its obnoxious aspects, but what we have today is far worse. Because blacks, with exceptions, have not lived up to their responsibilities as free men.

— Executions are the reserve of uncivilized cultures and other barbaric races.

Due-process capital punishment is the cornerstone of civilized society. Civilization does not tolerate barbarism.

— White people are far better than that.

In David’s mind white people are santa claus / feed for morlocks. In reality, white people are human beings who have the right to exit, to be free, to have autonomy and separation from others, to self-govern, and to propagate their culture and their genes.

**Tupac Chopra**

PA:

Due-process capital punishment is the cornerstone of civilized society. Civilization does not tolerate barbarism.
The inherent foibles of humanity suggest that enshrining IN LAW that one man has the authority to play God over another, is a descent into barbarism.

Our corrupt legal system is a farce.

I used to be pro-capital punishment.

Not anymore after what I’ve seen.

The foxes are guarding the henhouse.

**Obsidian**

From Wikipedia:

The Jim Crow laws were state and local laws in the United States enacted between 1876 and 1965. They mandated de jure segregation in all public facilities, with a supposedly “separate but equal” status for black Americans. In reality, this led to treatment and accommodations that were usually inferior to those provided for white Americans, systematizing a number of economic, educational and social disadvantages.

Some examples of Jim Crow laws are the segregation of public schools, public places and public transportation, and the segregation of restrooms and restaurants for whites and blacks. The U.S. military was also segregated. These Jim Crow Laws were separate from the 1800-66 Black Codes, which had also restricted the civil rights and civil liberties of African Americans. State-sponsored school segregation was declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court of the United States in 1954 in Brown v. Board of Education. Generally, the remaining Jim Crow laws were overruled by the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

**Obsidian**

More from Wikipedia:

Racism in the United States and defenses of Jim Crow

In addition to the problems that Southerners encountered in learning free labor management after the end of slavery, Black Americans represented the Confederacy’s Civil War defeat: “With white supremacy challenged throughout the South, many whites sought to protect their former status by threatening African Americans who exercised their new rights.” White Democrats used their power to segregate public spaces and facilities in law and reestablish dominance over blacks in the South.

One rationale for the systematic exclusion of Black Americans from southern public society was that it was for their own protection. An early 20th century scholar suggested that having allowed Blacks in White schools would mean “constantly subjecting them to adverse feeling and opinion”,

which might lead to “a morbid race consciousness”. [ 10 ] This perspective took anti-Black sentiment for granted, because bigotry was widespread in the South.

David Alexander Unplugged on August 14, 2009 at 10:46 pm

In David’s mind white people are santa claus

For all intents and purposes, white people are Santa Claus or some other type of demi-god. Drop the technology and skills of the white world in an isolated community, and I’d imagine that the villagers will worship you as gods because of the advancements that they don’t have. Hell, the former colonial powers are basically the deus ex machina in any perpetual conflict in their former colonies…

you know who lurker on August 14, 2009 at 11:05 pm

lol. I see tjk has resorted to the “I am rubber, you are glue” method of argument, so lauded by Cicero in his seminal work “How to argue like an 8 year old and have no one listen to you.’

lol autistic tard.

Very good lurker, you’re being very honest about yourself. Your therapist will surely be pleased.

Marcus Aureliette, you’re about to argue with a sped kid with severe asperger’s and borderline personality disorder who also molests Japanese schoolgirls on the subway.

I’ve never been to Japan.

Tjf…Islam created successful societies.

Not really.

lovelysexybeauty

imagine a woman who never sh*ttested you ever:

That’s a really nice strawman you got there. A woman who does not shit test is not the same thing as a clingy doormat with no free will or personality. There is no logical reason to make that kind of assumption.

Default User

After all beta means second rate, second-class, or mediocre.
If that’s the case, why are people called second rate, second class and mediocre purely based on their lack of success with women? Because getting laid by as many women as possible is the only thing that has any meaning in life? You say later in the post that women are, at best, just a distraction.

The alpha male is the kind of guy that can afford to travel first class on Acela, can afford to support his family, can afford to drive a Nissan GT-R.

So anyone with lots of money is alpha, even he lacks the aforementioned alpha qualities (aggression etc.). I’m detecting a lack of consistency in your definitions.

indeed women should be nothing more than a delightful distraction

Is this a membership requirement for the alpha club? What if someone meets all the random criteria but wants to settle down with just one person?

**Willard Libby**

*Obsidian*

O: OK, but I don’t know if there’s enough of an “elite” to make The Wire such a big hit in the UK. I’m just sayin.

I told you the anti Americanism was common among the MASSES, the same people who make up the general British TV viewers.

W: I rarely watch TV. I have no interest in these kinds of dramas. Documentaries maybe but I’m not interested in dress up and make believe. And I am not interested in David Simon’s Jewish liberal agit prop.

O: Then you really have no business running off at the mouth, now do you, Willie?

But I can read and my comments were about David Simon’s race comments and the social reality behind the make believe TV show.

I’m not interested in some silly ghetto shit hole soap opera.

**Obsidian**

Willie,

If you can indeed read, then you should read what Simon had to say about The Wire in his own words. Google up The Wire on Wikipedia, and his extensive interview on Bill Moyers.

And, you might actually watch at least a few episodes. Just sayin.

O
aoefe  
@default – default signs out*  
*...and chic misses my visit*  

Whaaa! No mention of lil ole moi? Quelle dommage! I’ve had a bad day today too….sigh.

Oh sorry made that about me…welcome back!!! 😊

Default User  
aoefe  

Whaaa! No mention of lil ole moi? Quelle dommage! I’ve had a bad day today too….sigh.

Well I always knew you were into dommage.

Remember, the day can be bad so long as the night turns out well (lots of dommage in this case).

Anyway with apologies I offer a belated later gator.

Rightriver  

One common shit test I’ve been getting is when a girl you’ve been seeing for some time goes to some party without you. Then she will text you something like “You know what? I keep getting hit on by a lot of guys here. What you think of that… I must be quite a catch…”

I guess girls try to smoke out any beta jealousy and want to get some hints whether you’re serious with them. A good shit test. I don’t know if I should answer anything, but if I do I text something like “Good for you. Remember to keep your hands off them underages.” Or: “Great news honey. Check for receding hairlines before doing anything silly.” This works, since every girl has texted back in under 5 minutes saying something like “Nah, they’re not my type anyway” or something like that.

aoefe  

@default – “Well I always knew you were into dommage.”

Just to be clear Dom-age not Domme-age. 😞

editor  

on August 15, 2009 at 11:03 am
Then she will text you something like “You know what? I keep getting hit on by a lot of guys here. What you think of that… I must be quite a catch…”

asshole answer: “they must be desperate”

less asshole answer: “good luck!”

beta answer: “you are a catch! 😊😊😊 don’t do anything crazy!”

lesser beta answer: “you went to a party without me?”

use #1 for short term fling fucks. use #2 for girlfriends. use #3 for soon to be ex-girlfriends. use #4 to convince her to take up one of those offers at the party.

Obsidian

Doug,

Sorry for the delay in getting back to you. Replies below:

D: What white racism remains is by and large more than justified. Not in every case certainly, but overall.

O: I suppose, but the issue here isn’t individual views and mindsets, but rather public policy. I’m interested in the latter, and so are most Black folk. Trust me, none of us are losing any sleep at night because a few Crackers don’t like us.

D: People have to earn respect. Not have it given to them. That’s how every other group’s had to make it.

O: Then by that measure I would have to say, based on the historical record, Blacks on a whole have more than earned “respect”, far and away than most Whites today, whose lineages don’t even go back beyond the year 1900.

D: There’s ample reason to have a jaundiced view towards the true credentials of black professionals, considering the extent of affirmative action that was available to them if that’s what they need to get where they are. How does one know, with that so verboten and so avidly covered up in individual cases? If one can know, and the guy still easily makes the grade, not by minimal and questionably low ones but by high ones, then hey, sure. No problem. Were it not for affirmative action that later would be generally the case for those that still did make it.

O: I find it interesting that we’ve jumped from a discussion about The Wire to now a discussion about Affirmative Action. LOL. Well, let me say this. I don’t see many Legacy Kids hanging their heads in shame because their parents greased enough palms and paved the way for them to be
successful in life-in fact, I believe it was Saul Bellow’s boy who wrote about the peculiar American tradition of Nepotism, and clearly, far and away more Whites have benefitted from this than Black, up and down the ladder.

But, as is your Mr. See No Evil way, you ONLY make an issue out it, when Black folk do it, or come anywhere close. Personally, I’m deeply ambivalent on AA, because for every benefit therein there is also a real drawback to it. But perhaps the biggest problem I have w/the whole debate and its promoters, like you, is that Blacks have to be held to some high bar ethical standard that Whites themselves wouldn’t stand for one nanosecond-for example, where were you when guys like GWB got over SOLELY because he was the fortunate busted nut of old Man Bush?!? I mean, we can go right on down the line, both on the Right and the Left (Kennedy) w/this, and yet, no one seems to have a problem with it. ONLY when Black folk start to use the political spoils process to explicitly help themselves (recall your words along these lines?) THEN it becomes a problem.

Funny that.

As for me personally, AA has never touched my life. Everything I am, I did based on my own merit, and the lines of work I did were the kind where you couldn’t cheat the stats. Prior to working in the industrial sector I worked in Sales-and lemme be clear by what I mean here. I’m not talking about the kind of sales where you make a paycheck be what I mean here. I’m not talking about the kind of sales where you make a paycheck whether you sell soap or not-I’m talking the kind of sales where if you don’t hit your number and move product, you don’t eat. And there have been quite a few nights where I skipped dinner because I didn’t make it happen.

That only spurred me on to excel, and no one, White, Black or Other can take that away from me. In fact, the whole of my adult working life has been tangible, production based, where performance can be clearly measured and assessed, unlike so many cubicle farm gigs today. People can say all they want about me, but they can’t say that I don’t know my job or that I wasn’t very good at it; to a Man, all of them agree that my work ethic, performance and production is unquestioned.

The Obsidian

**Default User**
aoefe reminded me that:

…Dom-age not Domme-age.

Maybe that is why I never had any luck with French chicks.

**Obsidian**
Doug,
There are a few other things you said that you wanted my reaction to; I’ll try to respond now.

Your reaction to what I paraphrased from Simon, about how we basically have a surplus of people in the post-industrial era, and how we’re willing to let them “off” themselves, is interesting. I say that because if one applies a strictly racial lens, as you’ve done, to this thing, then its an easy sell, as you’ve said yourself-the people of West B-More are “not like you”. So you really don’t have too much of a problem w/ them “offing” themselves.

Of course, the problem w/ that kind of thinking, is akin to looking at the world through a keyhole, instead of a door, and here’s where the sheer genius of The Wire again comes shining through.

I say that because, as I’ve noted earlier, one of the most poignant seasons of the series, and my personal fave, was Season Two, which focused on B-More’s White Working Class. In this case, Polish folks, who lived and died, on the docks. The fictional Stevodores’ Union formed the backdrop of The Wire’s examination of the Death of Work in post-industrial America at the dawn of the 21st century.

Keep in mind now, we’re talking a season focused almost exclusively, on White folks. And a season I’m fairly certain you haven’t seen much of, if at all.

OK, so what does this have to do w/ ostensibly dumb Black folks killing each other in he ghetto? Quite a bit as it turns out. Without hamfisted preachiness, The Wire shows the clear parallels btw what happened to West B-More a little over three decades ago, and what is happening to B-More’s Highland section of town, where many of its Poles live. Indeed, as the Union is in its death throes, the young “Wiggers” of Highland town turn to slinging on the corners—sound familiar, Doug? By now I shouldn’t have to spell it all out for ya. You’re a Stanford Man afterall.

But suffice it to say, w/ the aforementioned themes, and the subplot of White Slavery in the mix, Season Two of The Wire manages to tie two different worlds coexisting in one city-West Baltimore and its Highland section—by showing that in so many ways, it shared the same fate. As has been said many times here in this forum, Black America is the canary in the coalmine—what happens to us is only a preview of what’s to come for our White brothers and sisters. The Wire showed this very well in Season Two.

Which brings me to another major point that, because you haven’t seen enough of it, to notice. And that is, that The Wire is an examination of institutions, and how, in an age of unbounded, raw, capitalism, ultimately betrays ALL of us. No matter if its the drugs trade, the police dept, the city/state gov’t, the schools or the docks/unions, The Wire shows again and again, how each institution fails its most loyal members, and in many ways betrays them.
This is why, when you and others trot out the IQ argument, I take it w/a grain of salt, because I know the view is a bit wider than what you’re so intently focused on. Yea, Black folks may not be as smart as Whites, but the vast majority of those White guys, including Fank Sobotka himself, featured in The Wire’s second season, were gonna be accused of being Nobel winners either. Like Black folks in the not too distant past, these were honest, hardworking folk, the proverbial Salt of the Earth, Doug, who, perhaps because of teir skin color, perhaps because of them just abit smarter than dem Darkies, perhaps out of sheer luck of the draw, were able to dodge the bullet that hit Black folks, hard, in the 60s, 70s and early 80s, as manufacturing and semi-skilled/skilled labor left the cities enmasse.

In short Doug, since we’re sitting upon the ground and talking as Men here, Frank Sobotka and his largely White Stevodores’ Union was Niggerized-debased, dehumanized, just plain ole dissed, and seen only as good as their lessening market value will allow them to hang on to their jobs by the powers that be. It’s interesting to note, in Season Three, after Season Two, seeing Clay Davis, a prominent and utterly corrupt Black MD State Senator, alongside other White luminaries, shovels in hand, breaking ground on the new proposed condos to be built on the carcass of the dockworkers’ home turf.

It seems it ain’t only Black folks of more humble and modest means who were and are being abandoned, hmm Doug?

Both the legit powers that be, like those mentioned above, and the underground forces, like The Greek, as I said, Niggerized not only Sobotka but his beloved Union. Unbounded capitalism, unencumbered by any other considerations, can do that. By Season Two’s end, we see Highlandtown start its slow and steady decline, from a strong Blue Collar section of the city, on an inexorable slide to West B-More’s bombed-out ways.

Maybe IQ can explain that, too?

SMH

The Obsidian

**Bonnie** on August 15, 2009 at 2:24 pm

Doug1 said “You’re describing the feminist whitewash of that extremely rare society. How many of the men get no sex at all? Anyone ask that question Bonnie?

Are you aware that there is evidence that they are actually the kept down serfs of a the ruling patriarchal people above them, who imposed matrilinearity upon them to prevent strong male leaders arising and challenging their status.

See the Wikipedia article on them. I made similar, much more extensive comments about this feminist fantasy people at 2Blowhards a couple of months ago when they came up there.”
Doug, Wikipedia is not the best source for these things. Books are better – I have several with detailed anthropological information regarding the Mosuo.

There’s no real data on who is actually getting laid or how often, anywhere. Moot point.

Remember, I don’t think there is any such thing as a ‘matriarchal’ society. The closest are those with some matrilineal aspects, such as the Mosuo. Other posters here disagree.

Not only have the Mosuo been white-washed by the blank slaters, they are now cashing in on it as a tourist destination for liberals. 😏

Doug1
Bonnie–

Doug, Wikipedia is not the best source for these things. Books are better – I have several with detailed anthropological information regarding the Mosuo.

Any one or two books on a controversial subject, particularly one that’s become a cause celeb fairly recently, will often be less reliable than a source such as wikipedia. More detailed than wiki, yes. The issue though is fighting out the best conclusions from the available evidence in “open court”. Who will in the first or second instance be most motivated to study a group like the Mosuo in detail and what will their agenda likely be?

Wikipedia is often pretty good at arriving at what will stand as a consensus judgment.

On the way to getting there or if an article is under heavy “bombing” at the moment, it sometimes isn’t for awhile. For a time some real howlers can exist.

I think wikipedia is actually usually a much better source than it’s currently fashionable in academia to admit. It would have to be unfashionable in academia, wouldn’t it?

There’s no real data on who is actually getting laid or how often, anywhere. Moot point.

Frankly Bonnie, that’s an idiotic statement. Of course there is. There’s lots of evidence for it of various kinds in many societies.

Greg
Wow your longest post yet.

I gotta say WTF … are these women insane? Who has a conversation like the first conversation he posted? “I am hungry…. I dunno where I want to go … ” he keeps asking her what she likes then at the end she ignores all logic and says “you don’t care what I want”. I doubt she was that crazy and irrational. But I could be wrong.
The problem is not that he is supplicating. The problem is they weren’t communicating.

**Greg**

on August 16, 2009 at 1:33 pm

Why don’t people communicate on a level that both understand. Like, why don’t women address what women are actually thinking.

“Honey do I look fat in this?”

“Why are you concerned about looking fat?”

**Greg**

on August 16, 2009 at 1:33 pm

err “why are you SUDDENLY concerned about looking fat”

**Greg**

on August 16, 2009 at 1:56 pm

If something is a “real” shit test, it doesn’t matter how you pass it as long as you don’t take it seriously. Other times women have actual concerns.

Real shit tests, Greg’s responses:

“do you say this to every girl?”

“no, I don’t … but that’s a not a bad idea actually!”

“are you gay or something?”

“you look way too interested when you asked that question… no hey, I don’t judge”

“you’re an asshole”

“oh. that’s helpful. I, I don’t even need to try very hard.”

complete with facial expressions and finger pointing.

On the other hand, actual concern:

“hey, I really don’t like how you never spend time with me”

“let’s talk about that.”

**msexceptiontotherule**

on August 17, 2009 at 5:08 am

Alright, I have to ask…what exactly would a man do in the event he ran into a woman who was unshakeable, secure with her looks and intelligence, and unable to be baited into an emotional response? I know it seems unlikely that such a woman exists…but whether one does or doesn’t is immaterial to the question I’m posing here.
Seeking Alpha

@ Doug

on August 17, 2009 at 11:50 am

Just read your posts on Friday about Jews. I’m not as interested in talking about it now as I was. I’m just curious how the fact that a number of leading conservatives are Jewish as well effects your thinking.

I FINALLY bought Strauss’ book this weekend (already read Emergency and knew I liked his writing style).

I bought it with the fiancee at Barnes and Noble. I had ten different responses running through my head, from Agree and Amplify to ignoring it to playful to a real, logical answer (I know, crazy!) if she asked why I was buying it.


What does that mean? Or does it just mean that she didn’t even notice what I was buying.

She bought a wedding magazine while we were there. I wonder how many times a receipt has had these two items listed together:

Wedding Magazine
The Game

spunk

@ msexceptionttherule

on August 17, 2009 at 11:59 am

Alright, I have to ask...what exactly would a man do in the event he ran into a woman who was unshakeable, secure with her looks and intelligence, and unable to be baited into an emotional response? I know it seems unlikely that such a woman exists...but whether one does or doesn’t is immaterial to the question I’m posing here.

__________

She is still a piece of meat. Higher grade and more succulent, maybe, but still meat. When you’re hungry you gotta eat.

You want to find a good provider/soulmate, good luck, and may you find that sucker soon. Smart men don’t marry or do LTRs, not in this day. They go their own way totally oblivious of women, or they hump & dump.

I hope this answers your question.

Hope

on August 17, 2009 at 12:07 pm
You want to find a good provider/soulmate, good luck, and may you find that sucker soon. Smart men don’t marry or do LTRs, not in this day. They go their own way totally oblivious of women, or they hump & dump.

Different strokes for different folks. You go your way, other men will go theirs. What you’re doing is insulting a lot of men out there, calling them suckers and dumb. I think that’s like the male rights activists equivalent of hardened feminists bashing other women for “not being feminist enough.”

Dreamer
@Hope
Agreed… for all the bitter men who’ve given up on finding a good woman to spend their lives with, there’s other men who are still looking. It’s narrow-minded to think otherwise.

Cannon’s Canon
“Alright, I have to ask…what exactly would a man do in the event he ran into a woman who was unshakeable, secure with her looks and intelligence, and unable to be baited into an emotional response?”

Cut off her dick?

msexceptiontotherule
Now why does the very thought of such a female inspire (at least the ones who have commented thus far) such negative responses?

I’m going to stick with the belief that any women who are pissed off when they make the discovery that game has, in fact, worked on them – need to figure out that it’s only because women have become that predictable.

And women don’t actually have to incorporate so-called male behavior/personality characteristics to win – it’s a lot more fun to do it with (non-sexual) female guiles.

sestamibi
Real estate shit tests:

http://www.thehousingbubbleblog.com

msexceptiontotherule
I would assume that most strippers wouldn’t be seeing their in-club clients in outside of the club contexts. Don’t they make enough money to shop, buy narcotics, and pay their bills with the money they make to have the luxury of choosing to schedule their off time with being around some guy who treats them poorly and are after their money and sex only?

And whenever someone tells you that they had sex with a stripper in the champagne room, you might want to suggest that they make it sex after they got back to his place when telling the story in the future, so that it’s at least slightly believable. Still, if men go to clubs and bars – or strip clubs with bars – the chances of them finding a woman they could potentially marry are slim. For women working at any of the same, the chance of them meeting a man who is worthwhile in relationship options that potentially include marriage are nonexistent. They have to work where the worst case scenario classification of men tend to regularly frequent. This based on my interpretation of what would constitute as a client of an exotic dancer, which is something a lot like having “regulars” at a bar or restaurant who are particular about who the bartender or server is. Although there’s not really any issue of selling one’s used panties when employed as a bartender or server at regular places that are without “theater, dancers, gentlemen’s club, etc” in the name (as a start..there are more differences that I don’t have the time to state).

betastud

[quote]Two of my past girlfriends threw this molotov cocktail in response to my decent natrual asshole game: “what would you do if I died?”[/quote]

You could say something like“I plan on having your body cryonically frozen until medical science can cure you and you then can be part of my personal harem in the future” or something silly like that.

betastud

Basically, I think this entire blog can be summed up as a silly/stupid question deserves a silly answer. I don’t consider women who continually run this kind of BS to be wife material anyway. My fiance has so far not done this much, if at all, which is why she is my fiance.

carty mccormac

Illustrative depictions of ham-handedly handled shit tests on television: Curb your Enthusiasm. There are often several examples per episode, and anyone who’s both read this blog and watched the show knows what his reactions to these scenes says about him. Being a sitcom impresario buys you some slack, but for most of us, I would caution against the Larry David approach.
She offered playfully angry gestures in obvious capitulation, and things continued to escalate. Worked a treat. The key with shit tests is to avoid excusing and justifying yourself; Alpha-Males feel no need to excuse themselves. Betas jump through these hoops; this is how the girlies weed them out. (More here.)

**Everything She Does Is Cute**

on November 9, 2009 at 8:16 pm

 [...] via Lance Mason from the Pickup 101 company. It’s simple in its elegance, and like the “Agree & Amplify” game tactic very effective at getting women attracted to you. As stated in Lance’s [...] 

**It’s Not Marriage, It’s the Woman « An Exercise in Futility**

on November 11, 2009 at 10:28 am

 [...] but after studying the academics of the alpha male, I’ve come to realize that virtually all of the responses to female behavior that the PUA community would call “alpha” are [...] 

**Kiko**

on November 3, 2010 at 8:40 am

Some of these responses should have been different at least when there is no direct contact between u two. She replies two days later to a text? Take even longer to reply or even don’t if it’s a girl u will inevitably see in the future

**duxbury**

on December 11, 2010 at 1:15 pm

there is the “I can con the alpha” shit test… sometimes a girl strangely avoids shit tests. This isn’t necessarily a good sign… it means. 

1) she views you as out of her league. she’s been slumming it if she’s a hottie. maybe an inexperienced girl or small town.. easily impressed. 

2) is more scared of your questions of her (she is fucking other men concurrently) and has a very checkered history. 

usually 1 AND 2. In this situation… they WON’T shit test.. they will be like little submissive japanese girls with pigtails. Enjoy! Hack her computer ASAP with keyloggers before spending 5k on the vacation to find out what the faux docility is really about. 

wait three months… and the shit test monster will be unleashed once your game slips. Expect daily shit tests ad infinitum.. just like the rest.

**lilu0401**

on December 28, 2010 at 10:50 pm

This was the first time I have encountered any Game or PUA information, quite by accident, but I am glad I did. While I cannot identify exactly with each ideal 100% (and I did not expect to), what I have learned here has reinforced my view of my comfortable
place in this world. For awhile, I thought perhaps I was some sort of freak, from a different planet, or maybe the twilight zone. Why?

I have always known that the only way I would ever have a satisfying relationship would be with a confident, take-charge man. Someone who can handle any small amount (tiny, really, but still there sometimes despite all of my self-awareness) of BS I might put out there (he Tarzan me Jane). And not only my BS, but all of the big BS that the world throws at him. Someone I can look up to in some regards, and drives me crazy at the same time. I will lose respect for any alternative. I don’t like to say that, but it is true.

I often hear complaints from girls I know about their husbands’ more dominant moves, “he wants to live here, I want to live there,” or “he always (does something), and it drives me bananas. I don’t think I can be with him forever,” – if they are seeking advice, I will try to suggest that every institution needs a leader, or that it is impossible for two people to have exactly the same ideas all of the time, and one of them needs to be the final decision maker. I am always met with the “What are you, one of THEM?!” stare.

So I am glad to know I am not a freak. In truth, I don’t suppose I ever thought I was, but its good to know that there may be others out there who concur, at least on some level. It was nice to see some other like-minded ladies posting as well. For whatever reason, my aforementioned viewpoints have segregated me somewhat from many female acquaintances.

Thanks again-

**Badger Nation**

“Wow! One hundred and sixty bucks (plus tip) to talk to a chick. Well at least I know there are poor saps that are more beta than me.”

Forget strippers, isn’t that the ballpark price of a really nice two-person dinner that betas use to try to buy access to a woman’s nether regions?

Chicks who think the man has to compensate them for their time are daft. They are living in the deep dark past on the wrong side of the supply-demand curve. They should be compensating men for their time, since their feminist mothers ushered in an era where male investment in relationships was vilified and discouraged and there’s no going back.

**Toby**

Excellent topic.

Several good comments to from those who get it.

Too bad a lot of shit pilled out also…
Another trick they use is the opposite game. What the two of you used to have in common, she now finds “uncool”. It’s a shit test. Stand your ground. If it gets to this point it’s probably over or close to being over. Stop calling her if this happens. Turn her down if she wants to go out. Tell her you’re going out with your bros. She may move on, she may not. But at least you won’t be left standing empty handed as you’ll still have your pride.

Comments are closed.